ORIGINAL

SOUTHEAST SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL PUBLIC MEETING

September 29, 1995 Seventh Day Adventist Church Craig, Alaska

VOLUME 2

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

Chairman Bill Thomas Dolly Garza

Mary Rudolph Mim

Robinson

Marilyn Wilson John Feller Patricia Phillips Lonnie Anderson

Vicki Le Cornu

MEMBERS OF FEDERAL OR STATE AGENCIES:

Fred Clark, Coordinator Steve Hoffman

Bill Knauer Sandy Rabinowitch
Dale Kanen Ken Thompson
Cheri Ford Larry Roberts
Jim Capra Ted Schenk
Bob Vaught Guy Cellier
Michael Turek Dave Johnson

Doug Larsen

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHO TESTIFIED:

Paul Kelley John Foss Irene Guthrie Paul Wilson Mike Galgainitis Victor Burgess

A. Millie Stevens

PROCEEDINGS SEPTEMBER 29, 1995

3 (Tape: 2) 4 (3460)

 (On record - 8:54 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, I know that many of you when you left here last night, after a nourishing dinner, had an invigorating meeting for dessert. And I, for one, want to express my appreciation for Mim leading that charge and also for the people I see that participated. There was the Forest Service, Fish and Game and SeAk. I think that made for good chemistry. And not only that, I think you probably left there with a much better understanding of perspectives from whichever agency or members of the council and I think it was a healthy meeting and I just want to applaud the way that you folks put that altogether so fast. And again, thank you for doing that.

I had talked to Dolly and I said, "Well, all we got to do is endorse the letter" but she suggested that we'd probably better review it and I guess when it left the meeting, that you guys said it was a draft, subject to some adjustment. And in saying that, I happened to find a couple that I'm not going to insist that they appear but I'm just going to point out some of my observations.

On the first sentence, I'm not sure that the word "strongly" enhances the point. I think if I was going to submit this letter, I'd probably wouldn't use the word, "strongly."

MS. ROBINSON: Just "supports"?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah. Just "supports." Yeah.

MS. ROBINSON: Okay. Sounds good.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: And in the next to last paragraph where it says, "the Congressionally mandated auspice (ph)" I think I would have that to read "The Congress mandated auspice (ph)." I would probably not use the word, "Congressionally."

MS. ROBINSON: Okay.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: And that's all I had. I think this reflected the positions of the people that were at

LTD COURT REPORTERS

this meeting, the people that are involved with this process. I -- I just don't have enough good to say about it, but other people, I know, have adjustments to consider and I will ask those to be brought out at this time. Anybody else?

 MS. ROBINSON: To me, it's rough. It still needs -- the work group really needs to work on this a little more just to kind of fine tune it, I think. Do you guys agree with me on that? It just -- rather than take the time during this...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I considered one thing briefly and I wasn't going to mention it but you can dispel it if you like. I think -- now, I probably would have made some mention of the action that we recognize that they're doing rather than saying that -- and don't change it. "We oppose any attempts by Congress to circumvent the public process." Leave that in there, but refer to something that led us to say that.

MS. ROBINSON: That's why I'm saying this is rough. It needs like your idea there and it's just -- trying to get a lot of ideas out last night. We got tired.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's a good draft.

MS. ROBINSON: But we do need to work on it some more and really think about it a little bit today and maybe we can meet again tonight?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly.

MS. GARZA: Yeah, what we had talked about as the process would be to bring it here, you know, to get input. First of all there certainly was not a majority last night of council members and so we're not sure that this reflects the majority or the consensus of this council. That would be good to know as a starter if this is how we all believe.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Sure.

MS. GARZA: And secondly, you know, we did get tired and I think there are a couple of other points that we need to bring up.

MS. ROBINSON: Right. Right.

MS. GARZA: One was the use of science and the

LTD COURT REPORTERS

ability to amend the TLMP as necessary which was also one of the attempts to eliminate. But, you know, I mean we worked at sentences, but we also knew that this 4 could be torn apart and rewritten and so, you know, we're not committed to this language. 7 There's nothing here we cherish. MS. ROBINSON: 8 I mean it -- whatever is necessary to make a good 9 letter out of this. 10 11 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Speaking -- that's a 12 good point about the majority of the council. Dewey is 13 in the middle of Klawock Heenya business. He's the president. He's a candidate for elections which occur 14 15 tomorrow and he'll be here as much as he can but he's 16 got to cover those bases as well. So, for the time, 17 he's gone from this meeting. Let the record show that 18 he's been excused for those reasons. And other than 19 that, I think everybody that was here yesterday is 20 here. Mim. Oh, Vickie's... 21 22 MS. ROBINSON: Lonnie's not here either. 23 24 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Oh, Lonnie. 25 26 MS. ROBINSON: What I was thinking is... 27 28 MS. GARZA: There they are. 29 30 MS. ROBINSON: ...as the day progresses, we'll 31 see -- I mean maybe before we adjourn or recess 32 tonight, we'll set a time for getting together later 33 this evening to finish the draft on this and then 34 present it tomorrow morning. 35 36 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. 37 38 MS. ROBINSON: Sound good? And we'll pick a time 39 later on tonight. 40 41 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I wouldn't be surprised that 42 when we get going on the proposals that you may not have to wait until this evening to do that. 43 44 45 MS. ROBINSON: May have a chance during the day? 46 47 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah. 48

LTD COURT REPORTERS

MS. ROBINSON: Okay. We'll keep our eyes open

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

49

50

51

for that.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That's kind of my anticipation 2 at this time. 3 4 MS. ROBINSON: Okay. Okay. Sounds good to me. 5 Going to buy lunch? 6 7 MR. ANDERSON: Buying lunch. 8 9 MS. ROBINSON: Hey, Lonnie. Touché. (Laughter) 10 11 MR. ANDERSON: Can't run an office... 12 13 MS. ROBINSON: Excuses, excuses. 14 15 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: What are the wishes of the 16 committee? Did you want to continue input from 17 everybody in the room... 18 19 MS. ROBINSON: Now? 20 21 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ...on this? 22 23 MS. ROBINSON: I'd like to maybe hear a few 24 comments about, you know, what people think about the 25 letter for right now. Just give us.... 26 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Did you want to confine that to 27 28 the council now or did you want to include everybody in 29 the room? I don't have any objections, either way. 30 31 Does everyone have copies of it? MS. ROBINSON: 32 33 MR. CLARK: I have copies here. 34 35 MS. ROBINSON: Okay. Why don't you go ahead and 36 pass it out. We might as well do that, I guess, just 37 to -- but not spend a whole lot of time tearing it 38 apart. Just a few rough ideas of directions. Mostly, 39 kind of what I'm looking for at this point, is what 40 we've missed, what we haven't put in here yet. We'll 41 fine tune the language later, but just the -- I'm mostly looking for ideas that we didn't get in there or 42 43 maybe things that are erroneous or misleading or 44 something. 45 46 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Who was all there from the 47 agencies last night? One, two. 48 49 MS. GARZA: Everybody. Oh, come on. There was

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

more than that.

1 MS. ROBINSON: There was quite a few. 2 3 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's so bad you guys don't want 4 to own up to it or what? Yeah, yeah, yeah. 5 6 MS. ROBINSON: There was about five, -- six -- a 7 lot of people there. 8 9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Looks like a tag (ph) team 10 match. 11 12 MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman. 13 14 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly. 15 16 I guess the first question I would MS. GARZA: 17 like to ask, at least, this body is whether or not we 18 support the second sentence because that is a major 19 Says whether or not we think there should 20 be a substantial reduction in the amount of timber made 21 available each year because that's one of the major 22 arguments is whether or not we continue logging as is 23 or whether or not we take ecosystem needs into account 24 and reduce. And I guess the only comments that I've 25 heard supported a reduction but I'm -- but I think that 26 that needs to be a clear decision of this body. 27 28 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I think that's a good question 29 but... 30 31 In other words, we're off cue. MS. GARZA: 32 33 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ...I think what I would do 34 while we're still representing the subsistence program, I think we need to use a lot of points on habitat, 35 36 ecosystems, that kind of stuff, because a lot of the 37 (indiscernible - unclear) subsistence as subsistence 38 but ecosystems and habitats will have a greater 39 implication. 40 41 MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 42 43 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Are we ecopeople? 44 45 MS. ROBINSON: You made a point, Bill. 46 47 MS. GARZA: She's the eco people. 48 49 MS. ROBINSON: Come up with a good sentence on 50 that today.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Okay.

MS. ROBINSON: You and Patty can figure that one out.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Us eco-ites will do that.

MS. WILSON: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Marilyn.

MS. WILSON: It seems like instead of putting down what we are against or for, all of these will be in the public review, the draft -- you know, all the different alternatives and I was wondering if we would have to do that. We could put our stance in, like Bill said, the eco-system, how we want that to be in order to sustain our subsistence resources. And seems like the main thing of this letter also is to keep the public review in there. But it doesn't seem like this is the time to put down that we want the timber -- amount of timber harvest reduced because that will come up in the public review.

MS. ROBINSON: Well, one -- if I can, Mr. Chair. One reason why we have that in there is because of the insistence that the timber harvest remain at the Alternative P level which was higher than what the Forest Service was going to be doing and so we don't like, number one, that they're saying that that has to be that way, period.

MS. WILSON: That's the one that they're trying to pass in Congress, right?

MS. ROBINSON: Right.

MS. GARZA: On a fiscal bill.

MS. ROBINSON: Yeah. This is all dealing with the fiscal bill.

MS. GARZA: That could pass in the next three weeks. If we didn't have that particular bill or rider to worry about, then you're correct. We would just follow the correct process...

MS. WILSON: Oh, okay.

MS. GARZA: ...look at the alternates and then decide whether or not we can agree on what alternate or

LTD COURT REPORTERS

whether or not we would have to write individually. 2 So, we're attempting a quick response. 3 4 MS. ROBINSON: Right. Does that help clarify it 5 for you? 6 7 MS. WILSON: Yes, uh-huh. 8 9 MS. ROBINSON: Okay. And it may be that we need 10 to clarify that in that sentence, you know. Something 11 to think about. 12 13 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty. 14 15 MS. PHILLIPS: It seems like at our Hoonah 16 meeting, we had discussed a subsistence LUD 17 designation. 18 19 MS. WILSON: LUD two. 20 21 MS. PHILLIPS: I don't know if we could include 22 that... 23 24 MS. ROBINSON: I think that first came up in 25 Juneau. 26 27 MS. PHILLIPS: Did it? 28 29 MS. ROBINSON: Yeah. Yeah. 30 31 MS. WILSON: The meeting I missed. 32 33 MS. ROBINSON: It was at the Centennial Building. 34 I remember that coming up there after SeAk's 35 presentations. 36 37 Oh, that's right. MS. PHILLIPS: 38 39 MR. CELLIER: Mr. Chair, can I comment on the... 40 41 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Sure. 42 43 MR. CELLIER: ...subsistence LUD? The discussion 44 has gone around a number of times before I came and 45 we've had one go-around this year as well and the 46 problem with designating specific areas to 47 subsistence... 48 49 COURT REPORTER: It would be better if you would 50 come up to a microphone. Easier for me. Thank you.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

MR. CELLIER: Guy Cellier from Forest Service.
Mr. Chairman, we've had had discussions on a subsistence LUD but what it does, in essence, is restrict the area where subsistence can be harvested. It can work. It's difficult to really predict exactly what will happen but it can work to restrict the areas and it, in effect, can limit subsistence to these areas and then eliminate subsistence from all kinds of other areas where you might now have timber harvest. That's the dilemma you find yourself in and our feeling is that if you have adequate standards and guides that protect the rights of subsistence users across the whole forest, that is better serving the cause of subsistence. But it's still a debate that I think will continue and be raised again.

TLMP is not a finite animal. It will continue and I think that is one of the debates that I think we probably need to revisit and discuss again. 'Cause I'm not clear in my own mind but that's my instinct that we'd do better for subsistence on the -- under this present system.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Vicki.

MS. LE CORNU: Mr. Chairman, I have no problem with that line in there because the way I see it, any amount of timber harvest is negatively impacting subsistence. That's the way I see it. I have no problem with that.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Go ahead, Dolly.

MS. GARZA: I guess I have a question and I'm not sure who could answer it but in regards to that rider, I wasn't sure if we should hit some of the major points in it such as the sound science and the -- what was the other phrase that they used? The perpetuity...

MS. ROBINSON: Use of the sound science, use of the public process and reasonable conservation and sustainability of all resources.

MS. GARZA: Right. But my question is, is it in terms of how Congress works, if it goes down, does it all go down and we only need to hit on one particular point or focus on -- if we only focused on the lack of public process, could that be enough for them to realize that Senator Stevens is obviously not representing our interest or do we have to go by line by line because it may be more powerful if we just focused

LTD COURT REPORTERS

on one or two areas and went into detail rather than try to hit everything in it. I'm not sure.

MS. ROBINSON: Can -- I'm assuming that the Appropriations Bill can be amended or changed at this point? I mean that's why we're bothering to take the time to do this, right? I mean they can actually change some things that they have written in there?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Bob.

(Court Reporter directs Mr. Vaught to mike.)

MS. GARZA: She's keeping you guys in line.

MS. ROBINSON: Crack that whip.

MR. VAUGHT: My understanding of how the process works -- and I request assistance from anybody that knows more about this than I do -- is that the bill is passed by the House, then it is passed by the Senate, then it goes to conference committee. Then -- and that has all happened. Then it must be voted again by both the House and the Senate and that will probably happen today. And then it goes to the President. And the President can either sign the whole thing or not sign it at all. It's all or nothing.

MS. ROBINSON: Okay. This is what you were talking about yesterday.

MR. VAUGHT: Yes. And should the President decide to not sign it or to veto it, then it would go back and the whole process would essentially begin all over again -- well, actually, let me not say that. It would go back and there would probably be an attempt to override the veto. If that was unsuccessful, then the whole process would have to start over again and there would have to be amendments to the whole bill.

MS. GARZA: What happened to the line item veto capability? I mean can't he just line item veto this section?

MR. VAUGHT: No.

MS. GARZA: Is that only fiscal?

MR. VAUGHT: There's no possibility under current practices to line item any -- or to veto any particular

part of it. It's all or none.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

MS. LE CORNU: Mr. Chair, I have a question. There was something that happened yesterday in the Ninth Circuit regarding that suit against -- I think the Forest Service?

MR. TUREK: Yeah, I heard this morning over the radio that the Recision Bill -- the Ninth Circuit found it unconstitutional.

MS. ROBINSON: Really. Wow.

MR. TUREK: So Stevens' Recision Bill was found unconstitutional by the Ninth Circuit.

UNIDENTIFIED: Way to go.

MR. TUREK: Congress doesn't have the right to do that.

MS. LE CORNU: No, their whole intent isn't shown in there. But it isn't shown in ANILCA, for instance. So that was my point yesterday that, you know, that the Forest Service doesn't have any trust responsibility to uphold for us here. We have to do that in a letter or something.

MR. VAUGHT: So, Mr President [sic], it is somewhat difficult to see how this letter would specifically be used. But it would certainly have the effect of presenting your viewpoint, regardless of what happens which may be a long convoluted political process. We don't know.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, it sure is not going to hurt the record any. Thank you. Mim.

MS. ROBINSON: So, to deal with Dolly's question there, it seems to me that the main thing we have to deal with at this time is the public process, how it's being thwarted. And I think that should be the major thrust of how that affects the subsistence lifestyle and because nothing can be line item vetoed. Then with that Recision Bill being found unconstitutional or whatever, then that puts us back to the point where —back to where we were a year ago, basically, I guess, with the plan, the revision. Can someone help me with that whole train of thought? How would that affect what we're doing here now?

MR. TUREK: Well, I think that's the reason why

LTD COURT REPORTERS

Stevens wanted to address it through the budget 'cause he probably knew that...

MS. ROBINSON: He probably knew it was going to be a bad decision on it, yeah. Okay.

MR. TUREK: So, that's why he wants to address it through the budget because that way, it can't go into court.

MS. ROBINSON: Okay. So this is still part of the appropriations package.

MR. TUREK: Right. '96 Appropriations.

MS. ROBINSON: So, it seems to me we just really need to hit it hard. This needs to go to the President is where it really needs to go.

MR. NEWHOUSE: I agree with that. That may well be.

MS. ROBINSON: Yeah, it's pointless to send it to Stevens.

MS. GARZA: Okay. So, I'm totally confused then. If the Recision Bill was struck down yesterday, that is a separate bill from this rider?

MR. TUREK: Right. 'Cause primarily what that Recision Bill is going to do is -- what the court struck down was that the timber sales that were going to APC will now have to -- cannot be sold under the old NEPA -- under the old EISes like Stevens wanted. And the courts said no, they have to go through the EIS process. The mill is closed down. You just can't take and sell those sales -- give those sales to anybody else. You have to go through the whole process all over again.

MS. GARZA: Okay. So, is that also...

MS. LE CORNU: Well, they also said -- they also said on there that you can't override the intent of Congress by a bill on the budget. That's not -- that doesn't show the intent of Congress to protect you and I.

MR. TUREK: ...specifically address ANILCA. I think you're getting over your head there because that is similar to NEPA. That's law that you can't

LTD COURT REPORTERS

override.

 MR. NEWHOUSE: A recommendation there would be to see if you can actually get the exact language of what the court (indiscernible - away from mike) possibility that that could be here either by fax or by E-mail some time today so that the council would have that to use to help draft whatever they're doing because what we're doing here is second, third-party hearsay. And we'd actually have the language and perhaps, somebody can contact our Office of General Counsel and find out what is so there.

MS. ROBINSON: Sounds like a good idea.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So, we're going to change the salutation from "Dear Ted" to "Dear Bill"?

MS. ROBINSON: I think so. Sounds to me like that's who it needs to go to. Want him to veto it.

MR. KNAUER: Mr. Chairman, the President has indicated that he would be vetoing the Appropriations Bill for a number of reasons so if that is the case, this would be going back to Congress and the committees for revision. You may be wise to send it to the Congressional committee that would be working on the next version. That way, you've got it at the ground level where it could possibly have a greater effect.

MS. GARZA: I also...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ...doing that, we can always ask our Congressional delegation.

MS. GARZA: I think it should still go to Stevens, even though it won't sway him. It certainly would be the proper process and I think that although this is not the kind of action I'm think I'm used to seeing him take, I think that we still need to be proper in the action that we follow.

MS. ROBINSON: With a copy to the President?

MS. GARZA: And the committee. In other words, he'll say he didn't have anything addressed to him.

MS. ROBINSON: We are coming on strong about how the public process is being circumvented and all that kind of stuff so I would like Stevens to hear that.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

1 MS. WILSON: Also send a copy to the President. 2 3 MS. ROBINSON: Uh-huh (affirmative.) 4 Maybe with another cover letter to the President, 5 requesting that he veto the Appropriations Bill for these reasons, you know. "See enclosed letter or 6 7 something." We can figure that out later. 8 9 MS. GARZA: Hey! What was that? 10 11 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: What was what? 12 13 MS. GARZA: Nobody else is getting their coffee 14 served. (Laughter) 15 16 UNIDENTIFIED: The vice chair requests coffee. 17 18 MS. GARZA: That's right. Two minute break. 19 20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Two minutes. Remember my 21 admonition. Don't discuss this among yourselves or 22 anybody else. 23 24 (Off record - 9:20 a.m.) 25 (On record - 9:26 a.m.) 26 27 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Before we get started, we have a new person that joined us this morning and I was 28 29 going to ask him to introduce himself to the people 30 here like we all did yesterday. We all took our turn 31 at introducing ourselves, telling what we did, who we 32 are, where we're from, what we do. If you would, 33 Doug, please. 34 35 MR. LARSEN: Sure. Would you like me to use a 36 microphone for that? 37 38 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Whichever. 39 40 MR. LARSEN: Well, if I don't have to, I won't. 41 42 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Tell us what your name is. 43 44 MR. LARSEN: My name is Doug Larsen and I'm the 45 Ketchikan area wildlife biologist with Fish and Game. 46 I work out of Ketchikan. The areas that I'm respons-47 ible for are subunit 1A which is from the Cleveland 48 Peninsula, south side of the Cleveland to the Portland Canal, and then Unit 2 which is, as I think most people 49

LTD COURT REPORTERS

islands. And I'm responsible for species such as

Prince of Wales Island and the adjacent

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

here know,

deer, mountain goat in 1A, wolves, other fur bearers, like otter, beaver, mink and marten, for black bear and brown bear where they occur. And I've been in Ketchikan for five years and previously worked out of Juneau, Sitka and Kotzebue.

In fact, I was talking to Dolly when I went to Kotzebue in 1985, she was leaving Kotzebue to go to Sitka and I was leaving Sitka to go to Kotzebue so we missed. I don't know if that was planned or not on Dolly's part. It certainly wasn't on mine.

 ${\tt MS.}$ GARZA: They told me all about you. (Laughter)

MR. LARSEN: And I am happy to be here at the meeting and I'd be happy to try and answer questions that might come up or contribute in whatever way I can.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, we welcome you and thank you very much. And I'm sure we will.

MR. LARSEN: Thanks.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Are we ready for our committee reports?

MS. PHILLIPS: For -- for what?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: To -- we already came up with...

MS. ROBINSON: Oh, did you come up with language?

MS. PHILLIPS: It needs to be -- it's a little confusing in one part but Bill's suggestion is to add "without re- " on paragraph two to -- "We believe that in addressing and providing for subsistence needs, there must be a substantial reduction in the amount of timber harvested on the Tongass, both annually and over the long term. Without reductions, the habitat and ecosystem on which all life depends on is justification for the point of more conversation discipline to timber harvesting. As responsible constituents of your representation, we are using our inherent skills and instincts to contribute to sustainable resource management."

MS. ROBINSON: Sounds like a good starting point there. Yeah.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

MR. CLARK: The word, "run-on" comes to mind. 1 2 3 MS. PHILLIPS: The word what? 4 5 MR. CLARK: Run-on. 6 7 MR. ANDERSON: At least you won't get a rebuttal 8 from the devouted (ph) Senator. Very smooth. 9 10 MS. ROBINSON: Good place to start. We 11 can work with that. 12 13 MR. CLARK: May I get a copy of that to change my 14 notes with? 15 16 MS. PHILLIPS: Give it back. 17 18 MR. CLARK: I will. 19 20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You didn't get all that, Fred? 21 22 MR. CLARK: Surprise. Surprise. 23 24 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, is there any more 25 suggestions anybody wanted to offer to this draft 26 document so far? I've got to stall for time because 27 our next presenter is going to give us some information 28 regarding C&T. So -- did he get a phone call? 29 30 MR. CELLIER: Yes. Fred's talking with John 31 Vale. 32 33 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, I can't hear 'em from 34 here so if you guys want to say anything. 35 36 MS. GARZA: Maybe we should talk about our next 37 meeting location and date. 38 39 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Our agenda asks where do 40 we want to meet next and when. First we should 41 establish where and I think when is going to depend on 42 when we have the materials ready that we're going to 43 use at that meeting. And so some were suggesting Las 44 Vegas. 45 46 MS. ROBINSON: Sounds good to me. 47 48 MS. GARZA: Need a bigger advance check than 49 that. In our packet toward the end there is a calendar that shows when the window begins and when the window 50 ends during which time we're supposed to be setting the

LTD COURT REPORTERS

1 meeting. 2 3 MR. KNAUER: Should be the last page in your 4 folder, there's a calendar. 5 6 MS. ROBINSON: How convenient. 7 8 MS. GARZA: I quess I have -- I like these set up 9 as Thursday, Friday, Saturday. That seems to work. 10 11 MS. ROBINSON: I'd like it during our school 12 vacation time which is the last week of January and the 13 first two weeks of February. Anywhere in there is fine 14 with me. We can set our own calendar in PA as long as 15 the district approves it and they -- this is the second 16 year in a row now that we've had a break like that 17 instead of at Christmas. It's kinda' nice. 18 19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Do you want to set a date first 20 and then talk location or what -- we could do something now as -- both of these will be suggested out here 21 22 because everybody's got different schedules and 23 something may come up and say, "Hey, this came up and I 24 need to be doing this." So we might need to 25 consider... 26 27 Right. I just mentioned it MS. ROBINSON: 28 because that's the only thing I have a preference 29 about. It doesn't matter to me where we meet. 30 31 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So February 1, 2, and 3 would 32 be good for you? 33 34 MS. ROBINSON: Yeah. Sure would. 35 36 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Is that month good for anybody 37 else? 38 39 It's okay by me. MS. WILSON: 40 41 MR. ANDERSON: If it's in Juneau, it's excellent 42 for me. 43 44 MS. WILSON: The weather is not very good during 45 that time either. We've got to think of that. 46 47 MS. GARZA: Well, during that whole month. 48

LTD COURT REPORTERS

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Do we want to meet in Port

Alexander? I've never heard of no weather problems

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

49

50 51

there.

1 2 MS. ROBINSON: Yeah, it's a downright banana 3 belt, you know. 4 5 MS. GARZA: They get out of there once a week. 6 If it were in April, we could meet in Sitka. But I --7 you know, I certainly would like to invite the council 8 to Sitka. It won't be herring egg time, but I'm sure STA and ANB (ph) and ANS (ph) would be glad to host a 9 10 nice feast and ... 11 12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah, we went there before. 13 14 MS. GARZA: Long, long time ago. 15 16 First meeting. The first meeting MS. ROBINSON: 17 was there. 18 19 MR. ANDERSON: That was the organizational 20 meeting. Where were you? 21 22 MS. GARZA: I wasn't organized then. 23 24 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Sitka's been mentioned 25 for a site. 26 27 MS. ROBINSON: And Juneau was mentioned also. 28 29 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Juneau was mentioned. Las 30 Vegas was mentioned. 31 32 MR. ANDERSON: John, are you inviting... 33 34 MS. ROBINSON: Port Alexander was mentioned. 35 36 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Port Alexander was mentioned. 37 38 MR. FELLER: Yeah, Mr. Chairman... 39 40 MS. ROBINSON: Oh, you asked us to go to Wrangell 41 a while ago, didn't you? 42 43 MR. FELLER: Yeah, I was passed over last time. 44 I think you promised me we could have it in Wrangell 45 this time. 46 47 MS. ROBINSON: Wrangell wouldn't be too hard to 48 get into in February, I wouldn't think. 49 50 MR. FELLER: Yeah, Wrangell is on the main route 51 there. Flight 65, 64...

LTD COURT REPORTERS

UNIDENTIFIED: Milk run.

MS. GARZA: The ferry service.

MR. ANDERSON: If John doesn't speak up, I would say Juneau -- so John, put up your sales pitch.

MR. FELLER: Okay. Yeah, Wrangell really needs the people to come to town. They always give from their heart there and we have the facilities available. We've been fixing up building and own the Snow (ph) Building now. All our combined groups. But I'll have to warn you that the weather and getting in and out of there at the end of January and the first of February, it could be kinda' like passover, you know.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly.

MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman, if we -- if Wrangell is the location, maybe we should hold off on the dates until we see a ferry schedule so we can make sure we can get in from -- get in and out.

MS. ROBINSON: At least, by ferry.

MS. GARZA: Yeah. As a back-up.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We also have an alternative site. Sitka, alternative one; Juneau, alternative two.

MS. GARZA: I think Wrangell's fine.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Las Vegas is -- Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: I would prefer it in a different community. We didn't get much public comment from the Juneau area and I'd like to give the other communities a chance to public comment.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Hank.

MR. NEWHOUSE: Essentially, on the (indiscernible - unclear) the ferry system, if it follows traditional, there would be a ferry coming into Wrangell probably early Thursday morning from Juneau. Usually leaves Juneau, oh, about 2:30, 3 o'clock in the morning to Wrangell...

MS. ROBINSON: So, if we couldn't fly out that day, on Wednesday, we could hop on the ferry that night

LTD COURT REPORTERS

The

and be at our meeting Thursday morning. 2 3 MR. NEWHOUSE: Yeah, it leaves from Juneau. 4 5 MS. GARZA: Well, the fall schedule is out. 6 ferry schedule is out. 7 8 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: How about we do this? How 9 about we decide on Wrangell and then ask our 10 coordinator to secure ferry schedules for us in and out 11 of Wrangell and then -- and he can get the latest scoop 12 on the ferry schedule, then we can lock in a date then. 13 And try to get it within that school vacation time. 14 15 MS. ROBINSON: Sounds good. 16 17 MS. GARZA: I'd like to, best we can, lock it in 18 as close as we can because last time -- part of the 19 reasons I missed Hoonah was because I couldn't figure 20 out what the dates were. Everybody said, it will probably be this week and then I could never get a 21 22 response from our coordinator and I had to schedule 23 something else and so if it's far enough in advance and 24 it's these dates, I can schedule everything else around 25 it. 26 27 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That was why we changed 28 coordinators, so... (Laughter) 29 30 MS. ROBINSON: Boy, you're getting put under a 31 You'd better not screw up on this, lot of pressure. 32 Fred. You might be out of a job (Laughter.) 33 34 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Let's look at February 1, 2 and 35 3 and we'll give our coordinator responsibility of 36 adjusting the ferry schedules accordingly. 37 38 MR. CLARK: Such power. 39 40 MS. ROBINSON: Awesome, isn't it. 41 42 MR. ANDERSON: If you can't -- if it doesn't look 43 that, how about the 15, 16th, and 17th? 44 45 MS. GARZA: Say again. 46 47 MS. ROBINSON: 15th, 16th, or 17th?

MR. ANDERSON: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS:

48 49

50 51

LTD COURT REPORTERS

If not that date?

1 2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So, two weeks later as an 3 alternate date? 4 5 MR. ANDERSON: Well, the weather is better. 6 7 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Once you get passed that... 8 9 What did Lonnie say, Mr. Chairman? MS. WILSON: 10 11 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Excuse me? 12 13 MS. WILSON: What did Lonnie just say? 14 15 MR. ANDERSON: If you can't get it the 1st, 2nd, 16 and 3rd of February... 17 18 MS. ROBINSON: What's the matter with the 8th, 19 9th or 10th? 20 21 MR. ANDERSON: That gives you a week to 22 recuperate in trying to get out of... (Laughter) 23 24 MR. FELLER: Mr. Chairman, I could suggest too 25 that we could invite you for the fall meeting. You 26 know that's al- -- the weather is always better then. 27 28 MS. GARZA: We can get there. 29 30 MR. FELLER: Okay. If you want to take your 31 chances, it's fine with me. 32 33 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Any place in Southeast after 34 July is a chance of (indiscernible - unclear). So, it 35 seems now it's Wrangell or bust? 36 37 MS. ROBINSON: Sounds like. 38 39 MS. WILSON: Wrangell on February 15th, huh? 40 41 MS. ROBINSON: Get John off our backs. 42 43 MR. FELLER: I've been dogging you long enough. 44 45 MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman, in order to make it 46 official, I make a motion that we attend the next 47 meeting in Wrangell. 48 49 MS. ROBINSON: Second. 50

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

There's

CHAIRMAN THOMAS:

1 Further discussion? All those in favor say aye. 2 3 ALL IN UNISON: Aye. 4 5 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Opposed? 6 7 (No opposing responses) 8 9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Wrangell, it is. Have we 10 heard anything from our anthropologist? 11 12 MR. CLARK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I spoke with 13 Rachel last night and her schedule was for her to be 14 here by now, but it could well be that she got 15 weathered out. 16 17 MS. ROBINSON: Planes are flying here. 18 19 MR. CLARK: I would expect her to show up anytime 20 now. In her absence, though she was -- she had no 21 problem with me taking over for her on the C&T process. 22 23 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. What about you? 24 you feel the same way? 25 26 MR. CLARK: Yeah. 27 28 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. 29 30 MR. CLARK: If I may, Mr. Chairman, the reason I 31 ran out of the room a few minutes ago was that John 32 Vale was on the phone and he had just gotten back from 33 commercial fishing and he was, you know, we were unable 34 to reach him while he was out, so he wasn't aware of 35 the dates of the meeting. 36 37 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Oh, I see. 38 39 MR. CLARK: And due to weather and stuff, he 40 decided not to try to make it for the last few hours of 41 the meeting and expresses that he wishes that he could 42 be here but he just can't be, hoping that the council 43 would understand. 44 45 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Let the record show that John 46 Vale is excused. 47 48 MR. CLARK: Thank you. 49 50 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

MS. PHILLIPS: That's a concern for our fall 2 meetings is that I would rather see the fall meetings 3 in October for those that want to commercial --4 continue to commercial fish, can. 5 6 MS. ROBINSON: So the beginning of October. 7 8 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: What's our window? Does our window take us into that time? 9 10 11 MS. GARZA: Yeah, it goes into October 18th. 12 13 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: October 18th? 14 15 MS. GARZA: So we could have had it. 16 17 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. I've got no commitments. 18 I'm a ward of the state, so I don't know. 19 20 MR. FELLER: Yeah, how long is the window? 21 know I went for the Chatham opening last year and I was 22 done with my check in hand October 6th or something 23 like that. 24 25 MS. GARZA: To October 18th. So, we'll just keep 26 that in mind when we set our next meeting date. 27 28 MS. ROBINSON: Winter trolling starts the 11th, 29 if there are any trollers that are on the council. 30 31 MS. GARZA: Our window's pretty small. 32 33 MS. WILSON: When is the AFN meeting? 34 35 MS. GARZA: Oh, it's the third week. Could 36 always meet up in Anchorage, huh? 37 38 MS. ROBINSON: Yakutat would be a good place for 39 a meeting. 40 41 (Side conversation while C&T materials are 42 distributed) 43 44 MS. GARZA: Hey, you guys are having the Sealaska 45 meeting. 46 47 I know. We're having -- keeping it MR. FELLER: 48 under wraps though. 49 50 MS. GARZA: You're getting greedy.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

 MR. FELLER: Yeah, you're all invited to it.

UNIDENTIFIED: It's the new convention mecca.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That's like when Dewey was in Las Vegas. They were going to go on a helicopter tour. And they said, "Where in Alaska are you from?" "Oh, I come from a small town called Klawock." "Oh, the banishment town, huh?" (Laughter)

MS. ROBINSON: Where did these letters come from? What is going on with this?

MR. CLARK: These are letters that Ken Thompson made available to the council, having to do with the governor's -- I think it's called a special task force that he has put together under the leadership of Fran Ulmer to try to develop a consensus between the federal and state subsistence programs. And what they've done is they've hired a legal firm under Julian Mason who's out of Anchorage to try to confer with everybody who's involved in the federal system and the state system to try to come up with a commonality of the two systems and from there, go forward to figure out some way that's acceptable to get the two systems together.

MS. ROBINSON: That's what this part of it is? The draft?

MR. CLARK: Yeah, that's what that is about. That's kind of a draft of what no matter what happens, these are the constraints. These are the things that everybody's going to have to consider and they've got — they've already got a lot of flack for trying to do this and for the types of things they've brought out.

The other letter is from the Alaska Outdoor Council as a response to the first letter. This is for your information and consideration. It's not necessarily something that we need to deal with at this meeting.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I think we'll just use this ad advisement right now. I don't think it will become part of our meeting.

MR. CLARK: Are you ready for C&T?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yes, sir. We're now into Old Business, Item number three, Customary and Traditional Use Eligibility Update.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

2

MR. CLARK: Mr. Chairman, the way I see this is kind of — this first part of is just kind of an introduction to the what's been happening with the C&T process in that...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Maybe you ought to take that table here.

MR. CLARK: Since the beginning of the federal subsistence program, C&T, Customary and Traditional Use, has been a very, very important part. And people have recognized it as such and been sending in proposal after proposal after proposal, but the Federal Subsistence Board has not accepted consideration of those proposals up until this year. They have now decided that well, there's a backlog of some 500 customary and traditional use determinations on file, all of which they are considering active at present. So what they've requested is that councils go through the backlog for their areas and decide which ones they feel are important. Yes, Dolly.

MS. GARZA: How come they haven't considered them? I just need some catch-up here.

MR. CLARK: My understanding is that they were just overwhelmed with what they were doing with the bag and limits. Bill.

MR. KNAUER: Mr. Chairman, the -- part of the problem was there had not been a process in place for dealing with the customary and traditional use determination and the initial intent was to do it geographically, prioritizing particular areas of the state where there were known resource problems or concerns and they were working with the anthropologist, and the agencies to come up with a particular process to deal with this, much as they developed the process for the annual regulations. The process was put in place in July of '94 with a federal register notice and it indicated certain priorities over the state. However, with the discussions resulting from efforts on the Kenai and upper Tanana area and discussions with the council chairs, the recommendation was provided to the Federal Subsistence Board that it would be more appropriate to deal with subpart -- what we call subpart C, Customary and Traditional Use determinations proposals in the same manner that they deal with the

LTD COURT REPORTERS

annual basis and at the same time, but there was a

In other words, on an

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

annual regulation proposals.

backlog of proposals and so that's what is driving this right now. A need for review and prioritizing the existing proposals.

MR. CLARK: There was considerable discussion about whether the process should follow the subpart D process on an annual schedule or do it every other year or have it offset on, you know, considering proposals at different meetings, but as Bill said, the way they decided to handle it was along the same annual schedule as the subpart D proposals. And the main thing is that it's not just the backlog. It's whatever other proposals that the council should put forward. If you see a need for C&T determinations to be made in the region, now you have an opportunity to put those forward and you can do that in concert with those that are in the backlog.

In your booklet, you have the list of all of the backlogged C&T requests. There's several pages of backlogged things and they are listed by the district, the species, who made the request, what the request and existing determinations are and then just an administrative tracking code. There are...

MS. GARZA: So, does that go horizontally?

MR. CLARK: Yes. Yeah, that's the one that looks like this (indicating).

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I have a question. When Kenai is the only one so far that has gotten started with their process. I don't know that they're comp--- are they complete?

MR. KNAUER: No, sir, they're not.

 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay, but anyway, I was -- it's a sensitive and complex process because of the nature of the implications of any considerations that are adopted. Having said that, I was curious to what, if any, staff was available to that council during the course of their consideration before they presented 'em. Do you know whether -- was anybody from your office involved in writing those -- helping to write those to the council?

MR. KNAUER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. In the Kenai situation, it was proceeding under the old process in which information was accumulated on all of the large mammals in that area sub area. Under the new

LTD COURT REPORTERS

process, they would -- instead of looking at all the species in the sub area, they would only look at whichever one was being addressed in their proposal. In other words, if, for example, we'll say Wrangell did not have C&T for deer in their immediate area and a proposal came up that asked for C&T for deer for Wrangell in that immediate area, that would be the only thing that would be examined. They wouldn't be looking at goat and moose and bear and everything else which is what was happening under the old process. And so under the new process, it would be examining just what was being specifically requested under a proposal.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. My next question then. When we reach a point as a council to commit our time to those C&T considerations, can we anticipate and expect staff assistance in this region?

MR. CLARK: That's a very important question and one that the Fish and Wildlife Service staff has been struggling with for some time. It's obvious from their point of view that with numerous C&T requests that they're only going to be able to do a very small proportion of those. They're only going to be able to provide staff support for a few C&T determinations per year from their point of view. So that makes it incumbent upon the council to try to prioritize what's most important.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I guess all I was looking for was the same opportunities for Region 1 that Region 2 enjoys. i say that because it's difficult because it is apples and oranges, in this case, considering the composite of the populations. But in any case, I think that would go a long ways in consistency of participation from the agency.

MR. CLARK: As Bill pointed out, the way the C&T determinations were done in Region 2 on the Kenai is that was under a different process than the new process. And the Region 2 meeting, council meeting has been going on -- I think today is their last day but Rachel was at that meeting and talked with them about the new process and the C&T determinations. The way that that region decided to handle it was on an issues basis. Instead of going through this laundry list...

(Rachel Mason arrives)

MS. MASON: It must be the amazing prophecy

LTD COURT REPORTERS

seminar.

 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Fred, do you know why the Kenai River ran away like it did?

MR. CLARK: I'm sure that you will tell me.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's because of the way the C&Ts were handled.

MS. MASON: Oh, great.

MR. CLARK: This is what Rachel wanted to hear.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Kenai Everglades. Well, we'll take 45 (ph) minutes to honor our new presenter...

MS. MASON: Get me on the hot seat, Bill.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, not for awhile. Take your time. Fred's in trouble right now. (Laughter)

MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly.

MS. GARZA: I guess in running through these real quickly, I don't -- I mean there may be 500 somewhere but it doesn't look like Southeast has all that many as a backlog...

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$. CLARK: No. Southeast does not have that many.

MS. GARZA: And I think that at the Wrangell meeting that we could go through them. It looks like some of them are redundant and so they're similar and submitted by different people.

MR. CLARK: Also -- and Mr. Chairman, if I may. If you look through these, a lot of these deal with things that the council isn't set up to deal with. There are a lot of fish proposals that you just don't have authority at this point to deal with and there is much redundancy. Many of these probably aren't considered active by the people who submitted them in the first place. So if you went through these and crossed out the ones that looked like they're probably superfluous to the process to start with, you would cull out a large number and you wouldn't have that many to deal with.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

MS. ROBINSON: Will we be able to, as in the usual process, take something here and create our own? In other words if it's for one area, can we put -- include others?

MR. CLARK: Exactly.

MS. ROBINSON: Okay. So that is something we would want to keep in mind when we're looking at is is what other areas would qualify.

MR. CLARK: And you have the option of either doing it proposal by proposal or developing issues by area within the region. If each of you would consider what are the really important C&T issues in your communities and then bring those to the table as the burning, most important, high priority issues for the region and handle them that way, that may be the most efficient and effective way to handle it. But that's up to the council how the council wishes to proceed.

MS. ROBINSON: I wonder whether, as a council, we're going to want to spend any time talking about fisheries issues. Or if we want to...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I think ...

MS. ROBINSON: ...delay that to a later time.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I think we'd better find out whether it's going to be of any value. It's of value, as far as credibility is concerned, how far can we take these.

MS. ROBINSON: Right. I mean because I'm not sure how much time we want to put on at this point. It may be a moot point.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I'll yield to Bill in this case and if you don't fell adequate with it, that's fine too.

MR. KNAUER: I believe that Mim's statement is very correct as is yours. It is an important issue, a valid issue but I'm not sure that it would be the best use of your time now, especially when the legal issues concerning jurisdiction are so much up in the air and we do know that when there is a final decision that there will be adequate opportunity at that time to address both the C&T aspect of fisheries as well as any

LTD COURT REPORTERS

annual regulations that might be appropriate.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you.

4 5

 MS. ROBINSON: So that really narrows it down for us. I mean I would assume probably the most important issues we'd be dealing with would be deer, moose, probably in that order, I guess.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: There's something I want to emphasize right here. There's probably in some minds and I respect that to say if we're a Fish and Wildlife advisory council, why are we confined just to wildlife, even this far into it? I don't know that. I share the same frustrations but one thing that I've learned over the years of experience that if you can avoid a confrontation to establish a point while you could be making progress on areas that you do understand that it's wisest to do that than to kill time with a confrontation of wondering why we can't do the other. Mim.

MS. ROBINSON: It just occurred to me that the one area that we could talk about about fish is the Glacier Bay Preserve. That's something we would want to do, I think we would want to do a C&T determination on.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly.

MS., GARZA: I guess, to me, it's still more than that. I mean it has been determined that all fresh waters are C&T and that we can consider those.

MS. ROBINSON: That, too. Right.

MS. GARZA: I mean there's a lot more that we can do than Glacier Bay, especially if someone's going to tell us that we can't do anything in Glacier Bay, it becomes a pretty short designation...

MS. ROBINSON: I'm mostly saying in terms of navigable waters. But yeah, non-navigable waters, also, we could certainly do.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I think we can focus on more (indiscernible - unclear) when we get to that. So, why don't we leave that until -- now, let's go on with our information here.

MS. MASON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My name is

LTD COURT REPORTERS

Rachel Mason and I'm with Fish and Wildlife Service as an anthropologist. I don't know what you've already covered so far with the C&T subject.

MR.

MR. CLARK: Very little.

4 5

MS. MASON: Okay.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It wasn't reliable anyways. (Laughter)

MS. MASON: Okay. Have you already been updated on what has happened with the Kenai C&T decision?

MR. CLARK: No.

MS. MASON: Okay.

MR. CLARK: Excuse me. With the Chair's recognition, I'd like to return to take notes and yield this table to Rachel.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you very much.

MS. ROBINSON: I don't know. I think we ought to make you sit up there a little longer.

MS. MASON: As all of you probably know, a new process has been instituted for considering customary and traditional determinations but the subsistence board decided to continue with the Kenai C&T because that was already so far along and momentum had been established. So since it was already underway, they're going on with that one but I will get to, in a minute, what the new process is.

First, I'll just tell you in some detail what has happened with the Kenai C&T and I just came from the Southcentral meeting so there's some fast breaking news on what that council has decided to do.

 First of all, at the April 1995 meeting, the Federal Subsistence Board endorsed the Southcentral Council's recommendation that the rural communities of Whittier, Hope, Cooper Landing, Ninilchik, Nanwelek, Seldovia and Port Graham receive customary and traditional use eligibility. And the council had only considered large land mammals for that C&T and that was on federal public lands in Units 7 and 15. The council had also recommended an any bull moose season in Unit 15 which would open ten days in advance of the

LTD COURT REPORTERS

state sport hunt opening on August 19th. But the board included antler restrictions in their endorsement.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

2

At the July 12th meeting of the regional council, the Southcentral Council reviewed the results of public meetings that had been held earlier that summer on the Kenai Peninsula. And that was just to get the public's input on the board's recommendations. At the July meeting, the Southcentral Regional Council recommended deferral of all C&T determinations for Hope, Cooper Landing and Whittier and deferral of C&T determinations for all species other than moose for the communities of Ninilchik, Port Graham, Nanwelek and Seldovia. For those four communities, there was a positive C&T determination for moose in Unit 15 and also an August 10th to September 20th moose hunt with no antler restrictions in Unit 15. On the following day, the board adopted a final rule accepting the regional council's deferrals but also deferring C&T determinations for Unit 15A as well as retaining the spiked fork 50 inch antler restrictions for that moose hunt that was from August 10th to September 20th in Units 15B and 15C.

232425

The next thing that happened was that the Ninilchik Traditional Council submitted a request for reconsideration and also filed a complaint for declaratory relief, a motion for a temporary restraining order and a motion for preliminary injunction in federal district court. The court's ruling was that it didn't have jurisdiction because the traditional council had not yet exhausted the administrative remedies, that is for the request for reconsideration. And then it denied the Ninilchik Traditional Council's motion for reconsideration filed Following that, the Ninilchik on the same day. Traditional Council and the board reached an agreement, a settlement agreement, whereby the traditional council would withdraw its motion for a preliminary injunction in exchange or an additional 20 moose hunt for tribal members only in the Skilak Loop Game Management Area. That's in 15A. And part of the terms of agreement was that this was not to be precedent-setting for the rest of the state.

44 45 46

MS. ROBINSON: Yeah. Right.

47 48

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That's great.

49 50

MS. ROBINSON: I don't sound too cynical, do I.

51

LTD COURT REPORTERS

MS. MASON: So -- and as it turned out, after this -- when the results came in of that early moose hunt, only one moose was taken during that additional 20 moose hunt. So, the regional council at the meeting that is going on now was to take up the further deliberation of species and communities, the ones that had been deferred in Units 15 and 7, but rather than take those up, they decided to instead put a proposal before the board to declare all of the Kenai Peninsula rural and then -- and then wait until that proposal had gone through or wait until that had been considered before deliberating further on C&T in Units 7 and 15. So, that's what just happened. And I don't know anything else about that. Is there any questions?

MS. LE CORNU: I have a real problem with that. I mean if all of Kenai is rural and all of those uses are not customary and traditional, now that will forever deprive the Native people of their entitlement to fair opportunity.

MS. MASON: That was discussed by the council. That was debated and it was not a unanimous vote with this proposal.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Probably three dissensions out of seven?

MS. MASON: Two.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Two,

MS. MASON: Two. Yeah. Gary Oskolkoff supported it also. But the -- I believe that the council's reasoning was that they wanted to respond to the public testimony that had -- didn't want to draw lines between communities on the Kenai Peninsula, so it was almost arbitrary whether or not they would go for declaring it all non-rural or all rural. but they went for rural and that's what their proposal is.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's interesting that they say that because when they came up to Anchorage to give public testimony, I saw about 49 Berlin Walls (ph) in that room and they don't want to draw lines.

MS. MASON: Yes. And they're still drawing a line between Anchorage at Portage...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, even among the Kenai.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

5

78

9

10

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37 38

39 40

41

42

43 44 45

46 47

48

49

50

51

MS. MASON: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: They're not as -- they're not as socially inclined as Southeast is.

MS. MASON: Apparently.

MS. LE CORNU: Can I make another comment, Rachel?

MS. MASON: Sure.

MS. LE CORNU: I think we discussed this before, you know, that in the light that this is remedial legislation that doing these kinds of things is really putting the clock forward 25 years to favor everybody and I don't think that was the intent of the legislation that we're dealing with. So, I heard somebody mention earlier that the geographical areas were used also. So we have these designations that -- rural designations, the definition doesn't fit the message. You know, it doesn't fit so rather than rural or geographical, I think what we're talking about is a Those tribal people have a right to political unit. their political unit and I've heard mention earlier about the state's infringement on those rights. That's the area I see that the state is adversarial to Native And with that, I would say, you know, to turn people. everybody into -- give them those tribal rights without having a political situation is wrong. I just don't think it's right to have a rural designation carte blanche.

MS. MASON: i can't comment on that but I do want to clarify that by making it all rural, that does not imply customary and traditional use eligibility.

MS. LE CORNU: Oh, okay.

MS. MASON: The council and the board will still have to consider on a species by species basis what C&T will be in that area. and also I wanted to turn to Bill, if he's here, to ask him to comment on...

MR. CLARK: Bill is looking for a fuse box.

MS. MASON: Oh, he is. Okay. Well, then, I'll just have to fly on my own. But it is a much more difficult thing to change the rural -- non-rural designations than it is to make proposed part D -- subpart D changes in season and bag limits because it

LTD COURT REPORTERS

```
involves a process that has to go all the way to the
 2
    Secretary of Interior.
 3
 4
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                             Dolly.
 5
 6
          MS. GARZA: I guess I heard on the radio this
 7
    morning in regards to the Kenai, they're meeting now?
 8
 9
          MS. MASON: Yes.
10
11
          MS. GARZA: And the majority of testimony was
12
    against that particular moose take?
13
14
          MS. MASON:
                      Yes, it was.
15
          MS. GARZA: Also the comment I heard in regards
16
17
    to changing all of the Kenai to rural was that it was
18
    so preposterous that perhaps someone would finally get
19
    a clue that none of it should be rural. That was on
20
    the radio.
21
22
          MS. MASON:
                       Huh.
                             Yeah, that -- there were quite
23
    a number of media representatives there, so I'm not
24
    surprised that that was the take on it.
25
26
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                            What may happen is that Region
27
    1 may opt to make those determinations for them.
28
    (Laughter)
29
30
          MS. MASON:
                       I can't speak to that.
31
32
          MS. GARZA: The fried bread over there is going
33
    to get cold so you guys should help yourselves.
34
35
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Let's take a five-minute fried
36
    bread.
            Thank you, Millie, for diligence.
37
38
    (6800)
39
40
           (Off record - 10:15 a.m.)
           (On record - 10:29 a.m.)
41
42
43
    (Tape: 3)
44
    (0120)
45
46
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Break's up. Do you want to
47
    continue?
48
49
          MS. MASON: All right. Well, I did want to make
50
    a correction to something I said. I was sort of
   floundering because I couldn't find Bill, but it is
```

LTD COURT REPORTERS

true that the rural -- changes to the rural/non-rural determinations would go as far as the Secretary of Interior. It is a little bit more difficult process, but -- than the other kind of proposals, but it doesn't have to go that far.

MS. GARZA: So who does it go to?

MS. MASON: Maybe Bill would like to respond to that.

MR. KNAUER: A proposal to change the rural determination would not be considered as part of the annual process, but it would be considered a separate part, but the board would have to -- it would go through a process that would be reviewed by the board.

MS. MASON: Mr. Chairman, may I proceed to talk about the new process for C&T?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yes.

4

5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45 46

47 48

49

50 51

MS. MASON: In April of 1995, the Federal Subsistence Board adopted a revised C&T determination process, so beginning with this fall's round of meetings, C&T eligibility for all species within a region will not be reviewed together on the schedule of priority areas the way it was, but instead individual proposals dealing with particular wildlife resources within a unit or units will be discussed. As you know, there's a backlog of more than 200 C&T proposals from years past, and the way that backlog got built up was that the board wasn't considering individual C&T proposals, so instead of considering them, they sent letters to the proposers saying, "We're going to keep them on file and we'll let you know if we ever start considering them." So at this meeting what -- what this council needs to do is to review the previously submitted proposals and decide what the priorities are for it. And as Fred told you, by now many of the old proposals are moot. They've been dealt with in some way or the requester is no longer interested in them.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Question.

MR. MASON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Do we have evidence to indicate that?

MS. MASON: I think we do. I understand that

LTD COURT REPORTERS

Fred has been working on contacting the proposers.

2 3

4

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. CLARK: No. I conferred with Bill on that prior and he suggested that I not get a hold of the proposers until after the council had a chance to be informed.

Okay. We don't have evidence of MASON: that yet. What I suggest and what we just did at the Southcentral Council meeting was that rather than going through the backlog proposals one by one, that each council member identify what are the burning issues in their region, their sub-region, and the staff can do the work of matching them up to the proposals that have already been submitted, but if we can just get from the council members what they think are the most important issues, that will give us an idea of what, how to prioritize them. Another...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: One more question. In the process of submitting what we feel as priorities, what would that do to the ones that weren't listed as priorities?

MS. MASON: It would not mean that they would never be considered, but it would just mean that we would work first on analyzing the ones that were listed There are two other things that would as priorities. enter the list. One of them would be to go through the existing C&T determinations as they exist in the regulations book and see if anything else occurs to you that should be a priority to see if you're happy with the regulations as they are or think that -- that it is an important thing to have them changed. And then the third thing would be new proposals that come in, and we don't know what some of them are. This council can make some proposals at this meeting or generate, at least start generating them, but we don't know what other proposals will come in. So based on what your recommendations are and on the new ones that come in we, the staff, will work to develop a priorities list that includes all of those kinds of input.

And just a suggestion, you can prioritize them any way you want, but the anthropologists got together to talk about how we were going to analyze them and we decided to suggest to the councils that large mammals have priority before small mammals and also that proposals to change no subsistence to a positive determination have priority before modifying a current

C&T determination. But those are just suggestions.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

You got a copy, I believe, of the new proposal form that we are going to be using for it, and it's -- essentially it's the same as the subpart D proposal form, but we added a page that is only for C&T proposals.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Before you get into that, the reason I was asking questions about priorities. Subsistence users, those people in terms of priority, if it's something that you need, it's no less important — if you need something once a month, it's no less important than something you use every day is the point I was trying to infer, see? And that's probably how we will submit our, any of our proposals will be patterned in that light, so if they're very inclusive, you'll know why.

MS. MASON: Yes. I understand that. And I understand that resources are harvested together many times, so it's very difficult to consider them on a resource by resource basis, but that's -- we'll just have to understand that. Okay.

Now, I'm not going to belabor the form very much. I -- just to say that it does add additional questions. The questions address, basically, what the eight factors are that we have traditionally used for analyzing C&T proposals, but it's understood that the proposer is not going to know all that information, so as much information -- if you're filling out one of these or if you're helping somebody fill them out, as much information as can be included is good. And we have included a sample C&T proposal, just to offer some guidance on how it could be if you did it. So does anybody have any questions or comments about the form or about the new process?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, you know we spent a lot of time -- yesterday we were talking about timber management, the impacts that occur once a place has been logged off. Prince of Wales, having been targeted for a long time for some super heavy concentrations of logging, including a lot of clear cut, and I was looking at question number 4. This is "How will this change affect wildlife populations?" Down here in some cases, those changes in wildlife populations may not be because of a factor of subsistence use, see? So some of our responses may reflect the change in habitat because any time you have that large of an impact on that much area, those populations are going to be affected.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

MS. MASON: Yes. Well, my suggestion for answering that question in that kind of a case would be to say the change from subsistence, the impact from that is so minimal compared to other impacts that it would not be...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: But it wouldn't be minimal. It wouldn't be minimal. It would be next to catastrophic. The contrast.

MS. MASON: But the change would not be because of subsistence users.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That's true.

MS. MASON: It would be -- so I would suggest saying there has been a great impact from other sources, but not from subsistence users.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We just have to elaborate a little more.

MS. MASON; I think so.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Let's take a moment. Let everybody kind of scrutinize this. We haven't made anybody bleed on the hot seat yet and we're running out of time to do that.

MS. MASON: I cry very easily.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We do, too.

(Pause)

MS. GARZA: So, do you know that the other regions are starting this process also?

MS. MASON: The only region that I can speak from firsthand experience is Southcentral and they have -- they went through this exercise yesterday of determining which were the burning issues in their regions.

MR. KNAUER: This subject is on the agenda for all the regions in this fall meeting.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: When you said that the priority would be to establish new C&T instead of to modify

LTD COURT REPORTERS

existing C&T...

 MS. MASON: I wasn't saying it would be that way, but I was suggesting that that's the way you might want to look at the priority.

MS. PHILLIPS: Well, for instance, on this, in our book we have a listing of C&T, and like for Unit 4, species deer, it's requesting that we modify the existing determination to include another community, so if it's something real simple like that and we'd be modifying it...

MS. MASON: I guess that would be up to you because to me that sounds like something that would not take a lot of work to analyze it, so...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: This was before we were a region. Now that we're a region, it would imply that that would be available to the region.

MS. MASON: Depending on whether you thought it was an important addition, then you might want to add that as an important issue.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: If you would like to move on, then go ahead and tell us (indiscernible - unclear).

MS. MASON: Okay. That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Well, we appreciate that introduction and thank you for the flash from Kenai. It's one that is of paramount interest to everybody in the state because of its uniqueness by comparison to anything else in the state. There's nothing on the Kenai Peninsula that resembles anyplace else in the state. And so everybody's interested, I think all for the same reasons. And so we thank you for sharing that with us and I'm sure that's not a complete analysis by any means and there will be some more coming.

MS. MASON: Right.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you.

MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman, I have a question. You know, we doing the C&Ts here -- how does this affect -- are we following the ANILCA approach or are we doing different guidelines that -- now, it seems to me like that we, at one time we made up traditional

LTD COURT REPORTERS

C&Ts for each community and area and now then we're off on a different approach altogether, are we not? Maybe I'm a little confused on it.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, confusion is to be expected. Like I said earlier, we're now approaching under a regional consideration, so all the communities in this area are included, and if that resource is in another area, then it's not a big deal. I don't know that I'm understanding your question exactly. If I'm not, then remind me.

MR. ANDERSON: Well, my question simply: Rural, does that include, we say, how about traditional Native use, customary and traditional Native use in the -- when we first set this up, it took in primarily the villages.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That's a good question because ANILCA speaks to rural as rural, meaning everybody that resides in that area. We get to C&T, all of a sudden the focus goes to the indigenous people. So, do you guys have a response to that?

MS. MASON: Okay. I don't know what you mean by that, but once an area is rural or is declared rural then there has to be C&T eligibility in addition to that. Just being declared rural does not entail any C&T eligibility. However, the subsistence program does not make any rural or non-rural communities, so it would have to be rural first before any C&T can be based on it. Do you have...

MR KNAUER: Right. And then the C&T finding is based on the community or area, not on the individuals, not on an individual or some group within that community or area.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I got a letter from a concerned citizen at Point Baker before I left. Did you get a copy? And is Point Baker not considered rural? It's a community of about 60 people.

MS. MASON: Point Baker should be rural.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I'm only reading you verbatim what I got. I'm trying to avert a lawsuit -- my buddy Gretchen. You guys know Gretchen? She says that these rural, these rural considerations, it really impedes their opportunity to subsist. Okay. She sent me a copy of their response to the Lab Bay draft EIS.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

Here's what it reads: "Enclosed is my response to the Lab Bay Draft EIS." The chair of the local advisory committee is supposed to get in touch with you about having the regional council take a position on our loss of subsistence. I hope the council can consider the issue as it means severe hunting restrictions in our area. I don't cabiche (ph).

MS. ROBINSON: Well, it sounds to me, what she's talking about there, is logging affecting the subsistence.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So she sent in the wrong form. Anyway, I didn't know, and if -- I thought they were considered rural and that they had the same privileges of rural people, but they're also in the path of some pretty heavy duty harvesting. Right? Is that what it's all about?

MS. MASON: Yes. They're in the Lab Bay area.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah. Okay. So we're advised of that and I have to admire their taking the time to let us know about that.

MR. CLARK: Mr. Chairman, who's this letter from?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Gretchen Goldstein. Point Baker. Are we ready for our designated hunter update?

MS. GARZA: We did that.

 MR. CLARK: We did that.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So we're not ready for it. Don't embarrass the chair. We're into New Business. Subpart D, Changes to Proposals.

MS. LE CORNU: Can I back up one minute, here, Bill?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Sure thing.

MS. LE CORNU: I'm still confused on this rural thing. You know, if we can make these definitions in who is rural and Point Baker is rural, but they're not customary and traditional users, but automatically they're right in there with the customary and traditional users enjoying a priority, I guess.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I guess that's a point that was

LTD COURT REPORTERS

crossing my mind when it came to my mind to mention -- I meant to mention that if they were rural -- I haven't studied the criteria well enough to know how to approach that. I guess what I'm asking is is it anticipated that there'll be some rural areas that will not have a C&T determination?

MS. MASON: There could be. Yes. There could well be, that your findings were that those, the people in certain communities did not use, traditionally use a certain resource, so despite the fact that they're rural, they would not have C&T eligibility.

MR. KNAUER: In a case like that, they still would be able to harvest resources, but it would be under the regulations provided by the state.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Sure. Sure.

MS. LE CORNU: That's right. We wanted them to understand that. The state should be the one to provide that for them, not the subsistence users.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Sandy.

MR. RABINOWITCH: Mr. Chairman, just an example of the point you were making, that in some parts of the state, and I'm not familiar here in Southeast, so please excuse my ignorance, but in some parts of the state some people, for example, let's say that they never have hunted brown bear and they don't have an interest in hunting brown bear, where in other parts it would be just the opposite, they always have and they continue to be very interested, so if the community was totally together, "We never hunted that species. We don't want to hunt that species," then they may not, if you will, get C&T for that species. I'm just trying to create an example that I've heard discussion of in other parts of the state.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS. Thank you. We'll just have to see what our findings bring us, I guess, on that whole thing. This is a good area to do all that.

MS. WILSON: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Marilyn.

MS. WILSON: I was asking you a while ago what's the criteria or the time line for designating customary and traditional use in one area. I said is there a

LTD COURT REPORTERS

time limit and...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: No, I thought you mean going back.

MS. WILSON: Yes. Going back how far you had used the resource.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. What Marilyn was asking, did you understand her question? She wanted to know -- okay. If I suggest to you that this is customary and traditional, do I have to demonstrate the time of when this began?

MS. MASON: There isn't any date that is set in concrete that the board uses for that, but it has been suggested that 50 years be set as the cut-off point of demonstrating customary and traditional use.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Fifty years is pretty liberal. UNIDENTIFIED: Fifty years -- that could open it up to non-Natives then.

MS. MASON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I'm more customary and traditional than that. Sandy.

MR. RABINOWITCH: Again, just as an example -Rachel might be able to add to this, but you heard her
speak a few minutes ago about the community of
Whittier, and I forget exactly what you said, but
finish and help me out, but my understanding is
Whittier, which is not too far from Anchorage, for
anyone who's not familiar, it was founded by the U.S.
military for purposes of World War ll for defensive
purposes. So about 1942 that community sprang into
existence and has remained, probably as people know,
since. Now that council, if I heard you correct, has
recommended -- help me out there.

MS. MASON: It was founded in World War 11, so it just is right on the 50-year line and they did end up recommending that it be included, but it was something that was under a lot of discussion.

MR. RABINOWITCH: So my only point is to -- not to say that that's a criteria, but to say there's an example of something being put forward by another council.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dale.

MR. KANEN: I think the other criteria I've heard besides just a time line is whether or not it's been handed down from a generation to a generation so it passed through several generations. That's also been used as a possible criteria.

MS. MASON: Yes. And I just learned that it's supposed to be 50 years before 1980, so it would have had to have been before 1930.

MR. KNAUER: The 50-year time frame is not a hard time frame, but that's a figure that a couple of regional councils have suggested might be appropriate because that would also provide an adequate span of time for the pass-down of at least through two generations, because generations are normally considered, I believe, what, about 30 years or so?

MS. MASON: Yeah. But it does leave a lot of room for discussion.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yes. I think that satisfies her question. Marilyn, were you interested in a time line of when the C&T should be submitted, as well?

MS. WILSON: I was just thinking about what some of the communities like that are rural -- in the rural area. I have a hard time saying that word. They would be considered C&T if they've been since they began or since they had become a community that they'd been using the resource. So it seems probably unfair to say that that's not customary and traditional with them if they've been using it since the...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah, I think a lot of people agree with that. Vic.

MR. BURGESS: Mr. Chairman, I've watched this problem erupt since '78, and I think without a proper definition of what subsistence is -- that has to be done first or everything else just dashes off into infinity. What you have to do is define, on your terms, what subsistence is, because this law applies to subsistence. That's the basic thrust of it. The issue is subsistence. We have to define it. That's essentially living off the land. There again, you can solve -- it has nothing to do with years. It has to do with attitudes. So I would suggest that you're dealing with issues that you have to start with simple

LTD COURT REPORTERS

definitions first. Subsistence. What is subsistence? What is subsistence needs? Check 805. Just what does 805 mean? 805, you know, to me is the most important part of Title 8. What is the reasoning behind 805, and you will see that yearly your needs change, or they could change, you know. That's what your report to the Secretary's all about. So 805 -- you have to understand what that 805 means before you can ever solve these little problems.

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

7

8

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, that's one of the reasons why the term "subsistence" is in the peril that it's in. You can't get two people out of three to agree on what subsistence is. And I'm saying that in a broad sense to say that I think that subsistence, it defines more than living off the land. You could live off the land in a grocery store.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

MR. BURGESS: That's right, Mr. Chairman. That's part of 805 there. You read the start of 805, it will say difference in subsistence usage. So some of your living, or livelihood, will be different in the different regions. Every one of them. That's why the regions were formed.

242526

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You'll hear every region -- I don't know that I've heard it from Region 2. Well, all the other regions, and that might include Region 2, will tell you that their interest in subsistence is to fulfill their cultural and traditional practices as things that have became dietary. There's requirements in that nourishment that's part of a person when a person is born. It's like if a parent had a drug addiction. When a child is born it had that same thing in its blood. And the same thing applies with the use of what we refer to as subsistence. People that speak other languages do not like the term "subsistence" because it does not satisfy the intent of what anybody's trying to do. It's interesting that -- when was it, Vic, was it five, six, maybe more years ago? All of a sudden we didn't know what rural was. didn't know what subsistence was. We didn't know what customary and traditional was. Those words are in the dictionary and have been accepted by the world. it came to Alaska they didn't have the same meaning. So that's what we deal with here. Dale.

46 47 48

MR. KANEN: I guess I was also thinking, to further confuse you...

49 50 51

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That's impossible.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

 MR. KANEN: ...as far as a quick solution, if you were to determine or recommend that, say, a new community down here, such as Edna Bay or Thorne Bay or Coffin Cove, did not have C&T, I think it's still not clear to us bureaucrats, based on Title 8, whether or not an individual, say, from Klawock, who had C&T and a clear tradition and then relocated to a rural community like Thorne Bay would not as an individual be eligible for subsistence.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That's why you have the regional councils. The councils will make those determinations and bring them forward. And so the bureaucrats don't even have to consider that. All they've got to do is trust me.

MR. KANEN: No. I just didn't want you to think there's a quick fix.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: I don't know how we can get away from not sub-grouping people. We're just going to have to categorize subsistence users separate from sport users, and we need to find a way to do that within the community so that people in Craig that were born and raised here when there was only 400 people don't lose their subsistence rights when your population grows to 2,000. So we're going to have to look at categorizing these people.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Bill.

MR. KNAUER: Mr. Chairman, in the event of a demand exceeding the resource, there are provisions both in ANILCA and Section 804 and in the regulations that provide for that differentiation. So that is not a thing that initially needs to be considered in the C&T, but in the event of what we call an 804 situation, the council would be consulted on their recommendations on how to handle that and how to separate. There are some guidelines that provide for that.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: To answer your question, Patty, subsistence use historically was at the top of the use of any resource. In the course of time, it found its way to the bottom. And you all know what finds the way to the bottom first. So that's how it's required -- I mean that's how it's viewed by some people. It's incumbent on other user groups and their management

LTD COURT REPORTERS

schemes and their disciplines in harvesting to ensure that those stocks don't get below a level that best -- if a stock gets below a level to maintain subsistence use, the whole industry's in trouble and I think people know that. I don't think the responsibility had been embraced for a long time, but it can't go on without being embraced anymore. So, regardless of how many people reside in an eligibility area, management should be such to where those resources shouldn't be in peril enough to where subsistence practices can't be exercised.

MS. PHILLIPS: Exactly.

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43 44

45

46 47

48 49

50

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly, was that a recognition? Okay.

MS. GARZA: I guess, you know, we're discussing a subject that has been discussed for at least a decade and I don't think that a clear consensus has come about and I'm not sure that we're going to come to consensus here on it, and I think we need to get back to the agenda, the process we're going to be following. my understanding that it's the responsibility of this council to look at requests for C&T and requests for rural, non-rural and to consider them and that we'll consider them at that time and that will take all of this discussion into account as to, you know, what is a community made of, what are their interests in the resource and make those decisions one by one, because each community is going to be much different than the others. I think that Southeast is probably different than other regions because we have a number of new logging camps that likely, although rural, will not obviously be C&T, but we do have a number of small rural communities that are not traditionally Native communities, but nonetheless have a strong reliance on resources.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you, Dolly. And we'll come back to our agenda. Mr. Coordinator, do you want to lead us into this?

MR. CLARK: One moment. Would you just pass those around? It's just for additional information.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We're on New Business, item A.

MR. CLARK: Mr. Chairman, those are just being passed out for additional information that may be pertinent to the letter that the council's developing.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

4 5

13

14

25

20

30

36

41 42 43

> 44 45 46

47 48

49 50

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We don't pass out in this council. We distribute.

MR. CLARK: I'm sure that's a matter of opinion. Pardon me. It took me a minute to get this together. I gave away my booklet and had to find a new one. Under New Business, subpart D, Changes to the Proposed Rule, the original draft agenda under that section had the whole process of looking at proposals, individual proposals, that was more fitting for the spring/winter meeting than for the fall meeting, so what I did is I shortened that up to -- so after you get ready to deal with individual proposals there would be an introduction of each proposal, some consideration of the council and the public and then the council's deliberation and action should the council wish to act on each of the proposals.

In your booklet there are the proposed rules for the 1996-1997 federal subsistence regulations. like to ask Bill Knauer to help me out on this especially. It's my understanding that there is no change in these proposed rules from the existing regulations.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Bill, while they're streetcleaning outside, maybe you could move to the front table, if you would. They think there's a Serb neighborhood here. Thank you.

MR. KNAUER: Fred is correct. The proposed rule this year has no changes from the final rule of last year. There are -- the proposed rule always uses the That's the way we've past year's rule as the basis. done it. It's something that you're familiar with, and it gives you a starting point. In the past years there have been some minor changes, some corrections. year there are none in there.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So the proposal is left like it was?

That's correct. And any proposals MR. KNAUER: you might have or may wish to consider would be changes from what you see there.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The chairman is immersed in bewilderment. Can the council help me out here? you guys have any wishes or druthers or do you folks? Does staff have any suggestions on ...

LTD COURT REPORTERS

 MS. GARZA: I have a couple questions. I can't remember how it worked last year, but I think the process was that we were notified of these proposed changes and then it's listed in here that there is a period during which proposals can be submitted, after which we review them, but when we review them in February in Wrangell, we will not at that time have the ability to submit proposals of our own, so this would be our only time frame to do so as a council.

MR. KNAUER: The comment period or the period for submitting proposals goes through October 27th. can submit proposals as a council. You could submit proposals individually. At your February meeting, you will receive -- well, prior to your February meeting, you will have received a booklet with all the proposals that have been submitted thus far and at the February meeting ask to review and make your recommendations on those proposals affecting the Southeast region or ones that deal statewide. There may be at that time suggestions that you may wish to make regarding some of In other words, you make think that a the proposals. certain proposal, the basis of it is very good, but there may need to be a little tweaking to make it more appropo to the user or more protective of the resource, but you are correct that you would not be able to submit a totally new proposal at that time.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So then we would then anticipate then to take these proposed regulations with us and match it up against existing regulations and offer any changes that we would like to be considered. So at this time I don't -- it's apparent we don't have any now. So we won't be doing that now.

MR. KNAUER: (Inaudible affirmative response.)

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Sandy.

MR. RABINOWITCH: Excuse me. A point of clarification of something Bill said, because I think it might be important to the council. Bill, did you say that if the council did not report any proposals today that they could do so up to October 27th, and that's what I thought I heard, and my point is that if that's what you said, I think it might be technically in error in that the council can only act in a session like this. It couldn't act as a council without having a proper meeting and so that if the council wanted to report actually a member of the council would have to

LTD COURT REPORTERS

10 11 12

13

14

202122

23

19

2425262728

31 32 33

> 34 35

29

30

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49 50 MR. RABINOWITCH:

to go along.

MR. KNAUER:

along prior to this date.

MR. KNAUER:

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Either that or we could look for money that isn't there and have a special meeting because our rules say that we meet at least twice a year.

take some action today indicating that you wanted that

do that if it were done after this meeting ends.

now and create a group that says, you know, we're going

MR. RABINOWITCH: And then the proposal can come

They could certainly initiate one

Right. But you would have to

MR. CLARK: That's correct.

to prepare a proposal on such and such.

Yes.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It doesn't say that we're limited to two meetings a year. We meet at least twice a year. Sandy.

MR. RABINOWITCH: Just to explain the reason I'm bringing that up is to attempt to help avoid somebody later on making a claim that a council action was done in an improper manner. Without publically noticing, opportunity to...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Appreciate that.

MR. KNAUER: All of the regional councils are chartered and have to operate under the Federal Advisory Committee Act and that has certain rules that say that your meetings have to be noticed in the Federal Register at least 15 days in advance and that's one of the reasons why we asked that you set your time and location far enough in advance so that we can publish that notice. They have to be open to the public. Records have to be available and so on. And there has been court cases where an agency has been unable to follow recommendations of an advisory group because they had not followed the procedures of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. We have been working very diligently and the councils up here have been very cooperative and we have been able to follow those procedures, which are to the benefit of both the council and the public in the operating (ph).

LTD COURT REPORTERS

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I don't think we have any ambitions of changing that, but there might be a point and time when we can't avoid that. Dolly?

MS. GARZA: I guess the two areas that I think this council should consider discussing and deciding if we should do something now as opposed to in February when our time to submit a proposal as a group is gone, is, one: To deal with C&T or whatever new issues we have in regards to fishing in freshwater streams, given the Katie John decision. The second one would be to do a cursory review and walk-through of the C&T proposals that are in the packet to determine if we should be suggesting anything, either combining, eliminating or forwarding any of the proposals that have been submitted in the past and are backlogged.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Do you have a preference? Would you like to offer something I can get a reaction from the council? I'm liking what I'm hearing. I just want to -- the reason I say that about the council is to let the record show that we're still all listening. Vicki, do you have anything?

MS. LE CORNU: I don't have any.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty?

MS. PHILLIPS: To add to Dolly. The regulation states, "Proposals for changes relating to fish or shellfish regulations and changes to the overall program will not be considered by the board at this time." For us to discuss fish in freshwater, wouldn't that have to be deleted?

MR. KNAUER: That's what we've been talking about earlier, but the board is, because of the situation with the litigation and the petition is not opening up to proposals now. Also you mentioned freshwater — it's not all freshwater. It's only the non-navigable portions of the freshwater, which is a very limited area on most parts of the forest, and generally very little subsistence occurs in those non-navigable portions.

MS. GARZA: Well, I guess I disagree with you there, and I think that this council can go through the process of determining what areas should be considered non-navigable. I take strong opposition to having agency people off in a corner deciding what's navigable

LTD COURT REPORTERS

and what's non-navigable, because I think it is open to interpretation and I think that we're going to go around and around and around with this because the majority of our subsistence resources are fishery based. And you know we're not going to keep saying, okay, we'll put it off for five or 10 more years. I mean it has to be dealt with and I think that if we have an opportunity to start that process now, however small it may be, we should.

MS. LE CORNU: I have one thing to add to that, is that I think the people advocating for the fishing rights in the court didn't want to deal with navigable — what I heard in the last report when we met in Hoonah I think it was, they wanted to deal with navigable, non-navigable, but what the real issue is is that their water rights. Those are higher standards. So we, as a board, have to advocate for those higher standards. You know, seeing that the court is not settled.

MR. KANEN: Yes. Bill, what's the difference in -- I think I hear Hydaburg requesting to consider perhaps a C&T on fish species in fresh water. What's the difference between that request and the quick C&T that your agency, Fish and Wildlife Service, did for rainbow trout on the Y-K Delta, which is navigable?

MR. KNAUER: The interim C&T that was done for rainbow trout on the Y-K Delta was not done in navigable waters. That was only -- it was only done for non-navigable areas. And the issue of navigability and non-navigability is not something that we, as an agency, decide. It is an adjudicated process handled by the federal agency specifically dealing with that. It's not something that we have any jurisdiction over.

MS. GARZA: But as an example, the steelhead stream in Hydaburg. I mean, no one, no one navigates that stream. I mean it is a non-navigable stream, but steelhead is not in here and it should be, you know. And I think that there are small areas that we should start tackling so that we get familiar with the process and that we're able to deal with the larger issue when it comes about.

MS. LE CORNU: Not to mention the people that are getting cited for illegal fishing.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mim.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

MS. ROBINSON: It seems to me that this can be dealt with once we go home and identify the areas that are critical in our units and come back, that -- the Hydaburg one would be one that we could deal with at That this is, to me, this is part of the that time. process of identifying the priority issues, and if there happen to be some on non-navigable waters, then we could certainly look at those later, you know, the next meeting. Whatever is a priority item, you know, start dealing with it. But as far as dealing with the overall picture of fish, fisheries, that whole aspect, for the most part I'd rather not spend the time on it, because there's nothing that's been decided yet and it could go either way, so I'd rather, you know, deal with what we can deal with that the board will deal with and not waste our time on anything else at this time. it looks like there's going to be a time when we can that it would be worth our while, then yes, let's go for it. It needs to be dealt with, for sure. But it's, you know, it's frustrating for everyone, but still, I still think we shouldn't waste our time on it if we can't go anywhere with it. It's going to be a long process regardless.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty? Then I'll give Dolly -- she's pondering the whole...

 $\,$ MS. PHILLIPS: To change subpart D, we need a motion? Is that...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Uh-huh.

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

2728

29

30 31

32 33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41 42

43 44

45 46

47

48

49 50

51

MS. PHILLIPS: So I move to delete "proposals for changes relating to fish or shellfish regulations, changes to the overall program will not be considered by the board at this time."

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: No, wait. Listen. We don't change this. We change the proposals that are made under subpart D.

MS. PHILLIPS: Oh. I quess I don't understand.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Change the proposals.

MR. KNAUER: What you're reading right there is what's called the preamble or the introductory language.

MS. PHILLIPS: I thought we made changes to 42086.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

MS. ROBINSON: What page are you on, Patty?

MS. PHILLIPS: 42086. Middle row. F. Under the public review process. F. I thought we made changes to this before or...

MS. ROBINSON: I think we commented on them.

MS. PHILLIPS: Oh, I see. Okay, so what are we -- I guess I'm confused as to...

MR. KNAUER: What you will be making proposals to change are the actual regulations themselves, which actually start over on 42087.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So is this a an error in the introduction on the agenda, "Changes to proposed rules"? Would that be an error? Because I think that's what you're offering, right? A change in the proposed rules.

MR. KNAUER: The proposed rules -- what you're looking at is introductory language to the proposed rules, and what you want to offer are changes to the proposed rules itself, not the introductory language. The introductory language just provides you a little bit of background of where we've been, where we're going and why we're doing it, and what we're accepting proposals on.

MS. GARZA: So where does the proposals start?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, maybe we'd better spend more time here. Where does the proposed rules begin in here, then?

MR. KNAUER: The actual proposed rule starts on the bottom right column of 42087.

MR. CLARK: Mr. Chairman, if I may?

MS. GARZA: So, Part blank Subsistence Management Regulations for Public Lands in Alaska. Is that the title, the heading?

MR. KNAUER: That is where it starts.

MR. CLARK: I was just going to ask Bill if there are any changes in the introductory language between this and the previous years' regulations.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

MR. KNAUER: The change in introductory language. There is some differences in the navigable waters that explains why the Federal Subsistence Board is not receiving proposals on fish and shellfish. It talks a little about the Katie John case and the Quinhagak in the petition. But again, that's background and introductory language to explain why the board is not accepting proposals on that.

MS. PHILLIPS: Okay. I withdraw my motion.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Well, don't feel bad. I wasn't going to put a...

MR. KNAUER: It's a learning process for everybody and regulations are extremely difficult. You'll be lucky to find two people in an agency that are familiar with the regulatory process. It's a very complex thing and you all are doing remarkably well in understanding the process and the comprehension that allows you to be so effective.

MS. GARZA: So, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly.

MS. GARZA: So, under there -- I've sort of figured this out. Under the navigable waters section it says, "No attempt is being made to alter fish and shellfish portions of the regulations." So that was a decision of the Federal Subsistence Board?

MR. KNAUER: And the Washington solicitors, because the Katie John case is still under appeal and adjustment and also because of the Northwest Arctic Regional Council petition. We anticipate that there will be some final guidance provided by the courts or the Secretaries within the next year on that, at which time we'll know better whether we'll be reconvening councils. It may be a situation, at one extreme, where there may have to be additional councils established because of the workload. We don't know. It could be anything. It could be the courts say, "No. All of that is under state jurisdiction." We don't know at this point.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mim.

MS. ROBINSON: In there it says, it refers to sections blank 26 and blank 27. Where are those? Are

LTD COURT REPORTERS

they in here?

MR. KNAUER: No. They're not reproduced in here, but they would be the fish and shellfish sections of the salmon-colored book.

MS. ROBINSON: Okay.

MR. KNAUER: Right. Essentially what the salmon-colored book is these regulations put in a little bit more readable format. So if you feel more comfortable operating from that to develop a proposed regulation instead of this, that's acceptable also. If you'll notice in the salmon-colored book, it puts the C&T determination right beside the regulation for the area. The annual regulation normally says how many, how and when. C&T normally says what species, who and where.

MS. ROBINSON: And the harvest amount. Are we supposed to be coming up with proposals right now? Where are we going with this?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, this is -- I'm thinking the same thing. That's why I said that I don't know, and we may, if we do come up with anything to have a hint of proposals to be considered would be great. I don't know that we'll be able to do that today. That's why I suggested that we took the time of what's left of the window and then call a special meeting to make sure that whatever we do is sanctioned and it's proper in the process. Dolly.

MS. GARZA: I have a lousy memory, but last year I think we did do one proposal and that was the deer, the designated hunter proposal, and I don't know of any issue that's that similar in being Southeast-wide that we would come up with a proposal now, and so perhaps the other option would be to look at the backlog of C&T proposals in the packet and decide which we would want to forward or consider or consolidate or whatever.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: These RFPs go to all the communities, do they? The request for proposals.

MR. KNAUER: Yes sir. The packet, the request for proposals which I see a copy there on Dolly's, with the brown cover, gets sent out to communities, organizations and individuals all over the state. The mailing list is somewhere in the neighborhood of 3,000 or so.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Is that statewide?

MR. KNAUER: Statewide.

2

3

5

78

9

11

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

MS. ROBINSON: I received three at my house.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I got two.

MS. GARZA: Well, see that's why you don't get the list of people. You get three of those; I only get one.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So I would like to ask for some guidance from the council on this. I think I recognize a more committed interest in being more representative in this process to the region. And we're just kind of at loose ends right now on what we think will be best,. Right now I'm kind of inclined to put my trust in the response of the communities that have been solicited. Mim?

MS. ROBINSON: I have not heard of any burning issue other than the recent decision by the Federal Subsistence Board to not change that regulation for Prince of Wales Island. I think that that will be -- there will probably be proposals put in that we will be looking at at out next meeting to make comments on and we can deal with that at that time. That's the only issue that comes to mind.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That's a good point. I was in Anchorage for that meeting when that was brought up for consideration. Some of the discussion occurred before the board took any action on it. They were very careful to get as much reaction and input from those that were in attendance. That included agencies, staff and the council. I represented the council. And the thing that seemed to be the most welcome there was the fact, the nature of that regulations, probably the best regulation in the book because it's going to be so widely scrutinized and if at any time that action results in a negative impact on the populations, consideration will be to adjust or to eliminate that provision, and that's what happened in that -- at the board meeting. And I think that was a good ruling. it doesn't threaten the regulation. It doesn't jeopardize it, but it makes it responsible. If every regulation was as responsible as that one, we'd be in good shape. Mim.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

MS. ROBINSON: Okay. That's the only issue that comes to mind that I've heard anything about that effects a large area. I know from my community there's nothing pressing there. We probably won't be submitting any proposals this year. So I don't know. I mean we could go ahead and look at the C&T thing, but there was also the request that we go back to our communities and prioritize our issues and bring those back with us and discuss the C&T at our next meeting. So, we could do either way, I suppose. I mean, I'd probably feel just as comfortable doing it now as I would then. I don't think anything would change.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Speaking of that, in soliciting to other communities, which is probably something we should consider doing as a council, if we put together that soliciting document so that we all know what it's going to say and where it's going to go, and that would be to every community in Southeast. So that could be one of our agenda items for this meeting.

MS. GARZA: We direct the chairman to do it.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I withdraw the recommendation.

MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman, I would recommend that we take an early lunch and come back and be prepared to look at the backlog of C&T issues to consider.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Could you be specific on "early"?

MS. GARZA: Like right now. It's 11:30. Come back at 1:00.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We are on lunch break now until 1 o'clock. We're going to come back and look at C&Ts. Remember my admonitions to you. Don't discuss this among yourselves or with anybody else. If it doesn't fit, you must acquit.

(Off record - 11:35 a.m.) (On record - 1:18 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Hank just shared with me an easier to read version of Don Young's account as prepared by the media. Listen to this: "Throwing a new wrinkle into an already raging debate, Representative Don Young of rural Alaska" -- is that rural Alaska or is it Republican Alaska? R-Alaska. That's rural?

LTD COURT REPORTERS

MR. NEWHOUSE: Republican.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ... "Thursday, filed legislation to transfer control of the Tongass National Forest from the federal level to the state. The people who live there should have the say." Who are we? We don't live here?

MS. LE CORNU: You don't speak English?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: No speaka the English? Here's how they spelled management: C-O-N-T-R-O-L. Pretty exciting, huh? Maybe that's good for some people, but for what we're trying to do, it's double dealing. I guess that's the point I'm trying to make in a nut shell. But it's here to review. Where'd we leave off at? Are we still on...

MS. MASON: No, I had discussed with Fred that maybe the two of us would sit here while you're going through the C&T proposals.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Let's do that. Another request from the recorder. I'm not going to restrict discussion just to the council. We'll still take input from the floor, but when I recognize you, please come up and speak at the table because this is to -- this is a courtesy to the recorder. She's having a difficult time without you coming to the table, so if you would do that, that would help.

MR. CLARK: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Fred.

MR. CLARK: Ken Thompson is finishing up the copying on that section of the transcripts from the last meeting having to do with the annual report, just for your information. That will be distributed soon. It won't be passed out. It will just be distributed.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Good. That's much more coherent. As I use the resources I have available to me, I become wiser by the minute. I was looking in ANILCA under 805, with reference to the annual reports to the Secretary, and it takes it verbatim and puts it into our manual: "Identification of current and anticipated subsistence use of fish and wildlife populations within the regions." So since we're not dealing with fish, I think we just are reporting.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

Wouldn't that work?

3 MR. KNAUER: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Thank you. I feel like that's my only contribution to this meeting so far. So we need to take a look at our C&T listings. We'll just walk through them and see what we can do with them. It's in the brown folder, toward the last -- it's follows the blank sheet. All the way back. And the print is rearranged on the paper. There you go. If you've got to look at it like this, you're in the right spot. Okay. Would staff be willing to read as we went along and you read them and let us mull it over. That way we'll give you the blame for any context that's in here. Would you do that?

MS. CLARK: Certainly. The first one -- it may be good to refer to them by their tracking code, which is on the far right side.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: 018080?

MR. CLARK: C080. Start there and then work down. So, first for consideration, tracking code number C080. This has to do with unit 1, brown bear. Would the council prefer talking about the existing determination before the requested determination? Would that make more sense?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Sure. Sure.

MR. CLARK: Okay. The existing determination is for unit 1, brown bear. "No determination except no subsistence for residents of Wrangell, Klukwan, Haines and Skagway." Requested determination by the state's Southeast Regional Fish and Game Council is to delete "No determination except no subsistence for residents of Wrangell, Klukwan, Haines and Skagway."

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So, period after...

MR. CLARK: That just removes everything. It removes the whole determination. So it would be no determination.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Marilyn, does that sound agreeable? What's it's saying is that right now the existing one says...

MS. WILSON: I know what it says.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ...and then the other one here removes that. If we adopt the requested, there would be no exceptions. Everybody would have -- the C&T would be inclusive then, wouldn't it?

MR. CLARK: Yes.

MS. WILSON: Did that just happen this year at the state regional council?

MS. ROBINSON: There isn't a state regional council.

MS. WILSON: I didn't think so.

MR. CLARK: No. The dates aren't included here. Is the date given on the longer version, Rachel?

MS. MASON: Yes, it is, but they're not in order. That one was from '92.

MS. WILSON: Okay. We've been trying to get that up there, open season more than every four years, it used to be, and I guess it's determined not only in Haines but the whole Alaska. They can't change it just for Haines, but this is a C&T determination.

MS. GARZA: Excuse me. Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly.

MS. GARZA: I guess what I'm trying to do is figure out where this fits into the notice that went out. So does this fit into these pages where it has C&T determinations? Okay. And so we would just look under the correct unit and find...

MS. MASON: Mr. Chairman, I can clarify that. What we're looking at is the backlog of C&T requests.

MS. GARZA: Okay. But it says something is existing here, so I'm trying to find what's existing in the document. Okay. What unit is this?

MS. LE CORNU: Unit 1.

MS. GARZA: Okay. So under unit 1 there is a brown bear and it says, "No determination except no subsistence for residents of Wrangell, Klukwan, Haines and Skagway." So if we eliminate that, then that

LTD COURT REPORTERS

section will be blank?

MS. PHILLIPS: Well, no, the next one down, we could put that one it.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mr. Knauer.

MR. KNAUER: Mr. Chairman, both 80 and 88, if you were to eliminate that statement, it would become a no determination. No determination means that it's open to any rural Alaskan resident. So that would mean any of the residents in Wrangell, Klukwan, Haines, Skagway or any of the other rural areas would be eligible. It would not specify particular communities. So it would be more general and at some later time, if there were significant competition, then it might be appropriate to narrow that scope in exactly which communities really had C&T in there, but a no determination would still provide an opportunity for the residents to have a priority.

MS. GARZA: Okay. Now when you were saying that, you had said that if there's nothing and there's no determination, then it would allow rural residents in those four listed communities?

MR. KNAUER: In any rural community.

MS. GARZA: From Ketchikan up?

MR. CLARK: In the state.

MS. GARZA: Only from the same unit?

MR. CLARK: No. Within the state.

MR. KNAUER: Anywhere.

MS. GARZA: Okay. So we don't want that.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Vic.

MR. BURGESS: Mr. Chairman, Victor Burgess. Now this refers to the last meeting we ever had, March 16, 1992, and it's the first time that I've ever heard about any recommendation being accepted. We had a whole list of them. We took two days working on these. Well, it's a list of Southeast Regional Council recommendations. I'll let you run copies of that if you want.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Oh, this is a state regional council.

MR. BURGESS: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Thank you, Vic. Do we have a qualified copy operator that would like to volunteer? The stipend is \$30.00 per sheet if you'd like to do that. Keep track of the sheets and we pay you accordingly. Thank you very much.

MS. GARZA: So I guess on this brown bear thing, since the requester was the Southeast Regional Fish and Game Council and Ann Lowe, and it looks like both of those requests are similar, neither appears to be something from Klukwan, Haines, Wrangell or Petersburg, unless they were unanimous in support of this, and so I'm not really sure how we should consider it without any kind of discussion from John or Marilyn. So maybe we need to hear from you guys.

MS. WILSON: I'm a little confused now. This unit 1 is all of the coastal line of Southeast, right? The whole coastal line. I know it's split up to 1D, 1C, 1B, 1A.

MR. FELLER: Yes. It refers to the mainland.

MS. WILSON: So we're talking about the whole unit, all down the coast, not just up in unit 1D.

MS. MASON: Right. It goes all the way from Glacier Bay...

MS. WILSON: Up in Haines area and Klukwan, we have a very terrible bear problem. The bears are coming into town quite a bit and into the garbage dumps, but a lot of them are into town. That's the brown bears. And so we've got a very big population up there of brown bear.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We won't be able to say that in the C&T area, even though that's the case.

 $\mbox{MS. WILSON: Well, I'm just enlightening argument there.}$

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah. Thank you. Have you had any discussion in the community, though, around customary and traditional users of the brown bears?

LTD COURT REPORTERS

MS. WILSON: No. We didn't discuss that in any subsistence proposals until the latter part of our existence. Last part -- last year.

3 4 5

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The reason I was asking the question was to see if there would be, if it would be received negatively if we offered that consideration to have a no C&T determination for brown bear, but I would hate to do that as a blanket move when, in fact, it might be of a more serious issue someplace else. What's Wrangell's thoughts? Have you had the opportunity, John, to have any discussion (indiscernible - unclear)?

> MR. FELLER: Yes. Mr. Chairman, there was Richard Reinhart's uncle or grandfather, but that's way back, that made his living hunting bears, hunting brown bear, grizzlies in the Stikine drainage, but I don't know how that would relate. That's similar to the moose C&T. That brings to mind that, when they'd said there was no -- I think it was the Department of Fish and Game said that there was no C&T on the Stikine River except for what was reported out at Petersburg for 1920 for moose, where in fact there was for hundreds of years before by the Tlingits in Wrangell and some of the elders told me stories where there was wars fought over the resources on the Stikine River, and I'm sure that would be C&T, customary and traditional use where they used all the resources, in fact, to defer that -- to deter that war -- excuse me -- they offered the use of it, but the other chief wanted the whole river so that offer wasn't taken. But the war was fought anyway.

As far as the bear, there -- I don't think there has been any subsistence use for bear up there for over 50 years, possibly 100 years.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You know, even with the good input we have at this council, I would be reluctant — I think to go through this would be good. I think we can do this only as a beginning of this process, but I would really be reluctant to come up with a final recommendation without every affected community fully understanding the implications of our direction. See? So let's, just for today, let's go ahead and follow our instincts, our gut feelings, realizing full well that it's only for this meeting. Is that agreeable?

MS. GARZA: I didn't understand what you said.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. We'll go through the process. Okay. Let's say, based on what Marilyn said, that the problem in Haines is a bear problem. There are too many of them. They're into the garbage. They're a nuisance. In Wrangell, chiefs fought wars over this, so based on that, I don't see any negative impact by supporting to delete -- or no harm in supporting this requested determination.

MS. WILSON: I was trying to get clarification. I guess I'm not still familiar with this C&T and it says, no C&T except for residents -- or no subsistence. So what this is is C&T determination, use determination requests. So that's exactly what this is? If we request that the brown bear be open for Unit 1 or Klukwan, Haines and Skagway -- oh, they left Wrangell out?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: What would happen if this was adopted and sanctioned by every community, what that would say was no matter who lives there can kill a bear. That's what it's going to mean. But a C&T determination then would start looking at how long or try to establish a time or see if it falls within a 50-year period of customary and traditional use.

MS. WILSON: Each community?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yes.

MS. WILSON: Oh, okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: John?

MR. FELLER: Yes. Like Marilyn said, the same thing exists there on the Stikine. There's a lot of bear. Some years past, I climbed up one mountain by Twin Lakes and we counted around 20 just in the hills around there, plus goats.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So based on that, I would be comfortable in supporting the request, again, just for today. Dolly?

MS. GARZA: I guess I'm still a little bit confused. I mean, it sort of looks like those are the only communities within Unit 1, and so it's saying there's no subsistence use of bear, brown bear, in Unit 1. And I find that, kind of, appalling myself, but I don't know what the difference is between no determination on C&T, but no subsistence for these four

LTD COURT REPORTERS

communities.

MS. MASON: Mr. Chairman. I'm not sure if this is exactly what you're asking, but in these rules, when it says no subsistence, it doesn't mean that subsistence users can't use it. It just means that there's no special priority for subsistence users.

MS. GARZA: So do you have to have a C&T determination before you can have a special subsistence determination?

MS. MASON: Yes. Because when the board took over from the state regulations, they just adopted the existing C&T determinations on the book. So that any ones that are already in the regulations stating that there is a subsistence priority are ones that were carried over from the state.

MS. GARZA: So by saying that there's no subsistence for residents of Wrangell, Klukwan, Haines and Skagway is to assume that there's no C&T for those communities?

MS. MASON: That's right. That there's no subsistence priority for them.

MS. GARZA: And you guys would argue that?

MR. FELLER: Well, this was before that we have the rural status priority. We have the eligibility in Wrangell now and I don't see anybody that would be interested in arguing it now. I mean it would be nice to keep that door open. We have elders that tell stories of past use and there was bear hunters that were just, that's all they did. I don't know how many years ago that was.

MS. GARZA: So then the likely process would be to support, as Bill was saying, eliminating that except no subsistence for these four communities. It would open it up to no determination, and then it would be up to those four communities, individually or collectively, to submit to have C&T determination for bear for their area?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: If they didn't agree with the recommendations from this council. I'm assuming that any action that we do will be submitted for the awareness of those communities. Another thing that comes to mind is that a lot of times we're saying does

LTD COURT REPORTERS

this mean so and so? Does this mean that? I think that we should spend some time on written definitions of what different responses imply. Who had their -- there was a hand -- oh, Vic, then Bill.

MR. BURGESS: Mr. Chairman. Victor Burgess. Maybe I can enlighten you if my memory is that good. Were you there at the last meeting, Marilyn?

MS. WILSON: I think so. It was a long time ago.

MR. BURGESS: How about you, Mim?

MS. ROBINSON: Yes.

MR. BURGESS: You were there, Lonnie?

MR. ANDERSON: Yes.

MR. BURGESS: I think at that time we associated customary and traditional with true subsistence users and maybe the regulation specialists that was there didn't explain what the format was to recommend there. So these to me mean nothing. If you remember, Petersburg was very much against any association with subsistence at all. Correct? Wrangell was, too, but they weren't represented by a Native. They were represented by some other group.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: One of those other guys?

MR. BURGESS: So I think that at that time we didn't know any more than we know today. In fact I know less. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: If it doesn't fit, you must acquit. Bill.

MR. KNAUER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. You were asking a little bit about what different statements mean. Let me go through the range of terms that are used in C&T determination. On one side you have a statement that says no subsistence. That means that that's a determination that no one, no rural community has a subsistence priority in that area and therefore there would be no federal season. Next there is what we call a positive determination and it might say community X in sub-unit 1A or 2B, or it might say in Unit 1 or it might list a number of communities. What that says is only those communities have a priority. And then proceeding further there is the term no determination

LTD COURT REPORTERS

and what that says is there has not been a positive determination and any qualified rural resident may participate in that priority. This is just a hair back from that being a determination. They've said no determination and then they put an exclusion in there and said it's no determination, but we know for a fact that these communities have subsistence. That's what that statement means.

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35 36

37

38 39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50

51

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I think maybe I am a little bit -- maybe I'm immersing myself in naiveness too far. But in the CFRs, there's always a lot of detail paid to definitions of whatever is said in a CFR. In a law or an act, there's always additional detail to intentions of definitions, of implications. When it gets to our level, we lose that luxury. I guess what I'm asking for is that in our discussion and our style of discussion, in our dialogue, especially with the lead agency, in your responses, when we ask questions on what the implications are, should be able to say back on page 4, second paragraph, Tom, this is what it says. Now there's some questions we come up with that haven't been anticipated. And those are the ones I would like to be included because they're going to come up again and again through this process. I'm just seeking right now if that's a consideration that would be possible. Bill.

MR. KNAUER: I think I followed you and in fact a good example is on page 18 of the salmon book. Just above the table.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: No determination indicates open to all rural Alaska residents.

 $\mbox{MR. KNAUER:}\ \mbox{No subsistence indicates no priority}$ for subsistence use.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. And that indicates that there is no priority.

MR. KNAUER: That means that, for example, a rural resident of Cold Bay, an FAA worker out at Cold Bay, could come over to Unit 1, for example, if you look under black bear in Unit 1A, B and D, no determination, an FAA worker that lives out at Cold Bay could come over in Unit 1A and harvest two black bears during the open season.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. I can understand that.

But if I'm in the forum when you're not there and this

LTD COURT REPORTERS

comes before me again, I'd like to offer that same elaboration, but I won't be able to. So what I'm saying is that this should have been carried out farther to, kind of, elaborate on those implications. It says no subsistence indicates no priority subsistence use, and it's kind of put that if you don't understand this, dummy, how simple can they make it? You know? But I guess I've gone beyond the point of embarrassment to where I think we need more elaboration on those.

MS. GARZA: I guess in looking at this for the C&T determination. I certainly agree with the efforts of both 080 and 088 of striking Klukwan, Haines and Wrangell so that it doesn't say except no subsistence for residents of those communities, because I do think that there is subsistence, but I don't know that we can take that one step further and say that there is C&T. And perhaps it's something that they need to go back and work with their communities on because to leave it open for no determination implies that anyone from anywhere can come and harvest brown bear in that community and maybe they have enough brown bear and maybe it's a problem that they would prefer that to happen, or maybe they would like to have C&T determination for that.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I agree with that. But I think that we should indicate what our instincts tell us at this point and, just to let them know what hazards are out here, so they can recognize that. They said, no, we don't want that. Why are you guys doing that? And they can tell us. To say it like this that they won't feel and challenge, I don't believe. That's why I suggested let's go through this as if we were making decisions on it, knowing full well that we're not.

MS. WILSON: Mr. Chairman, I don't feel comfortable in making a decision on this proposal and feeling safe about it because of the possible...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I don't either.

MS. WILSON: It scares me. I'd rather go back to the community and get more input on something of this magnitude.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. What's the wish of the council? Mim.

MS. ROBINSON: I think we're going to keep

LTD COURT REPORTERS

running into these throughout and I think we ought to go with what the staff recommended before, which is to go to the communities, find out what the priorities are in the communities and deal with this at the next meeting. Unless people think we can just breeze through any of these. We're going to have to keep saying well, you know, I need to go talk to people in my community.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: The rural, qualified rural users have priority over all other users. We keep seeming to forget that. Over sport, over commercial. The qualified rural resident has priority, and so I don't know why we can't go in there and establish that priority, and so I would be willing to say a qualified rural resident of Southeast Alaska, rather than the whole spectrum of the state.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We call them eligible users. But the chair is going to follow the wish of the council. Dolly.

MS. GARZA: I guess my concern with the process of going back to the community is that we have this October 27 deadline and we go back and then we meet again in February and the deadline is past.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mim.

MS. ROBINSON: Are any of these actually going to be dealt with by -- when is the Federal Subsistence Board going to actually respond to any of these?

MS. MASON: They should be responding after the winter round of meetings. They will be considered in this annual cycle with the proposals that are coming in.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We can request deferment on their consideration. We've done that with the Kenai on a couple of occasions. In fact, we delayed that for what, two years? But we can do that here. So I don't think that we should succumb to anything that looks like pressure for a deadline, but I think it's good to know that we need to move on something, so I just offer that for consideration. So let's not look at these deadlines as life and death right now. We have another opportunity next year. But at the same time, I think we should treat these deadlines like they're the only

LTD COURT REPORTERS

ones here because that will get us to be more aggressive on getting these than if we did it with an attitude that we've got another year to go. But anyway, like I said before, the chair will yield to support the wish of the council. All good comments. I'm not saying that I'm enjoying any comments better than another. They're all very good. Mim.

MS. ROBINSON: I was just thinking that maybe we could try a different area, because if someone else here feels comfortable on how their community feels about any of these, we can try their community. Dolly would work on the Unit 4 one. But, see, that represents quite a few communities there. You know, we had talked before about getting comments from people in the communities on the C&Ts.

MR. THOMPSON: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Ken.

MR. THOMPSON: I haven't been in the room for the entire discussion, but what maybe the members may wish to consider is reviewing current C&Ts and coming up proposed additions to the C&Ts so that they become advertised between now and February for the communities to react. If you're uncomfortable with eliminating some of them, however, then maybe not touch those.

MS. PHILLIPS: I thought that's what we'd be doing, right, is to be going to the public.

MR. THOMPSON: That would give you an opportunity to advertise...

MS. ROBINSON; That would certainly get discussion going in the communities.

MR. KNAUER: There is nothing, also, that would prohibit the council from putting forth proposal now, so it's out there for public review, and then recommending against it in February after you have heard output from the local people, also.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: What I would be inclined to do, if we did that, if it received no public attention at all, I would recommend that we not offer it for consideration beyond the council. Just because it doesn't get reviewed the first time doesn't mean that somebody doesn't care and I think we need to be very careful about that. Sandy.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

 MR. RABINOWITCH: In such an instance the council in its winter meeting could recommend to table further consideration for something that just didn't get attention and in my experiences the board respects the councils' tabling action and usually in fact then tables the same action and waits to hear back from the council.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you. Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair, if we take some sort of action on the C&T, establishing subsistence as a priority, we at least give the public something to comment on rather than doing nothing.

MS. LE CORNU: Mr. Chair, I think it is our rule to make the people feel that they do have that right, even if they don't do it now, I don't think that because they haven't hunted in several years that they can't still make a livelihood out of it.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's not only a right; it's a responsibility. Dolly.

MS. GARZA: I guess I'm looking at a couple other requests further down. The suggestion that I would like to make, instead of striking the whole thing, no determination except no subsistence for residents, we should strike no determination except no subsistence for, and so it would be unit brown bear: residents of Wrangell, Klukwan, Haines and Skagway, and then it would be up to those four communities to comment on that in the positive if that's the way they think it should be. If there's no comment on it, it can be tabled or changed. But that gives the opportunity.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I like the approach. What I would offer as a suggestion, hopefully that would help us, that we deal with each one of these, have them all written, and we'll not take action through a motion every time. We'll have the language that we're going to consider written out and everybody agree to what we understand that's been written. And then during the whole course of taking action, people can come back and say, well, I agree with this, but I'd like to change something in this area.

MS. WILSON: Mr. Chairman?

-CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Is that too complicated?

LTD COURT REPORTERS

1

4

13 14 15

16

12

23

24 25

26

27

28

37 38

43 44 45

46 47 48

49 50

51

MS. WILSON: Yes. It gets complicated. wondering, it seems like we should vote ...

> CHAIRMAN THOMAS: On each one?

...to not adopt this one and to make MS. WILSON: one up of our own from this council. That would be a lot simpler because this was moved upon by the state regional council and I think it would cause a lot of confusion. It doesn't take much to get me confused, I know, so it might be easier for others if we just didn't pass it and made another one.

> CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Hank.

MR. NEWHOUSE: Just a point of confusion, because I really agree with Marilyn on this point, is for example, it says, you know, as far as C&T determination under the subsistence regulations, that they can't take a bear, but then it goes back here and says the state harvest limit is one bear every four regulatory years by state registration permit only so they're going to still have to go get this license. And I would bet you if I picked up the state regulation right now, that there's nothing that stops those people from going from any of those communities and getting their permit to go hunt a bear. And so, in essence, this regulation is the way it's stated at this point in time is meaningless and that's just the way it's stated, because basically they're following the state season. They're following -- they're saying that people have to have s state permit. And so there's nothing to say that the residents of the communities that we say are excluded from C&T. Subsistence season is basically following the state season, I believe to be the case.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You guys aren't making me look very good right now. Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair, I move to strike C080 and C088.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You all hear the motion. Ιs there a second?

> MS. WILSON: I second that, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Moved and second. Further discussion?

LTD COURT REPORTERS

1 MS. GARZA: Is there an intent to replace it with 2 something? 3 4 MS. PHILLIPS: I would like to. 5 6 MS. GARZA: And that's the intent of the second 7 there? 8 9 MS. WILSON: Uh-huh. 10 11 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yes. Further discussion. 12 13 MR. FELLER: Call for the question, Mr. Chairman. 14 15 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Question has been called for. 16 All those in favor of this motion signify by saying 17 aye. 18 19 ALL IN UNISON: Aye. 20 21 Those opposed, same sign. CHAIRMAN THOMAS: 22 23 (No opposing responses.) 24 25 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Let's pause right now 26 and deal with that and I think that will help each of 27 us with some clarification and we'll be attacking the 28 rest of them with authority, confidence and increasing 29 speed. 30 31 So the intent, Marilyn, is to have MS. GARZA: 32 something that says residents of Haines, Klukwan, 33 Wrangell and Skagway have C&T? 34 35 MS. WILSON: Uh-huh. 36 37 MS. GARZA: Okay. 38 39 MS. WILSON: And that way, it doesn't... 40 41 MS. GARZA: And that's sort of what 088 says. 42 says Unit 1 or 1B, brown bear, Klukwan, Haines and 43 They left out Wrangell there. I guess she Skagway. 44 didn't think you guys had it. 45 46 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty. 47 MS. PHILLIPS: I would have it read residents of 48 Unit 1. 49

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

-That's a large

MS. WILSON: That would be all.

area, isn't it?

MS. PHILLIPS: Or qualified rural residents of Unit 1.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, everything that we're dealing with is only for eligible users, anyway.

MS. GARZA: Who are the eligible communities in Unit 1?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Everybody but Ketchikan.

MS. GARZA: See, when I look at this, Petersburg really isn't in 1B and Wrangell looks like it's right on the line, so I'm not really sure. I mean it looks like just Gustavus, Haines and Skagway are the only guys in there. And Klukwan.

MR. NEWHOUSE: And Saxman.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Bill.

MR. KNAUER: Mr. Chairman, you both have real good points. You could make it residents, it would be rural residents of Unit 1 and if you have a concern about, like, Wrangell, you could say and residents of Wrangell and whatever communities you wish. That is a very common way that C&T determinations are listed where there's a community fairly close to a unit boundary that obviously harvests on both sides or where there's been a history maybe where sometimes they go quite a distance, so that's not an uncommon way of addressing these situations.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Based on the depiction of the boundaries, is Wrangell in fact in Unit 1?

MR. ANDERSON: No.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Oh, it's not?

MS. GARZA: But it's pretty doggone close to the hunting area.

MS. PHILLIPS: And then Unit 1 incorporates Glacier Bay Park and so I would want to include Hoonah. I mean 'cause it's...

MR. ANDERSON: But Hoonah's not in here.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

MS. PHILLIPS: No it's not. But it borders, it's 2 on the border of it. 3 4 MS. GARZA: Everybody's on the border of Unit 1. 5 Yeah, but Hoonah has a whole 'nother island that they 6 hunt on. 7 8 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Hoonah is Unit 4. 9 10 MS. PHILLIPS: Hoonah claims the bay proper as a 11 hunting ground, except for they can't hunt in there, 12 can they? 13 14 MR. KNAUER: You hunt bears in the bay? This is 15 referring only to the bear, remember. 16 17 MS. PHILLIPS: No. We can't. That's why. 18 19 MS. GARZA: So we could say residents of Wrangell, Haines, Klukwan, Skagway, then Hoonah is an 20 21 issue right now, and Saxman, we don't know if there are 22 brown bear. 23 24 Saxman, Metlakatla. They both MR. NEWHOUSE: 25 fall in there. 26 27 MS. GARZA: Say again. 28 29 MR. NEWHOUSE: Meyer's Chuck... 30 31 MR. FELLER: Mr. Chairman, I was going to comment 32 on that, too. When we did the moose, when we got 33 priority for moose on the Stikine River, then 34 Petersburg said what about us? They start raising a 35 bunch of ... 36 37 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Hell? 38 39 MR. FELLER: Yes. And they called up and so then 40 they got the priority, too, there for the moose. 41 42 43 MS. LE CORNU: For who? For subsistence users? 44 45 MR. FELLER: Yeah. 46 47 MS. GARZA: But they can do that as part of the 48 comment period. I mean, if we listed it to the

LTD COURT REPORTERS

communities that we know for sure, the Elfin's Cove or

communities can say "What about us" before we meet in

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

Petersburg or whoever else that are in those

1 February.
2 MR.

MR. FELLER: They do have a small Native population in Wrangell, but I know they're always so close they say "What about us"?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. This is about our fourth time going over that part of it. What are we going to do with it?

MS. WILSON

MS. WILSON: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Marilyn.

MS. WILSON: So far I've heard we add Wrangell to it and Hoonah, or Saxman. Are we adding communities?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I'm waiting for response from the council. Mim.

MS. ROBINSON: I don't think you can add communities that don't -- that they're not in the unit.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Bill.

 MR. KNAUER: You can do it a couple of ways. You can say residents, starting with a blank piece of paper, you could say residents of communities A, B and C. Getting a little more expansive, you could say residents of Unit 1 or whatever. Getting even more expansive, you could say residents of Unit 1 plus communities A, B and C. You could say residents of Unit 1 and Unit 2 and 3. Whatever you believe has been customary. In other words, what has been the feeling of people, what people hunt in that area for those species?

MS. ROBINSON: So the crossover of units is not a problem?

MR. KNAUER: That's correct. But it should be based upon some knowledge of the people in that area have traditionally crossed over and hunted this species in this area.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Why don't we then use Units 1, 3 and 4. Exclude Unit 2. Why don't we use 1, 3 and 4 and exclude Unit 2?

MS. PHILLIPS: Why exclude anybody?

LTD COURT REPORTERS

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: There's no brown bear on 2 Prince of Wales. 3 4 MS. GARZA: I don't think Sitka people go up 5 there to hunt brown bear. We've got enough. 6 7 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty. 8 9 MS. WILSON: Well, Unit 1 is divided by letters, 10 1D and 1B -- 1A, B, C, D. 11 12 MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman? 13 14 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly. 15 16 I would suggest we go back to whoever MS. GARZA: 17 said rural residents, qualified rural residents of Unit 18 1 and then if Hoonah or Sitka or someone wants to be 19 included, they can make that request during that 20 proposal-review process. 21 22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That's happened before. Patty. 23 24 MS. PHILLIPS: Under deer, I know we're doing bear but under deer, Unit 1C, it says, rural residents 25 26 of 1C and D and residents of Hoonah and Gustavus. 27 they're recognizing that other communities use this 28 unit. 29 30 MR. KNAUER: For deer. 31 32 MS. PHILLIPS: Right. For deer. 33 34 MR. NEWHOUSE: Well, they've done the same thing 35 for brown bear in other -- and further along in here 36 for Unit 4, for brown bear, they show rural residents 37 of Unit 4 and residents of Kake. 38 39 MS. PHILLIPS: Right. 40 41 MS. GARZA: What we would allow -- excuse me, 42 Mary. 43 44 MS. RUDOLPH: Mr. Chairman? 45 46 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mary. 47 48 MS. RUDOLPH: I think that we just formed a 49 subsistence group a couple weeks ago and not having any of this information, I'm sure they will all be 50

LTD COURT REPORTERS

interested in what I have to offer when I get back.

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

there won't be -- I know we're having a series of meetings after we get back and I'm sure it's not something that's going to lay mobile after I get back so there'll be a response from us right away.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Like it was mentioned earlier to write this out, have it out -- this happened -- let me give you an example of what has happened when we're talking about what is a designated hunter. At first we discussed for the Unit 4 area. Is that right, Dale?

MR. KANEN: (Inaudible affirmative response.)

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: And then it was opted then to expand it to the whole region. And so that happens and it happens with success. So, that's a good approach. Write an initial proposal, the proposal is here to review and the committee looks at it like that. They said we want to be part of that proposal. We offer this to amend it and then we considered it. Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: I have a problem with already established arbitrary lines. And so I would prefer to see rural residents of Southeast Alaska rather than rural residents of Unit 1 for that reason. Who established these arbitrary lines? Was it through comanagement with tribal governments? No. It was established by the state. These regulations came from the state and we've been saying time and again that we are here to establish our own regulations and so for that reason, I have a problem with these arbitrary lines and keeping qualified rural residents within those lines.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, not to dispute that --well, actually these lines, I thought, they were probably the state's for management reference and this kind of thing. I don't know what else they're there for but maybe somebody else can give me a hand in describing those arbitrary lines.

MR. KNAUER: Mr. Chairman, you're absolutely correct. They are there — the State of Alaska is an extremely large area. Even the state is defined by arbitrary lines and to enable the collection of data upon which to base decisions to establish various offices to collect that data and have surveys, and to provide regulations that are meaningful to part of the state that might not be meaningful to another part of the state, these arbitrary lines were placed by the

LTD COURT REPORTERS

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I wouldn't be surprised if they were there before statehood.

MR. KNAUER: I don't know. I wasn't here at that time.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I was.

4 5

 MS. PHILLIPS: Well, Mr. Chair, for instance, say the state goes to mandatory borough formation and Pelican gets put in the Icy Straights Borough which could potentially encompass all of Icy Straits, Angoon, Tenakee and possibly Kake. So all of Icy Straits incorporates part of Unit 1C, Unit 4 and another unit there. And so as a member of the borough, I would feel like I would be able to use all that land within the borough. So...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: There, again, you'd have some more arbitrary lines.

MS. PHILLIPS: Right.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly.

MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman, I guess in terms of Patty's interest in saying all rural residents of Southeast, I don't have a problem with that because I'm not in Unit 1A and the only concern I would have is whether or not there are residents of Unit 1A who don't want all of the Southeast residents flocking to their area to do their brown bear hunting.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I invite them to my part of 1A.

MS. MASON: I just wanted to point out that for customary and traditional determination, it's going to have to be proven that they did used to do it or that they have traditionally done it, anyway, so it isn't just a matter of people flocking because they suddenly got an idea to go over there.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That's why I said. That's why I said we needed to have that to be able to make reference to. Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: They can already flock there under

LTD COURT REPORTERS

sport guidelines.

 MS. LE CORNU: Until we make those determinations for priority, that's why it is important that we do something, I think.

MS. RUDOLPH: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mary.

MS. RUDOLPH: Under Goldschmidt and Haas and we've been -- our usage area was set up in Goldschmidt and Haas book so there has been no question on our traditional usage of our area. I think our Native people long before the state came in with their lines, our Native people had their boundaries and nobody ever passed that boundary without working with the other Native in the villages, so our boundaries were already set long before the state came in. And now that's how we start breaking the laws by knowing where our area is and then they say no, you can't. That's not your area. But it has been determined by Goldschmidt and Haas.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I don't know that that's — that's the truth, but I don't think that's been disturbed. The customary and traditional users of subsistence in Alaska continue to practice the same areas, the same routes, that they all have. That's not going to be restricted. That doesn't happen without protocol. But this is protocol that only involves the communities, see. So, I don't think that's jeopardized. I think what we're doing here is accommodating people that's part of this scheme that don't understand those practices. So, I kind of think that's where we're at. We'll take a two-minute break.

(Off record - 2:18 p.m.) (On record - 2:31 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Are we at a point of agreement on the motion? Dolly.

MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman, I would move to amend Unit 1, brown bear determination to read Unit 1, brown bear colon, Klukwan, Haines, Skagway and Wrangell.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Did you hear the motion? is there a second to that?

MR. FELLER: I'll second it.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Any discussion?

LTD COURT REPORTERS

1 2 MS. WILSON: Question. 3 4 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The question has been called 5 for. All those in favor say aye. 6 7 Aye. ALL IN UNISON: 8 9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Those opposed? 10 11 (No opposing responses.) 12 13 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Motion carries. That was for 14 C080 or did that include 88 as well? 15 16 MS. ROBINSON: We deleted those two. 17 18 MS. GARZA: Right. So it's a replacement. 19 20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: This is a replacement. 21 Shall we call it something or do we need to? Do we 22 need to quote it as well? No. 23 24 MS. ROBINSON: It's just a proposal. 25 26 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Okay. Okay. 27 28 MS. PHILLIPS: What communities was that? 29 30 MS. ROBINSON: Yeah. I needed to ask that same 31 question. 32 33 MS. GARZA: Klukwan, Haines, Skagway and 34 Wrangell. 35 36 MS. ROBINSON: So the four that are listed on 37 there. Okay. 38 39 MR. CLARK: So essentially what it would do is 40 just delete the first portion of their other requested 41 determination. 42 43 MS. GARZA: It deletes no determination except no 44 subsistence for and then it would be residents of 45 Wrangell, Klukwan, Haines and Skagway. 46 47 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. That's our proposal 48 number one. 49 50 MS. ROBINSON: And that's for Unit 1 -- all of

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

1 2 MS. GARZA: That's how it reads. 3 4 MS. ROBINSON: That's just for brown bear. 5 6 MS. GARZA: Yes. 7 8 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Shall we move onto 77? 9 10 MR. CLARK: Would you like it read, Mr. Chairman? 11 12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Please. 13 14 MR. CLARK: C077. It's in Unit 1, species is 15 The existing determination reads Unit 1B, goat, 16 no determination except no subsistence for residents of 17 Petersburg, Kupreanof and outlying areas. 18 Additionally, it says, Unit 1C, goat, residents of 19 Haines, Klukwan and Hoonah. The requested determination was presented by Ann Lowe -- and do you 20 21 have a date on that, Rachel? 077? The requested 22 determination is goat for residents of Petersburg, 23 Kupreanof, and outlying areas. 24 25 MS. MASON: That came in on 3/11/92. 26 27 So presently, residents of Haines, MS. GARZA: 28 Klukwan and Hoonah have C&T for goat in section 1C. 29 That's pre-existing, correct? 30 31 MS. MASON: Right. 32 33 MS. GARZA: And so the interest here would be to 34 create C&T for Petersburg, Kupreanof and outlying 35 areas. 36 37 MR. ANDERSON: Would Kake be an outlying area? 38 39 MS. GARZA: Pardon? 40 41 MR. ANDERSON: Would Kake be an outlying area? 42 43 MS. GARZA: Not being the maker of the motion, 44 I'm not sure. 45 46 MR. ANDERSON: We were all in Kupreanof. 47 48 MS. GARZA Do you want to be an outlying area? 49 50 MS. MASON; Mr. Chairman, just wanted to point 51

LTD COURT REPORTERS

that there's about six or seven ones for Unit 1,

1 goat, so the council might want to consider all of them 2 together. 3 4 MR. CLARK: That's a good idea. 5 6 MS. WILSON: What was that again? 7 8 MS. MASON: There's... 9 10 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Do all the ones that pertain to 11 goat at the same time. Get everybody's goat. 12 13 MS. ROBINSON: What's the date for the Petersburg 14 Forest Service C078? 15 16 MS. MASON: That's 11/11/92 and if it's 11/11, 17 they don't have the actual date but they now it was 18 from '92. 19 20 MS. ROBINSON: Oh, okay. 21 22 MS. GARZA: So basically, none of these really 23 affect 1C. None of the proposals. They're all 1B. 24 25 MS. MASON: Doesn't look that way. 26 27 MS. GARZA: And they're all some attempt to 28 create C&T for Petersburg, Kupreanof and outlying --29 some went through Angoon and... 30 31 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Is Etolin Island in Unit 2? 32 Unit 3. Is Prince of Wales.... 33 34 MS. GARZA: Prince of Wales is Unit 2. 35 36 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: All by itself? 37 38 MS. GARZA: Yeah. Etolin Island is part of Unit 39 3 as is Kake. 40 41 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. The reason I mentioned that was 87 says Unit 1B, goat; residents of Unit 1A, 42 1B, 2, 3 and 4 and community of Angoon. 2 doesn't need 43 44 to be included in there. 45 46 MS. ROBINSON: How old is the Stikine one? 87. 47 48 MS. MASON: 87 is the only one that's from '91. 49 All the rest of them came in in '92 that deal with 50 goat.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair, move to strike C077, 2 C079, C078, C081. 3 4 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You heard the motion. Ιs 5 there a second? 6 7 MR. FELLER: I'll second it, Mr. Chairman. 8 9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Moved and seconded to strike 10 77, 79, 78 and 81. is there any discussion? 11 12 MR. FELLER: Call for the question. 13 14 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The question has been called 15 for. All those in favor say aye. 16 17 MR. FELLER: Aye. 18 19 MS. WILSON: Aye. 20 21 MS. ROBINSON: Aye. 22 23 MS. PHILLIPS: Aye. 24 25 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: All opposed, same sign. 26 MS. GARZA: Aye. 27 28 29 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: All the maybes. Okay, the 30 motion carries. So was the intent of the motion just 31 not have them? 32 33 MS. ROBINSON: Well, there's still the one that 34 covers the larger area which would make Patty happy. 35 36 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. So the last one, 87, 37 covers that, huh? Okay. That's right. I just needed 38 to clarify that myself. Okay, so that motion carries. 39 That takes us then to C083. 40 41 MS. ROBINSON: 87 we still need to discuss. 42 43 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Thank you. What's the wish of the council on C087? 44 45 46 MS. ROBINSON: I'm wondering if what is being requested for 87 is actually customary and traditional. 47 48 I have absolutely no idea. Does that reflect what 49 happens and what used to happen? Is it accurate?

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

you want Angoon in there?

50

1 MR. ANDERSON: Sure. 2 3 MS. LE CORNU: I think probably anybody that 4 could go out goat hunting, they were there, getting it. 5 If they could have got in. 6 7 MS. ROBINSON: Is there any community missing 8 that should be in there? 9 10 MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman, the outlying areas, 11 that includes Kake, doesn't it? In your infinite 12 wisdom. 13 14 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Unit 1A, 1B, 2, 3 and 4 15 includes all of Southeast except for Yakutat. 16 17 No, except for Haines, Klukwan... MS. GARZA: 18 19 It also doesn't in- ... MS. ROBINSON: 20 21 MS. WILSON: It doesn't include Haines, Klukwan. 22 23 MS. ROBINSON: It also doesn't include Petersburg 24 and Wrangell. 25 26 MS. GARZA: They're part of Unit 2. 27 28 KANEN: Unit 3. 29 30 MS. GARZA: Basically includes everybody except 31 for Klukwan and Haines and that's my concern that I'm not convinced that all of those communities went to 32 33 Stikine Mountain and hunted goat. 34 35 MS. WILSON: Is this only in the Stikine area? 36 37 MS. GARZA: It's only in Section 1B. 38 39 MS. ROBINSON: So, it's leaving out 1C and 1D and 40 -- why does this say the community of Angoon because 41 that's included in Unit 4. It's got Unit 4 listed. 42 43 MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Chair, if it would be helpful. 44 I'm Larry Roberts with the Forest Service in 45 Petersburg the Stikine area. This was drafted like 46 Rachel was saying back in '91, I believe. 47 48 MS. MASON: '91. 49 50 MR. ROBERTS: So it was early in the process of 51 doing this C&T preparation and so there's probably some

LTD COURT REPORTERS

oversights, some glitches and this is probably an 2 example of... 3 4 MS. ROBINSON: Community of Angoon being listed? 5 So that could be crossed off? 6 7 MR. ROBERTS: Right. That could be. 8 9 MS. ROBINSON: It's already in 4. 10 11 MR. ROBERTS: Right. Correct. 12 13 MS. GARZA: So when the Stikine Forest Service 14 proposed this, were they aware of the fact that there 15 was customary and traditional taking of goats by all 16 residents from Southeast? I mean that was the question 17 you were getting back to. 18 19 MS. ROBINSON: Well, it's leaving out 1C and 1D 20 and Yakutat. I mean what -- you know. It doesn't 21 leave out much for sure. 22 23 MR. ROBERTS: The idea was that many of these 24 communities throughout this area do utilize that area 25 or have historically used that area. 26 27 MR. KNAUER: Mr. Chairman. 28 29 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Bill. 30 31 MR. KNAUER: Just to point out. That particular 32 statement doesn't say that those other communities 33 don't use goat but what it's saying is they probably 34 don't use goat in this particular area. In my mind, 35 that would imply that they're probably using goat in 36 areas closer to themselves. 37 38 MR. ROBERTS: Right. Right. 39 40 MS. GARZA: 1B. 41 42 MS. WILSON: And that's in the Stikine area where 43 this goat will be hunted? 44 45 MS. MASON: Yeah. 1B. 46 47 MS. WILSON: I know Haines has a place and 48 Klukwan to hunt (indiscernible - unclear) up our way.

I think what this refers

LTD COURT REPORTERS
310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

We wouldn't have to come down to your place.

MR. ROBERTS:

49

area near Petersburg that the horned cliffs to south of 2 the Stikine River on the mainland. 3 4 MR. NEWHOUSE: Mr. Chairman? 5 6 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Hank. 7 8 MR. NEWHOUSE: If you go to the existing 9 regulations and I know we're looking forward to next 10 year but the existing regulations on page 19 show that 11 Unit 1D which includes Haines, Skagway and those other 12 communities, they're just simply no determination so 13 then that particular area is open to all rural 14 residents at this point in time. 15 16 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: What's our quandary then with 17 87? 18 19 MS. ROBINSON: Sounds to me like we just need to cross off "and the community of Angoon" and adopt it. 20 21 22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The chair is entertaining a 23 motion... 24 25 MS. ROBINSON: I move to adopt 87 as amended. 26 27 MS. PHILLIPS: Second. 28 29 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's been moved and seconded. 30 Further discussion? 31 32 UNIDENTIFIED: Question. 33 34 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The question's been called. 35 All those in favor say ave. 36 37 ALL IN UNISON: Aye. 38 39 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Opposed, same sign. 40 41 (No opposing responses.) 42 43 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Strike the word, Angoon. 44 45 MS. MASON: Would you like me to read it, Mr. 46 Chairman? 47 48 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Please. 49 50 MS. MASON: This is for 1B, moose. The requested

LTD COURT REPORTERS

determination is for Unit 1B, Stikine River drainage

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

only moose; rural residents of Units 1B and 3. And the 2 existing determination is for Unit 1B Stikine River 3 drainages only moose; residents of Wrangell. 4 5 MR. ROBERTS: What was the date on that proposal? 6 7 MS. MASON: I'm going to try to find that out. 8 9 MR. ROBERTS: That's probably a very early 10 proposal. 11 12 MR. KNAUER: That's not correct. 13 14 MR. ROBERTS: Probably '91 or something like 15 that. 16 17 That one was '91. MS. MASON: Okay. 18 19 MR. KNAUER: The determination as you've got shown there is not correct because the board changed 20 21 the determination. 22 23 MR. ROBERTS: Three years ago or something like 24 that? 25 26 MR. KNAUER: A couple years ago on the Stikine 27 area. 28 29 MS. MASON: Do you have what the existing 30 determination -- what page is it on? 31 32 MR. KNAUER: It's on page 20. 33 34 MS. MASON: Okay. On page 20, we have the 35 existing one and then for 1B, it's Unit 1B south and 36 east of LeConte Bay and Glacier - one antlered bull 37 with spike fork or 50-inch... 38 39 MR. KNAUER: No, no, no. That's the season. 40 to the left column. 41 42 MS. MASON: Oh. 1B. No subsistence. 43 Stikine River drainages is no determination. 44 45 MR. ROBERTS: Right. Which is open to all rural 46 residents. 47 48 MS. MASON: And 1B is north of LeConte Glacier, no 49 Remainder of 1B is no determination. subsistence.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

CHAIRMAN THOMAS:

50 51

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

Dolly.

 MS. GARZA: I guess I would need to hear some information -- I think this is a touchier issue just because I believe -- although I could be wrong that the moose population is not a large, strong, really healthy moose population to allow a C&T determination of all residents of Southeast. And I don't know if Wrangell residents would choose to be quite careful in who they allow to hunt in that area or if they would prefer that only residents of Wrangell feel that they have the opportunity and the right to hunt in that area.

MR. ROBERTS: The federal government and the state, Department of Fish and Game, both recognize the spike fork 50-inch antler restriction for that particular area and they feel that's adequate protection for the health of the population at this time and allows for harvest as well as protection of --for recovery. There's some problems, obviously, with the populations, but they've -- the federal government at least believes that the spike fork 50-inch antler restriction is ample in terms of protection for that particular population.

MS. GARZA: Well, there's a difference between a protection for a population and protection of a right to harvest by a local community, I mean. We could say, you know, if the moose population can withstand 100 moose harvest each year, but if Wrangell residents who are the closest residents are able to take only four because they don't have the faster boats or they can't afford the planes or whatever else, then I'm not sure we're serving the needs of customary and traditional use.

MS. LE CORNU: In Ninilchik, I guess that came up as a problem and it's a problem of overpopulation, I think.

MS. GARZA: People?

MS. LE CORNU: Yeah, of people.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Ken.

MR. THOMPSON: Mr. Chairman, Doug may be able to help me with this but I believe there's some pretty good evidence of which communities have provided the most hunting pressure on that Stikine River. As I recall, it's just the local communities, Petersburg, Wrangell, Kake.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

MR. LARSEN: Point Baker, Protection.

MR. THOMPSON: Yeah, the interest is pretty much isolated (indiscernible - away from mike.)

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Doug, is that essentially the case?

MR. LARSEN: That's outside of my area, Mr. Chairman. My knowledge, talking to (indiscernible - unclear) and those that work in the Petersburg area is that that is pretty much the primary users.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mim.

MS. ROBINSON: There's Port Alexander residents hunting over -- somewhere over there right now for moose, this past week. One of 'em used to be a Petersburg resident, so that's...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Rachel, then Ken.

MS. MASON: While the health of the population is an important issue, it is separate from the one of customary and traditional and so it's not the burden of the council or the proposal to determine what the health of the population is. Simply whether there has been a longstanding traditional pattern of use.

MR. ANDERSON: All right. What's the...

MS. ROBINSON: Well, the Stikine Forest Service one, 84 deals with the -- it has the -- it provides the most number of units using that area. The -- if we were to adopt all of 84 plus it looks like -- I'm not real familiar with the geography but number 83 is dealing with the different area that's not in 84. And so I would think that we would to combine the two if we wanted to do the broadest proposal.

MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly.

MS. GARZA: I guess the concern I have is if we go through these and with every single one and we say well, there's C&T for all 30 rural resident communities of Southeast for each of these resources, for each of these units, I'm not sure we're serving the right purpose.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

1 2 MS. ROBINSON: But it's not necessarily doing 3 that though. There are areas that are being left out. 4 5 MS. RUDOLPH: Mr. Chairman? 6 7 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mary. 8 9 MS. RUDOLPH: I think we need to really go on 10 that customary and traditional use, page nine, and use 11 that as our pattern now because we're trying to discuss 12 right now and put our words together with what our 13 concerns are. We've been tossing that around quite a 14 bit. 15 16 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Where at now? 17 18 MS. RUDOLPH: Page nine of the salmon book. 19 20 MS. ROBINSON: C&T use definition. 21 22 MS. RUDOLPH: The salmon colored book. 23 24 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I think that's what we're 25 trying to do. 26 27 I move that we adopt 83 and 84. MS. ROBINSON: 28 29 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You heard the motion. 30 31 MS. PHILLIPS: Second. 32 33 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Moved and seconded. Further 34 discussion? 35 36 I'm sorry. I missed who COURT REPORTER: 37 seconded. 38 39 MS. PHILLIPS: I did. 40 41 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty. 42 43 MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair, I had mentioned earlier 44 today about the concern I have about the need to 45 categorize -- subcategorize within a community 'cause 46 the concern is whether we're going to open up species 47 to intense hunt and that's where I feel that we, as a 48 council, need to look at categorizing those qualified 49 users. So we have two issues here. We're discussing 50 C&T and in the long term, I would like to see that as a

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

an agenda item, this sub category of users.

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. I have, just for my own clarification, if we did that as a council, what do you envision that follow-through process would be? To put our recommendations like that and submit it to the board for their consideration. Is that what you had in mind?

MS. PHILLIPS: Well...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Because we need to -- I ask that because if, in fact, we're going to plan on that in the future, we need to have some idea of direction we're going to take with that. And the reason I bring that up is I agree with you. Everybody here agrees that that -- what we're dealing with is far from We know that what we end up with is going to perfect. be far from perfect. But our conscience is guiding us to do our best to have it perfect. And now that I've said all of that, Mr. Knauer, if you could give me some anticipation of reaction. In any case, it would have to be presented to the board. Anything that we've done anyway. Is that true or can we circumvent this flow chart of authority and have it considered by a bigger office or what?

MR. KNAUER: What Patty is asking is what would occur after a C&T determination were made. community A has C&T for moose. However, there were inadequate moose in the population to satisfy the subsistence desires of that community. Then you would go into a situation what we call Section 804 and that comes out of Title 8 and it says, "The priority shall be implemented through appropriate limitations on the application of certain criteria. The first criteria would be customary and direct dependence upon the populations as a mainstay of livelihood; the second would be local residency and the third would be the availability of alternative resources. This is what Patty is asking. Am I right?

MS. PHILLIPS: Right.

MR. KNAUER: Okay. What it is is that doesn't kick in until you have a situation where there's an inadequate resource so until that happens, you don't have to be concerned in a particular area. You only do that after the situation is identified and kicks in. Until that time you identify the community as a whole or communities or areas.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

MR. THOMPSON: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Ken.

4 5

MR. THOMPSON: I'm Ken Thompson. I've been serving on another agency committee to deal with 804. That -- those regulations have not been developed yet for Section 804. That process has not been developed and brought before the council (indiscernible - away from mike) as a process yet. There have been some allocations...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Come up and take a seat here because our recorder needs to catch all of this. Our liability depends on how much we can hear.

MR. THOMPSON: This is a very important issue, Patty, and it is part of the process as Bill has brought out but the regulations for defining how to allocate among subsistence users, that process hasn't been established in regulations yet. There have been some places where we have allocated among subsistence users but it's...

MR. KNAUER: It's been at the request of the council how it's been done in that local area.

 MR. THOMPSON: Right. It's very few cases and it has not -- that process has not been established statewide yet. But it will be done. It's part of ANILCA. And when there's a situation where we have to allocate among subsistence users, because there's not enough to provide for all of those users who have C&T, the board will address that in those situations. I would not recommend getting into that at this time because process, the 804 process, has not been adopted yet in regulations.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty -- Mim, first. Ther Patty.

MS. ROBINSON: Well, I was just curious. So this has been on a case by case basis up until now. Are those going to be precedent setting?

MR. THOMPSON: The process?

MS. ROBINSON: Those -- yeah. You said that some councils have asked that it be handled in a certain way. Is that going to be setting a precedent on how to handle this in the future?

handle this in the future?

LTD COURT REPORTERS

MR. THOMPSON:

I would say no.

MR. KNAUER: The only precedent would be that we have approached the council. The board has not done it, in and of itself. That, to me, would be the only precedent setting aspect is that we had used the recommendations of the regional council that was in that area.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: What they're saying here, in my opinion, I'd rather have a broad C&T determination because the regulations may not be in place, but the safeguards from ANILCA allow that if there's a conservation problem with a stock, then we have -- the process is -- there could be a process there for measures of protection.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Could somebody read the motion again, please?

MS. ROBINSON: The motion was to adopt 83 and 84.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Sometime -- we went for a long swim on that one and we want to stay with the merits of whether we support or don't support the motion. And our discussions will be the merits of the motion. So, we'll keep it to that. Any further discussion?

MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly.

MS. GARZA: Before I can support or not support that motion, I need to have a clarification from whoever it is that does the -- it's my understanding that these proposals will be reviewed and there will be some type of analysis?

MS. MASON: Yes.

MS. GARZA: Okay. And could you give me a clue what that analysis is and whether or not Forest Service or Fish and Wildlife or somebody will be making a recommendation or will be giving us the data on where they see that there is customary and traditional use and where they're not sure whether or not customary and traditional use exists?

LTD COURT REPORTERS

MS. MASON: The analysis will be similar to the kinds of analysis that we presently do for seasons and bag limits except that the burden of the analysis will fall on the anthropologists because we will be looking at the same eight factors that have always been used in the customary and traditional ones that asks for a long term consistent pattern of use, and asks such questions as whether there is knowledge handed down, sharing, and beliefs and customs. So, the kinds of data that we will use for that will be the same kinds that we have used, ethnographic records...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That criteria down there now is not anything that's cast in granite.

MS. MASON: No.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Those could be designed to suit the region. The criteria can.

MS. MASON: That's right. There is no established criteria. As we were discussing earlier, you know, there's no set number of years. That can vary.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So we need -- we need to -- first we need to understand if we did use those criteria, understand what the implications are. If we didn't like them, substitute that criteria with something that will better fit in this region. Sandy.

MR. RABINOWITCH: If I might clarify.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you.

MR. RABINOWITCH: Sandy Rabinowitch, with the National Park Service. I think it's important to try to clarify one point following on statements on criteria. In that existing regulations, there are the eight factors that have been referred to several times and there's some other language that goes along with -this is Section 16 of the program regulations on customary and traditional use determination process. There's the eight factors that have been talked about and as Rachel has said, sort of the measurements within those eight factors aren't rigidly set up. But the eight factors are in regulation and they must be used, okay, by Rachel and others. There is also a section, the last part of Section 16, which says the board, referring to the Federal Subsistence Board, shall take

LTD COURT REPORTERS

into consideration the reports and recommendations of appropriate regional councils. So, the way I see this is they have to look at the eight factors and additional recommendations you all make which you may -- you may think there's some other things that need to be added into the information that might not be included in those eight factors. Or you might think one of the factors is particularly important in your region and you would then suggest to the board that's important, it should be stressed and given a lot of weight or whatever. But I think it's -- you know, I know, Mr. Chairman, you've been to several meetings with other council chairs, there's much discussion of these and I just think it's important we understand these are in regulation. Now, regulations can be changed but that's another matter and the program must use these and if it doesn't use them, then a board decision is subject to a challenge for not following its own process which doesn't do anybody any good. Anyway, I hope that was useful.

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

2324

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32 33

34 35

36

37

38 39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46 47

48 49

50

51

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It is. Thank you. Marilyn.

MS. WILSON: Are those regulations, those eight factors, are those from the state, a carryover from the state or is it federal?

MS. MASON: They are similar but not identical to the ones used by the state. I think they left on one when they adopted them from the state and I don't know which one that is.

MS. WILSON: Who adopted it?

MS. MASON: The Federal Subsistence Board when they took over management of subsistence from the state, then they took them...

MS. WILSON: Did they get any help from the councils or anybody? Any input?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That's before established, wasn't it?

MS. MASON: Yeah, the councils didn't exist then.

MS. GARZA: Did we have those? The criteria.

MS. MASON: I think they're in this salmon book somewhere.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

1 MS. ROBINSON: Mr. Chairman? 2 3 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mim. 4 5 MS. ROBINSON: I'd like to call for the question 6 on this motion. 7 8 The question has been called CHAIRMAN THOMAS: 9 for. All those in favor say aye. 10 11 MR. FELLER: Aye. 12 13 MS. ROBINSON: Aye. 14 15 MS. WILSON: Aye. 16 17 MS. RUDOLPH: Aye. 18 19 MR. ANDERSON: Aye. 20 21 MS. PHILLIPS: Aye. 22 23 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Those opposed? 24 25 MS. GARZA: Aye. 26 27 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Motion carries. Let the record 28 reflect a resounding opposition by one of our team 29 members. 30 31 MS. GARZA; Mr. Chairman? 32 33 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly. 34 35 MS. GARZA: I guess before we go through any more 36 of these, I still need a little more clarification on 37 the process. Okay, we have these eight criteria and 38 you will look at the proposal we make, say that residents of Unit 1A, 1B, 2, 3 and 4 have C& T for 39 40 goat in Unit 1B. Will the recommendation be an all or 41 nothing? We recommend against this proposal; we 42 recommend for this proposal; we recommend that only 43 these communities have demonstrated C&T? 44 45 MS. MASON: I think not. I think it will 46 probably be -- and of course, it will depend on the 47 proposal, but the way I understand it, it will be 48 primarily presenting what data there is on that and let the council make its recommendation based on that and 49 let the board make its recommendation based on what 50

LTD COURT REPORTERS

data there has been presented. But it's not saying yes

or no.

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45 46

47

48 49

50

2

MS. GARZA: Okay. So then when it goes form there, Mr. Chairman, then does the Federal Subsistence Board will look at that data and say yes, it is quite evident that C&T occurred by this community on this resource and so we can support this proposal?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah. What normally happened, at our winter meeting, when the proposals come through, okay, we have a deadline for submitting. Once they're received, then they get to her office; then the analysis and all of that information is put together. When we considered them, that information is brought to us. And we refine that. We don't move on to anything else until we've satisfied what we know that staff is They will be bringing -going to bring to the board. that's how -- when they go to the board, they say, this is the council's recommendation. This is a staff recommendation. See. Sometimes, it's two recommendations. And so that's -- and generally, they're parallel. So, it's pretty careful, the checks and balances. And I think that we'll all be presently surprised at the conclusion of our winter meeting, once we get through all this because we're going to be better appreciate the agony that we're having now when we do these and then they come forward. And maybe we'll get through all of these but I think we'll do a better job the next time around. But those are good questions and good points. And if I overemphasize something or off a little bit, don't hesitate to correct me. Bill.

MR. KNAUER: Mr. Chairman, to specifically answer Dolly's question, the recommendations that I've seen coming from the regional councils and the decisions of the board run the gamut from a yes to a no to taking parts of a proposal and saying, yes to parts and no to parts or deferring parts because of lack of information. So it depends specifically on the data and the recommendations presented on each individual proposal. It's not an all or none situation.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair, I would like to ask Dolly the main reason why you're dissenting.

MS. GARZA: I guess part of where I disagree with you, Patty, is that traditionally all of these areas were owned by clans and communities and the ability to

LTD COURT REPORTERS

go in and take cedar at Wrangell was pre-approved by the head of the clan and for us to say because we would all like to go and get a moose in Stikine area, that we're going to say it's al C&T, I think is incorrect. And for Sitka -- Sitka is very territorial. We know what our boundaries are and we ask permission if we go beyond that boundary and we expect a request if someone comes within it.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I don't think that our intent is not to honor that part of it. I think our intent here is to draw attention to what we are doing, get some input and that will straighten us out a lot, if not altogether. Mim.

MS. ROBINSON: I would say that that's what my approach is right now is to get something out there for people to comment on. I'd be perfectly happy to change it. This is not something I feel strongly about. I just want to have something that's fairly broad and then people can chop it down if they want. But I just — at this point, I'm happy to get anything out there just to solicit comments so that when the advisory committees and the tribal councils and stuff, everyone starts commenting on these proposals that are going out, there will be something there for them to comment.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: By all means.

MS. ROBINSON: Just like doing that letter last night, you've got to have something in front of you to work with.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The ones we already done are likely to draw a lot of attention and it may look a lot different by the time we get it back from the committee.

MS. ROBINSON: We can even change it ourselves at the next meeting after getting comments from people.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Before Vicki forgets, let me recognize her. She had her hand up.

MS. LE CORNU: Well, I was just going to say. I don't think it's going to make anybody a customary user that isn't and if they aren't, I think that's where we have to catch that, you know, and maybe there is somebody that is living somewhere else that was previously from Wrangell that maybe we are allowing that, so...

LTD COURT REPORTERS

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49 50

51

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly..

MS. GARZA: I guess in rebuttal to the going broad and then saying well, we can narrow it down later, is that if I were a typical resident -- and since I'm above average, I guess I'm not a typical resident (Laughter). If I were a typical resident say, in Klawock and I read these proposals that this council came out with and said oh, good, they included me with being able to go goat hunting in Wrangell. Then I'm not going to say, well, gee, I have to go there and defend that position because I'm going to assume that they're defending it for me because they proposed it where if I read in here that it only included Wrangell and Petersburg and I said, well, heck I've been going up there for 100 years. My generation and generation and generation before. Then I would likely go there and request to be included. And so I think by letting people assume that we're going to protect their rights may exclude people that might otherwise show up to defend it.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mim.

MS. ROBINSON: Okay. That makes me think of another comment, what's going on in my mind when I'm looking at these things. When I look at the ones that we just passed, 83 and 84, especially 84, Stikine Forest Service, I'm -- and I look at the detail -- all these different -- I mean I'm assuming that some thought has gone into this proposal that was submitted and that they left out units for a reason and included others for a reason and that I don't know enough about And no one seems to be able to comment on these things, so I'm going with what's here and if someone can convince me otherwise that this is erroneous, then so be it, but if this is all I've got. If this is all that's been submitted to me, then this is what I'm going to go with. I just -- am I making it clear I just -- I mean I don't know. I mean otherwise, we're just going to sit here, you know, blbl-bl-bl-bl -- I'd rather just pass something and talk about it the next meeting. I'll have to see how she...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Would you spell that for the recorder?

MR. ANDERSON; I think she needs to be over in Dewey's chair there.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

1 2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The chair requests a two-minute 3 recess right after your comment. 4 5 MS. WILSON: Oh, okay. I just wanted to comment. 6 I forgotten that usually we make a motion to the 7 effect of we vote or we move to adopt a proposal 8 instead of moving to strike it and that way, we vote it 9 down if we don't want it. I don't know why we did that. We used to do that in the state and then when we 10 11 first started this federal council. 12 13 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We're going to shape it up. 14 Give us time. We are now in a two-minute break. 15 16 (6400)17 (Off record - 3:20 p.m.) 18 (On record - 3:31 p.m.) 19 20 (Tape: 4) 21 (0073)22 23 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I have one burning point I want 24 to bring out and then I'm going to have Mr. Knauer give 25 us some encouraging information. While I was reading 26 802, second paragraph, it says, "Non-wasteful 27 subsistence use of the fish and wildlife." Non-28 I'm trying to figure out what wasteful wasteful. 29 subsistence is. Does anybody know? If you waste it, 30 it's not subsistence. Anyway, I just wanted to point 31 that out. It don't require an answer at this time. 32 Bill Knauer is going to give us some help and 33 clarification. Very generous. Bill. 34 35 MR. KNAUER: Mr. Chairman, with your permission 36 and the permission of the council, I would propose to 37 take each of these ones -- I would propose to take each 38 of these motions that you have adopted -- where you 39 have adopted a specific proposal or modified proposal 40 or group of proposals -- and turn that into a formal 41 proposal after I get back to the office. 42 43 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So we can anticipate that being 44 in the cluster of proposals that are being considered? 45 46 If you so desire. MR. KNAUER: 47 48 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I do. Everybody agree say... 49 50 MS. ROBINSON: I think I understand. I'm... 51

LTD COURT REPORTERS

```
1
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ... I do.
 2
 3
          MS. ROBINSON: ...puzzling over what he just
 4
    said.
 5
 6
          MR. ANDERSON:
                           I refuse to say I do again.
 7
 8
          MS. ROBINSON:
                          I'm not sure I understand what
 9
    you're saying.
10
11
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We know we have to submit
12
    proposals, right?
13
14
          MS. ROBINSON:
                         Right.
                                 Right.
15
16
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay.
17
18
          MS. ROBINSON: He's going to put these in
19
    proposal form.
20
21
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The actions -- the actions that
22
    we took...
23
24
                          Right. Is that all you're saying?
          MS. ROBINSON:
25
26
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: He's going to take and...
27
28
          MS. ROBINSON: Yeah, I assumed that.
29
30
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ...they're going to come out in
31
     proposal form.
32
33
          MS. ROBINSON:
                          Okay.
34
35
          MR. FELLER: She's way ahead of you.
36
37
          MS. PHILLIPS: Is he saying that he's going to
38
    lump them all together into one proposal or...
39
40
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                            No, no.
41
42
          MS. PHILLIPS: ...all separately?
43
44
          MS. ROBINSON: He's just going to put them in
    proposal form.
45
46
47
                            Proposal form.
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
48
49
          MS. PHILLIPS: Great.
50
```

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yay!

1 2 MR. FELLER: Nice boy. 3 4 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Nice boy. Heel. 5 6 MS. ROBINSON: That's what they're for, right? 7 mean, you know, yeah. 8 9 MR. FELLER: Let's give him an atta boy! 10 11 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Are we done on 83 now? 12 13 MS. MASON: 82. 14 15 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: 82? 16 17 MS. MASON: Yeah, that's on black bear for Unit 18 1B and 3... 19 20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: 82. Okay, we're on 82, 21 council. Flip the page. Rachel. 22 23 This is Unit B, black bear for MS. MASON: 24 residents of Unit 1A, 1B, 2, 3 and 4. And Unit 3 black bear for residents of Unit 1A, 1B and 3. And this 25 26 would replace the existing determination of no 27 determination in Unit 1B for black bear and no 28 determination in Unit 3 for black bear. And this came 29 in in 1991. 30 31 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: 1B. 1B. What's the wish of 32 the council? 33 34 MS. WILSON: I don't see Haines in there for that 35 area. 1D. 36 37 MS. MASON: They're not in there. 38 39 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Do you want to include 1D, 40 Marilyn? 41 42 MS. WILSON: It seems like it's already in here 43 somewhere. 44 45 MS. ROBINSON: It's in the existing... 46 47 MS. WILSON: Yeah, it's existing already. 48 Separately. 49 50 MR. KANEN: Marilyn, the proposal is for Unit 1B

LTD COURT REPORTERS

and 3, which is more centrally than Southeast Alaska.

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

MS. WILSON: Oh, okay. It's down further.

MR. KANEN: It's further south, right.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: This was the first report used in Alaska, bear (ph).

MS. ROBINSON: So what this proposal is saying, it's adding Units 2, 3 and 4, saying that those residents can hunt for black bear in Unit 1B. No? What? I'm looking at Bill.

MR. KNAUER: Mr. Chairman, what this is, is not adding but limiting to just those. In this particular situation, in those units, there is a no determination which means all of the residents of Southeast can already harvest there as can other residents. This may be a situation where since the individual -- since individual communities can already harvest it, if there is not a significant problem right there. It may be one that you may want to wish to delay acting on...

MS. ROBINSON: Just leave it.

MR. KNAUER: ...because of the limited amount of staff and limited amount of time. Not discarding it, but tabling it to a time when there is -- when there is more opportunity. That may be the situation with others in here such as fur bearers, but that's at the wish of the council.

MS. ROBINSON: Well, I would say that we would want to act -- deal with this proposal in two different steps. I mean, it's dealing with Unit 1B and it's dealing with Unit 3. Those are -- I don't why it was...

MS. MASON: Yeah. You could make it in...

MS. ROBINSON: It seems like it should have been put into two different sections in here.

MS. MASON: Well, it came in as one proposal, so that's why.

MS. ROBINSON: I see.

MS. MASON: But you could make it into two and that -- because there's -- the next one is for Unit 2, brown black bear would make it symmetrical anyway.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

1 2 MS. ROBINSON: Yeah. I think for Unit 3 it's no 3 determination. Yeah. For residents of Unit 1A, B, and 4 3. 5 6 MS. WILSON: So they want to limit Unit 3 to just 7 the Unit -- the hunters from Unit 1A and 1B and 3? 8 Because it stands at Unit 3 there's no determination. 9 And that means everybody can come there to hunt. 10 11 MS. ROBINSON: Right. I would move that we table 12 this -- whatever number this is. 13 14 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Is there a second? 15 Died for a lack of a second. 16 17 MS. WILSON: Oh, I thought I heard somebody 18 second it. I second it. 19 20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Make it again, and the chair 21 will... 22 23 MS. ROBINSON: I move that we table number 82. 24 25 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Is there a second? 26 27 MS. WILSON: I second that. 28 29 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay, non-debatable. All those 30 in favor, say aye. 31 32 ALL IN UNISON: Aye. 33 34 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Those opposed? 35 36 (No opposing responses.) 37 38 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The motion carries. That -- 82 39 is tabled. Moves us on to 85. 40 41 MS. MASON: Mr. Chairman. 42 43 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Rachel. 44 45 MS. MASON: There are -- there are similar 46 proposals for Units 4 and 5 for black bear. I don't 47 know if you want to consider them at the same time as 48 this or do you want to continue going in order? 49 50 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Where are they at?

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

1 MS. LE CORNU: Two down... 2 3 MS. ROBINSON: Well, the next one is Unit 2. 4 5 MS. MASON: Let's see, there's -- yeah, the next one down is Unit 2 and that C085. And then C120 down 6 7 on the same page, and then C127 on the next page for 8 Unit 5. 9 10 MR. KNAUER: And 128. 11 12 MS. MASON: And 128 too. And 131. 13 14 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: What's the wish of the council? 15 Take time to assess those? 16 17 MS. ROBINSON: Well, Unit -- or number 85 limits 18 black bear to the residents of Unit 2. Right now, it's 19 no determination, so it's open to anybody. And the same thing with Unit 4, limits it to the residents of 20 21 Sitka. And Unit 5 limits it to the residents of 22 Yakutat. 23 24 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: How are we doing with bear in 25 Unit 2, Doug? Is there lots of bear here? 26 27 MR. LARSEN: Yeah, the bear population in Unit 2 28 Mr. Chairman, I have a question. is relatively high. 29 30 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. 31 32 MS. ROBINSON: There are no black bear in Unit 4. 33 34 MR. LARSEN: That's just what I was going to 35 mention, I mean, I don't know why there's a proposal 36 for Unit 4 black bear when that's a brown bear 37 inhabited system. 38 39 There are no black bear in Unit 4. MS. ROBINSON: 40 41 MR. ANDERSON: Petersburg is probably transfer-42 (Laughter) ring some bears up there. 43 44 MS. GARZA: Are you sure? In that whole area? 45 46 MS. ROBINSON: There aren't any. 47 48 MR. ANDERSON: No. 49 50 MR. LARSEN: Unit 4 is Admiralty, Baranof, 51 Chicagof...

LTD COURT REPORTERS

1 2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, look at the requestors. 3 4 MS. ROBINSON: The attorneys want to go hunt in 5 there. (Laughter) 6 7 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: They're anticipating a lottery 8 over there. 9 Are they going to bring their own 10 MS. LE CORNU: 11 bears? 12 13 MS. ROBINSON: That is pretty bizarre. 14 15 MR. ANDERSON: Larry's going to furnish them 16 bears. 17 18 I thought Baranof was the only island MS. GARZA: 19 that had both brown bear and black bear on it. 20 21 MR. LARSEN: No. For clarification, north of 22 Frederick Sound, the islands that are up there are 23 brown bear inhabited and south of Frederick Sound are 24 black bear inhabited islands such as (indiscernible -25 simultaneous speech). 26 27 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Doesn't that apply to Kuiu (ph) 28 Island as well? 29 30 MR. LARSEN: Kuiu is a black bear island. 31 32 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: And Admiralty? 33 34 MR. LARSEN: Admiralty is a brown bear island. 35 36 MS. PHILLIPS: But Baranof is south of Frederick 37 Sound. 38 39 MR. ANDERSON: No brown bear on Kuiu. 40 41 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: No browns? 42 43 MR. LARSEN: Baranof is a brown bear island. 44 45 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Oh, okay. 46 47 MR. LARSEN: Frederick Sound is just north of 48 Petersburg. 49 50 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I must have had a bad day.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

1 MS. PHILLIPS: I know where Frederick Sound is. 2 3 MS. ROBINSON: Lower Baranof is south of 4 Frederick Sound. 5 6 MR. LARSEN: Yeah, it does extend around a little 7 bit to the south, that's right. That is a -- that is a 8 brown bear island. 9 10 MS. ROBINSON: Yeah, but all the other ones are 11 black bear. 12 13 MR. LARSEN: Right. And then, of course, 14 there's cohabitation of the two on the mainland. 15 16 MS. ROBINSON: Is that the only place where 17 there's cohabitation? 18 19 MR. LARSEN: Yeah, although we've had two 20 documented cases of brown bears on Reville (ph) Island, 21 which is also, for the most part, a black bear island. 22 23 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Now you tell me. 24 25 MR. ANDERSON: You never get too old to learn 26 something new. 27 28 MS. ROBINSON: I'm inclined to leave things as they are in the regulation book. No determination. 29 30 31 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay, so offer no proposals on 32 those? 33 34 MS. ROBINSON: Do we want to do what Marilyn was 35 saying and adopt these and then vote them down or -- I 36 mean, how are supposed to be dealing with these things? 37 38 MS. WILSON: We tabled one already. 39 40 MS. ROBINSON: Are these -- are these proposals 41 that -- I mean, they're so old. What's their status? 42 43 MS. MASON: Yes. There's -- Mr. Chairman, 44 there's nothing sacred about this list. It's the 45 backlog. It's simply a list of proposals that came in 46 over the last few years and the people have been given 47 letters saying we might look at them someday. 48 49 MS. ROBINSON: Okay, so we should treat them as 50 proposals...

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

1 MS. MASON: Yes. Yes. 2 3 MS. ROBINSON: ...that are coming to us. 4 those original ones that we did, we shouldn't have just 5 struck them, we should have done what we usually do in the past which was to adopt them and then vote against 7 them. Right? 8 9 MS. MASON: Well... 10 11 MS. ROBINSON: Is that -- is that the proper 12 procedure? 13 14 MS. MASON: There is another factor which is 15 that, at this moment, you're simply deciding what are 16 the priorities so you can defer them saying -- or no 17 action just on grounds of -- that it's not likely that 18 we could analyze all of them. 19 20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I think -- I think what we did 21 with -- so far was very appropriate. 22 23 MS. ROBINSON: So we could just strike these 24 ones? 25 26 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Sure. 27 28 MS. ROBINSON: If we're going to be consistent 29 with... 30 31 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah. Yeah. 32 33 MS. ROBINSON: ...what we've done today, we would 34 just strike -- not... 35 36 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah. Yeah. 37 38 MS. ROBINSON: ...do anything with them, 39 basically. 40 41 MS. WILSON: Or table them. Is that the same 42 thing? 43 44 Or we could table them. MS. ROBINSON: 45 46 I don't there's any... MS. MASON: Yeah. 47 48 MS. ROBINSON: You don't think it's necessary? 49 50 MS. MASON: I don't think it's necessary to table 51 them. I think it's okay to strike them.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

```
1
 2
                          Just don't do anything with them?
          MS. ROBINSON:
 3
 4
          MS. MASON: Uh-huh (affirmative).
 5
 6
          MS. ROBINSON: All right. So basically what
 7
    we're doing is picking out of here what we like and
 8
    adopting it as our own?
 9
10
          MS. MASON: Yes.
11
12
          MS. ROBINSON: And it doesn't matter what we do
13
    with the others.
14
15
          MS. WILSON: Well, can we move to have no action
16
    on C120?
17
18
          MS. ROBINSON:
                          We don't even need to.
19
20
          MS. WILSON: We don't even need to?
21
22
          MS. ROBINSON: We don't even need to do that.
23
24
          MS. MASON: Yeah, you can just pick the ones you
25
    like.
26
27
          MS. ROBINSON:
                          Just pick the ones you like and
28
    act on those.
                   Okay. Good, I like that.
29
30
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We sound like an agency now.
31
       (Laughter)
32
33
                       Well, that's how you got picked.
          MR. CLARK:
34
35
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Hey!
36
37
          MR. ANDERSON:
                          Touché.
38
39
          MS. ANDERSON: Well, I'm going to stick with how
40
    we've done this. I say let's strike number 85.
41
    -- I'd like to skip that one. Does anyone have any...
42
43
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                             85?
44
45
          MR. FELLER: I'll second it.
46
47
                          Yeah, does anyone have any
          MS. ROBINSON:
48
    problems with that?
49
50
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                             No.
                                  Okay.
```

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

```
MS. ROBINSON: And I would also like to strike
 2
    120, the black bear on Baranof Island.
 3
 4
          MS. WILSON: And save 122?
 5
 6
          MS. PHILLIPS:
                          Stop right there.
 7
 8
          MS. ROBINSON:
                          Stop right there?
 9
10
          MS. LE CORNU:
                          Yeah.
11
12
          MS. ROBINSON:
                          Well, there was also the black
13
    bear up in Yakutat, which is for Units...
14
15
          MS. WILSON: Sitka.
16
17
          MS. ROBINSON: ...residents of Unit 5A or...
18
19
          MS. GARZA: Can we go a little slower? I'm
20
    already lost.
21
22
          MS. ROBINSON: You're already lost?
23
24
          MS. PHILLIPS:
                         Let's just stop there.
25
26
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                             Okay. Stop there. I'm not
27
    lost, I'm mad at our coordinator. (Laughter)
28
29
          MS. WILSON: What was your motion again?
30
31
          MS. ROBINSON: I didn't make a motion, I...
32
33
          MS. WILSON: Oh.
34
35
          MS. ROBINSON: ...I'm -- this is just -- I'd like
36
    to delete the number 85, which is the black bear for
37
    Unit 2. I don't want to deal with -- I want to leave
    it the way it is, which is no determination.
38
39
40
          MR. FELLER:
                       Yeah.
41
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: An argument could be made that
42
43
    it qualifies for C&T, but I think because of the
44
    practice of C&T, with that and the quantity of the
45
    game, I don't think it's going to have a negative
46
    impact by not acting.
47
48
          MS. WILSON: Mr. Chairman?
49
50
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                             Marilyn?
```

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

```
There's 85, 120, 122...
 1
          MS. WILSON:
 2
 3
          MS. PHILLIPS:
                          122 is brown bear.
 4
 5
          MS. WILSON:
                       ...and 127 -- is it?
 6
 7
          MS. LE CORNU:
                          And 127, yes.
 8
 9
                          Are you looking at all the black
          MS. ROBINSON:
10
    bear ones?
11
12
          MS. WILSON:
                        And 128 and 131.
13
14
          MS. ROBINSON:
                          There's quite a few.
15
16
          MS. WILSON:
                        Those are all black bear, no
17
    determinations.
18
19
          MS. ROBINSON:
                          Right.
20
21
          MS. WILSON: Strike brown bear, I forgot, that's
22
    not the one. So, I thought we weren't going to deal
23
    with it; we were just going to skip over it and...
24
25
          MS. ROBINSON:
                          That's what I'm saying,...
26
27
          MS. WILSON: ...go to the next one.
28
29
          MS. ROBINSON: ...but they were saying I was
30
    going too fast.
31
32
          MS. WILSON:
                        Oh.
33
34
          MS. ROBINSON:
                          We -- we could...
35
36
          MS. GARZA: I guess in terms of not taking any
37
    action or tabling it or something is that if we have a
38
    resident in Ketchikan -- what confuses me is that a lot
39
    of these have been requested by a forest service and
40
    not by a person or not by a commissioner or not by a
41
    tribe and -- but there could be someone in Ketchikan
42
    who supports this -- or someone on Prince of Wales who
43
    supports this and are expecting us to take action.
44
    so we are, in fact, acting like bureaucrats -- no
45
    offense to you guys, but -- (Laughter) -- I mean I
46
    think in part it's our responsibility to do something.
47
48
          MS. ROBINSON: Or maybe it's our responsibility
49
    to do nothing.
```

MS. GARZA: Well, I guess I disagree with

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

1 2 MS. ROBINSON: Well, I mean, it's just sometimes 3 you can accomplish more (indiscernible - simultaneous 4 speech). 5 6 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Ah, ah, Patty -- Patty, you 7 go. 8 9 MS. PHILLIPS: Move to strike C085, C120. 10 11 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You have a motion to strike 85 12 and 120. 13 14 MS. ROBINSON: I'll second that. 15 16 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's been moved and seconded. 17 Further discussion. 18 19 MS. WILSON: Ouestion. 20 21 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Question's been called for. 22 All those in favor of the motion say aye. 23 24 ALL IN UNISON: Aye. 25 26 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Those opposed? 27 28 (No opposing votes) 29 30 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The motion carries. 31 32 MS. WILSON: Are you going to strike 127, 128 and 33 131 also? 34 35 MS. GARZA: Let's go page by page doing it. 36 37 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Excuse me? 38 39 MS. GARZA: Marilyn, how about if we just go page 40 by page? 41 42 MS. WILSON: Oh, okay. 43 44 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That brings us down to 86. 45 46 Okay, that would be in Unit 3 --MS. MASON: 47 The requested determination is Unit 3 deer, 48 residents of Unit 1A and 2 and the existing determination is Unit 3 deer, residents of Unit 1B and 3 and 49 residents of Port Alexander, Port Protection, Point 50 51 Baker and Meyer's Chuck. That came in in 1991.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

1 2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay guys, this is what 3 it's all about. These are tribes we haven't dealt with 4 in a long time. 5 6 MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman? 7 8 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly? 9 10 MS. GARZA: I guess I find it quite confusing 11 that someone from the Forest Service would suggest that 12 we exclude residents of the unit that is being 13 discussed. I mean, if it's Unit 3 deer, that ought to 14 at least include Unit 3 rural residents. 15 16 MS. PHILLIPS: Right. 17 18 MS. GARZA: So should it be the opposite? 19 20 So you think it's a typo? It MS. ROBINSON: 21 should be 3 not 2? So it would be Unit 1A and 3? 22 23 MS. PHILLIPS: Maybe they just forgot 3. 24 25 MS. ROBINSON: Well, in the existing regulations, 26 it's Units 1B and 3. 27 28 MR. NEWHOUSE: Mr. Chairman? 29 30 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Hank? 31 32 MR. NEWHOUSE: I can speak... 33 34 COURT REPORTER: Mr. Newhouse, could you get up 35 to a microphone? 36 37 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah, guys, rather than have me 38 request you, please be reminded, we really enjoy your 39 participation, we need it, we look forward to it, but 40 to help our recorder, just kind of move up to the table 41 and then that'll work. Thank you. 42 43 MR. NEWHOUSE: My name's Hank Newhouse with the 44 Forest Service and I have done some analysis on use of 45 deer within Unit 3 in the past and it is my knowledge 46 -- to my knowledge and I've checked and looked at data 47 over a number of years -- that the residents on the 48 north end of Prince of Wales Island do use the area in 49 Unit 3, particularly Conclusion Island, I believe, is

LTD COURT REPORTERS

the island just north of Prince of Whales, is a very favorite deer hunting area for that and they consider

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

that one of their really special subsistence areas. 2 3 MS. ROBINSON: For who? Unit 3 residents? 4 5 MR. NEWHOUSE: Yeah. Yes, they're Point Baker 6 and Port Protection residents. And that's all I have 7 to... 8 9 MS. GARZA: But, Mr. Chairman? 10 11 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yes. 12 13 MS. GARZA: I guess in reading under present 14 determination, it already includes residents of Unit 15 1B, residents of Unit 3 and residents of PA, Port 16 Protection, Point Baker and Meyer's Chuck. So I'm not 17 sure if Unit 1A and 2 is supposed to be in addition to 18 those or if it replaces those or what. 19 20 MS. MASON: I can't give any enlightenment on 21 that. 22 23 MR. NEWHOUSE: What I heard somebody suggesting 24 was to change this to Unit -- you know, residents of 25 Unit 3 there -- and what I was speaking to there -- if 26 you do that, then that excludes one -- a couple of 27 communities that do use this area, and there's a long 28 history of them using that particular area. 29 30 MS. PHILLIPS: Okay, if we use the existing? 31 32 MS. GARZA: But the existing includes those 33 communities. 34 35 MR. NEWHOUSE: The existing regulation does. But 36 I heard somebody just a moment ago that was going to 37 suggest to change the 2 to just people within Unit 3. 38 39 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay, another observation, 40 well, not everybody has a same value for the boundaries 41 are existing. Residents within those boundaries feel a 42 certain ownership to those. Personally, I would be 43 reluctant to offer C&T provisions for a group outside 44 of the unit, to incorporate them in another unit. 45 just wouldn't be comfortable with that. 46 47 MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman? 48 49 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly. 50

LTD COURT REPORTERS

Because I think the existing

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

MS. GARZA:

mination covers the communities that have been listed 2 as users, I would move to strike C086,... 3 4 MS. PHILLIPS: Second. 5 6 MS. GARZA: ...because, I'm not sure what it 7 does. 8 9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's been moved and seconded to 10 strike 86. Further discussion? 11 12 MR. ROBERTS: Just for clarification -- this is 13 Larry Roberts with the Forest Service in Petersburg. 14 This was an earlier proposal and there is obviously 15 some confusion in what was recommended here. And I 16 agree with your concerns, Dolly, on that and the 17 existing determination seems to be more reflective of 18 the current and long-standing use patterns there for 19 that particular area and we apologize for the confusion 20 there. 21 22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You don't have any problem with 23 the intent of the motion? 24 25 MS. ROBINSON: Ouestion. 26 27 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Question's been called. All 28 those in favor say aye. 29 30 ALL IN UNISON: Aye. 31 32 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Opposed, same sign? 33 34 (No opposing responses.) 35 36 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That motion carries. That. 37 takes us then to 119. 38 39 MS. MASON: Okay, this one is for all species and 40 the requested determination is that Sitka residents 41 should have C&T use of all fish and game and plants. 42 And the existing determination is -- there's too many to list. And that one came in 11/5/91. 43 44 45 MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman? 46 47 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly? 48 49 MS. GARZA: Because of the ambiguity and broad-

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

ness of this, I would move to strike C119.

1 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You hear the motion. 2 3 MR. ANDERSON: Second Dolly's motion. 4 5 Second. If we -- any CHAIRMAN THOMAS: 6 discussion on that? 7 8 MR. ANDERSON: Under discussion, I agree with 9 Dolly's interpretation. It is -- it's a broad base without -- I know that we discussed this when it first 10 11 came in and it was left -- no action was taken on it. 12 13 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay, further discussion or 14 call for the question. 15 16 MR. ANDERSON: Ouestion. 17 18 MS. ROBINSON: Question. 19 20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Question has been called for. 21 All those in favor say aye? 22 23 ALL IN UNISON: Aye. 24 25 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: All those opposed? 26 27 (No opposing responses). 28 29 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The motion is carried. 30 going to say, if we adopted this, I have friends in Columbia that would like to start a growing process in 31 32 Sitka under the provision of plants. (Laughter) 33 34 MS. GARZA: Send 'em in. 35 36 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay we struck 120 and we need 37 to deal with 122, is that correct? Brown bear. 38 39 MS. MASON: Okay, so we're to 122. That's for 40 Unit 4 brown bear, residents of Sitka. That's the 41 requested determination and the existing determination 42 is Unit 4 brown bear, residents of Unit 4 and Kake. And that came in in 1992. 43 44 45 MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman? 46 47 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly. 48 49 MS. GARZA: I'd move to strike C122. I don't think we should be eliminating communities that have 50

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

51

already...

1 2 MS. PHILLIPS: Second. 3 4 MS. GARZA: ...been considered. 5 6 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Motion and second. Discussion? 7 8 MS. WILSON: Ouestion. 9 10 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Ouestion has been called. All 11 those in favor say aye? 12 13 ALL IN UNISON: Aye. 14 15 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Opposed? 16 17 (No opposing responses) 18 19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That motion carries. 20 Ungulates. 21 22 MS. MASON: Okay. The requested determination is 23 for Unit 4 deer. It's residents of Unit 4 and 24 residents of Kake, Gustavus, ... 25 26 MR. ANDERSON: Gustavus. 27 28 MS. MASON: Gustavus, excuse me. 29 30 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Who's Gus Davis? 31 32 MS. MASON: Haines, Petersburg, Point Baker, 33 Klukwan, Port Protection, Wrangell and Yakutat. 34 existing determination is Unit 4 deer, residents of Unit 4 and residents of Kake, Gustavus, Haines, 35 36 Petersburg, Point Baker, Klukwan, Port Protection and 37 Wrangell. And that came in in 1992. 38 39 MS. PHILLIPS: Adding Yakutat. 40 41 MS. MASON: Yeah. 42 43 MS. GARZA: They got their own deer. 44 45 MR. CLARK: Mr. Chairman. Under the potential wrath of the chair, I'd like to offer my assistance to 46 47 call any of these individuals, should the council wish 48 them to be contacted about their -- their submission. 49 50 MS. GARZA: What year was this done?

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

1 MR. MASON: '92? 2 3 MR. CAPRA: Mr. Chair? 4 5 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah. 6 7 Just a clarification. MR. CAPRA: There's a very 8 limited population of introduced deer around Yakutat 9 and the reason for the request was to be able to 10 harvest deer. They were introduced on two islands 11 (indiscernible - away from mike). 12 13 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty. 14 15 MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair, move to adopt C171. 16 17 MS. ROBINSON: Second. 18 19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's been moved and seconded to 20 adopt 171. Further discussion? 21 22 MS. WILSON: Mr. Chairman? 23 24 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Marilyn? 25 26 Since we didn't move to strike this MS. WILSON: 27 one, does that mean we vote it down and not accept it 28 or do we accept it? I don't -- I don't get this one. 29 30 MS. ROBINSON: Which -- either way you want to 31 go. 32 33 MS. WILSON: I don't understand -- all we're 34 doing is adding Yakutat? 35 36 MS. ROBINSON: Right. 37 38 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yep. 39 40 MS. GARZA: I don't -- Mr. Chairman? 41 42 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly? 43 44 Speaking in favor of the motion, I MS. GARZA: 45 don't see any problem with adding Yakutat, especially 46 if they don't have a lot of deer. This is assuming 47 that a message goes back that we expect to trade and 48 barter for this privilege. 49 50 MR. ANDERSON: And that we get to share their

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

moose that the allocated moose.

1 2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: An ungulate for an ungulate. 3 4 MR. ANDERSON: That's right. 5 6 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Bill. 7 8 MR. KNAUER: Mr. Chairman, a point of 9 clarification. Are the islands that the Yakutat folks 10 are interested in hunting in, in Unit 4 or Unit 5? 11 12 MR. CAPRA: They're interested in hunting in Unit 13 There is three islands total with small islands 14 with deer ... 15 16 MS. GARZA: What year was it, Rachel? 17 18 MS. MASON: '92. 19 20 MS. GARZA: Pardon? 21 22 MS. MASON: '92. 23 24 MR. CAPRA: They want the hunt in Unit 4. 25 26 MR. KNAUER: Okay, that sounds like they're two totally separate issues. The deer in Unit 5 wouldn't 27 28 be -- would not be an issue here. 29 30 MS. ROBINSON: No. No, it isn't. 31 32 MR. CAPRA: No, I was just clarifying that there 33 are very few deer in Yakutat. 34 35 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mim. 36 37 MS. ROBINSON: This is -- every once in awhile I 38 have to remind myself that we're dealing with C&T here, 39 not -- nothing more than that. And this sounds like 40 it's getting into allocation of wildlife, you know,... 41 42 MS. LE CORNU: Non-C&T. 43 44 MS. ROBINSON: ...and that's not what we're doing 45 I mean, this is -- is there C&T use of Yakutat 46 residents for deer in resident -- Unit 4. 47 48 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty. 49 50 MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair, I'd like to verify that

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

there is C&T use. We have a number of Pelican

residents who are also residents of the Dry Bay area. They have their Alsak (ph) River permits, setnet sites. They reside in the fishing season at Dry Bay and they reside in Pelican. And so, you know, they come down, they fish -- or hunt their deer in Pelican and they're able to hunt their moose in Yakutat. And we bought -- I -- I own a homesite which was an original homesite of Pelican and the owners of that -- I have like four sets of moose horn in my yard because these people migrated between Pelican and Dry Bay. And so there's that established C&T.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Bill?

MR. KNAUER: Mr. Chairman, an individual or a family is resident in one location for federal regulations. They have a primary residence. And if they are residents in Unit 5, we'll say in Yakutat, then they would have C&T wherever the regulations permit for that. If their primary residence is in Pelican, then they would have C&T and be eligible to hunt wherever residents of Pelican can hunt under these federal regulations. They would certainly be able to hunt elsewhere under state regulations, but an individual cannot have...

MS. LE CORNU: Two domiciles?

MR. KNAUER: ...two domiciles. Two primary domiciles.

MS. LE CORNU: I disagree with that as being customary and traditional.

MS. WILSON: I call for the question.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The question's been called. All those in favor say aye.

ALL IN UNISON: Aye.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Those opposed say no.

(No opposing responses)

 $\mbox{\sc CHAIRMAN THOMAS:}$ That takes us down to fur bearers.

MS. MASON: Okay, that would be number C126, and the requested determination is Unit 4 fur bearers for residents of Sitka area and the existing determination

LTD COURT REPORTERS

1 for that Unit 4 fur bearers is no determination. 2 this came in in November -- November 5th, 1991. 3 4 MS. WILSON: What does fur bearer mean? 5 6 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mink. 7 8 MR. ANDERSON: That that little fellow right here 9 on the front of the book. 10 11 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Beaver. 12 13 MS. MASON: Coyote. 14 15 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Cats. 16 17 MS. MASON: Marten. 18 19 MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair? 20 21 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty. 22 MS. PHILLIPS: Move to strike C126 and C123 for 23 24 the reasons we struck C122. 25 26 MS. ROBINSON: Second. 27 28 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: There's a motion and a second. 29 Further discussion? 30 31 MR. ANDERSON: Which one? 32 33 MS. ROBINSON: 126 and 123. 34 35 MS. GARZA: What was the reasons we struck 122? 36 37 MR. CLARK: Did Patty make the motion? 38 39 MS. ROBINSON: Yeah. 40 41 MS. PHILLIPS: Because it's restricting Unit 4 42 users to only residents of Sitka. 43 44 MS. GARZA: Yeah, but with no determination, it's 45 nobody -- I mean, it's anybody. 46 47 MS. ROBINSON: Well, we can always come back and 48 make our own proposal on these. 49 50 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: In the Sitka area, talking

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

Chicagof and Baranof or Sitka Sound?

2 MS. ROBINSON: What's your question? 3 4 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Where it refers -- the request 5 of determination says residents of the Sitka area. 6 7 MS. ROBINSON: So they're the only ones that 8 could get them on the whole of Unit 4. So nobody else 9 in Unit 4 could do it -- get any fur bearers. ABC, 10 Admiralty, Baranof, Chichagof. That doesn't make much 11 sense. 12 13 MS. WILSON: Well, does any other area have C&T 14 in this area besides Sitka? 15 16 MS. ROBINSON: I would think so. 17 18 MS. GARZA: I think that what it needs to be 19 changed to is just residents of Unit 4. Whoever wrote 20 this may not have realized how large Unit 4 was. 21 22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: And they probably didn't --23 didn't identify themselves. 24 25 MS. ROBINSON: And it may need to be more than 26 just Unit 4. There may be some crossover going on. 27 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay now, the motion is to 28 29 Further discussion on the motion? Speak in strike. 30 favor of it or in opposition to. 31 32 MS. ROBINSON: I would say that we just go ahead 33 and strike this one and if we want to do anything different that what's in the proposal book, that we 34 35 come in with -- or in the reg book, that we come up 36 with our own proposal. 37 38 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. 39 40 MS. WILSON: Is this 126 only? 41 42 MS. ROBINSON: And 123. 43 44 MS. WILSON: And 123 also? 45 46 MS. ROBINSON: Yeah. 47 48 MS. WILSON: I second that. 49 50 MS. ROBINSON: We -- it's already been seconded. 51

LTD COURT REPORTERS

1 MS. WILSON: Okay. 2 3 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Further discussion? 4 5 MR. SCHENK: Mr. Chairman? 6 7 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah, Ted. 8 9 There has been some -- if this was MR. SCHENK: in '91 or '92 when this was proposed, you have taken 10 11 action on fur bearers in at least a portion of GMU 4 on 12 marten on a number of occasions. And you actually have 13 made a... 14 15 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Regulation. 16 17 MR. SCHENK: ...a regulation... 18 19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Right. 20 21 MR. SCHENK: ...restricting subsistence users. 22 23 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So this motion wouldn't be 24 impacted by that action anyway. 25 26 MS. ROBINSON: It wouldn't change anything that 27 we already have on the books. I mean, we're deleting 28 this proposal, not what's in the books. 29 30 MR. SCHENK: Okay, but just be aware the we have 31 ceiling (ph) records from people in Tenakee, Hoonah, 32 Pelican, Elfin Cove and Angoon from GMU 4 marten 33 trapping so... 34 35 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah, that wouldn't be 36 interrupted. 37 38 MR. SCHENK: Okay, there's a number of people 39 besides (indiscernible - unclear) Sitka. 40 41 MS. ROBINSON: Right. Question. 42 43 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The question's been called for. 44 All those in favor say aye. 45 46 MS. ROBINSON: Aye. 47 48 MR. ANDERSON: Aye. 49 50 MR. FELLER: Aye.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

MS. WILSON: Aye. 2 3 MS. RUDOLPH: Aye. 4 5 MS. PHILLIPS: Aye. 6 7 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Opposed same sign? 8 9 Opposed. MS. GARZA: 10 11 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay, motion carries. 12 takes us then to 127, black bear. 13 14 MS. MASON: Mr. Chairman, did you want to 15 consider 127, 128 and 131 all together. They're all... 16 17 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: What is the wish of the 18 council? 19 20 MR. ANDERSON: So wished. 21 22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: All three of them? 23 24 MR. ANDERSON: All three together. So moved. 25 26 MS. MASON: Okay, all three of these deal with 27 black bear in Unit 5. 127 asks to -- the requested 28 determination is residents of Yakutat for Unit 5 black 29 bear and the existing determination is, Unit 5 black 30 bear, no determination. That one came in in 1991. 31 C128 is the same thing except for instead of residents 32 of Yakutat, it wants residents of Unit 5. And that one 33 also came in in 1991. C131, again, just like -- it's 34 identical to C127. But that one came in in 1990. Yes? 35 36 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly. 37 38 MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman, I quess I'm not crazy 39 about dealing with them all together because these are 40 the first ones that have come from a subsistence 41 resource commission or a local advisory committee and 42 not from the forest service or not from an unknown and 43 I think that it's something that we can deal with. 44 it's primarily the Yakutat area or Unit 5 residents 45 that have C&T, then we should recognize that. 46 47 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay, well, then we'll do them 48 So now we're dealing with 127. one at a time. 49 the wish of the council? 50

MS. GARZA:

51

LTD COURT REPORTERS

Mr. Chairman?

1 2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly. 3 4 MS. GARZA: Before we deal with any of them, I 5 quess I would like to ask what other residents are there of Unit 5 other than Yakutat? 6 7 8 MS. ROBINSON: None. 9 10 MS. MASON: It's the only community. 11 12 MS. GARZA: Like is Dry Bay considered another 13 community or... 14 15 MR. CAPRA: Mr. Chairman? 16 17 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yes. 18 19 Jim Capra with the park service. MR. CAPRA: 20 There are some year-round residents around Icy Bay, 21 mostly in the form of caretaking on some lodges and 22 possibly at the logging camps. Other than that, there 23 are no year-round residences in Unit 5. Dry Bay and 24 the other fishing sites are just seasonal and most of 25 the people live in Yakutat. Some further south. 26 27 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So the answer would be that 28 Yakutat is the only community in that district -- in 29 that unit. 30 31 MS. GARZA: That's likely to have C&T -- that 32 would likely have residents. 33 34 MR. CAPRA: Yeah. 35 36 MS. GARZA: Okay. In that regard, Mr. Chairman, 37 I would move to accept C127 submitted by Wrangell-St. 38 Elias Subsistence Resource Commission. 39 40 MS. ROBINSON: Second. 41 42 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: There is a motion and a second. 43 Further discussion? 44 45 MR. ANDERSON: Question. 46 47 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The question's been called. 48 All those in favor say aye. 49 50 ALL IN UNISON: Aye. 51

LTD COURT REPORTERS

1 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: All those opposed say no. 2 3 (No opposing responses.) 4 5 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The motion carries. That 6 brings us down to 128, black bear. 7 8 MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman? 9 10 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly. 11 12 MS. GARZA: I would move, in consideration of our acceptance of C127, that we strike 128 and 131. 13 14 15 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Is that a motion? 16 17 MS. GARZA: Yes. 18 19 MS. ROBINSON: Second. 20 21 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: There is a motion, it's been 22 seconded. Discussion? 23 24 UNIDENTIFIED: Question. 25 26 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The question's been called. 27 All those in favor say aye. 28 29 ALL IN UNISON: Aye. 30 31 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Opposed same sign. 32 33 (No opposing responses.) 34 35 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The motion carries. That brings 36 us down to C134. 37 38 MS. MASON: Okay, this one's for goat in Unit 5 39 and 6A. And incidently, Unit 6A is not technically in the Southeast region. But, I don't know if that's a 40 41 relevant fact. 42 43 MS. GARZA: It doesn't matter to us. 44 45 MS. MASON: The existing determination is, Unit 5 46 goat, no determination. Unit 6A goat, no deter-47 The requested determination is Unit 5 and mination. 48 6A, goat for residents of Yakutat. That came in from

LTD COURT REPORTERS

the State Southeast Regional Fish and Game Council in

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

49

50

51

1992.

1 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The chair will rule to strike 2 6A. 3 4 MS. ROBINSON: Can -- I take it that we can't 5 take any action on the 6A part of it? 6 7 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: No, that's not -- that's not in 8 our region. Patty? 9 10 MS. PHILLIPS: I move to strike C134 because the 11 area we are dealing with is in C185. 12 13 MS. ROBINSON: Second. 14 15 MS. GARZA: Ouestion. 16 17 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The question's been called. 18 All those in favor say aye. 19 20 ALL IN UNISON: Aye. 21 22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Those opposed, same sign. 23 24 (No opposing responses.) 25 26 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The motion carries. 27 28 MS. ROBINSON: I move that we adopt C185. 29 30 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I want all the language 31 regarding this one with absolute reverence, looking at 32 the... 33 34 MS. PHILLIPS: Second. 35 36 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ...looking at the requestors. 37 38 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's been moved and seconded. 39 Discussion? 40 41 MR. ANDERSON: Call for the question. 42 43 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Question has been called for. 44 All those in favor say aye. 45 46 ALL IN UNISON: Aye. 47 48 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Opposed, same sign. 49 50 (No opposing responses.)

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The motion carries. 2 133, moose. 3 4 MR. RABINOWITCH: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman? 5 6 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Sandy. 7 8 MR. RABINOWITCH: A question of clarification on 9 your last vote and on the previous one. When you've been using the term "strike," as I understand it, to 10 11 say that they -- some of these haven't -- aren't 12 priorities for you today and you can leave them on the list for future consideration. On the last one, when you used the term "strike," it wasn't clear to me if 13 14 15 you actually meant to recommend against it because the 16 previous one had dealt with it or if... 17 18 MS. GARZA: That was my intent. 19 20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The following dealt with it. 21 22 MR. RABINOWITCH: I'm sorry. But is it your 23 intent to leave these on the list and come back 24 (indiscernible - unclear)? 25 26 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: (indiscernible). 27 28 MR. RABINOWITCH: It would be helpful, when you literally want to cross something off, if you can use a 29 30 different term. Because as this gets written up and 31 goes up through the line, that distinction will help. 32 33 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Marilyn. 34 35 MS. ROBINSON: If we want to look at it later, 36 why don't we use "defer"? 37 38 MS. PHILLIPS: I thought it was "table." 39 40 MS. ROBINSON: Well,... 41 42 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: No. 43 44 MS. GARZA: "Table" implies that you have to look 45 at it at the next meeting... 46 47 MS. PHILLIPS: Oh. 48 49 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah. 50

LTD COURT REPORTERS

..under Robert's

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

MS. GARZA:

1 2 3 MS. ROBINSON: "Defer" is unlimited. 4 5 MS. PHILLIPS: When I stated to move to strike, 6 that means... 7 8 MS. ROBINSON: Delete. 9 10 MS. PHILLIPS: Yes. 11 12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Throw it away, huh? 13 14 MS. PHILLIPS: Or get rid of it. 15 16 MS. ROBINSON: That's kind of been my feeling, is 17 just -- been done with that. I don't know. 18 19 If our sense is that the action CHAIRMAN THOMAS: that we take subsequent is going to satisfy the same 20 21 thing, then it's to delete. 22 23 MR. RABINOWITCH: Thank you. 24 25 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. 133. 26 27 MS. MASON: Okay, this one's been... 28 29 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Good question, thank you. 30 Sorry about that, Rachel. 31 32 MS. MASON: This one's for moose in Unit 5 and 33 it's -- the requested determination is for residents of 34 Unit 5 in Unit 5. And the existing determination is that only -- it was only residents of Unit 5A in Unit 5 35 36 for moose. 37 38 MS. GARZA: Mr. Yakutat, what's the difference? 39 40 MR. CAPRA: Unit 5A is a sub-unit with Yakutat 41 and I'm not aware of any year-round residents except 42 for lodge caretakers in the other subunit. 43 44 There were legitimate people from MR. SCHENK: 45 Yakutat who were living -- at the time this was 46 proposed -- were on the other side. And they said, "We 47 don't think that it's right that just the people who 48 live in 5A can come up here and hunt moose but we can't go down there and hunt moose." So that -- when the 49 50 Chatham area made this proposal, we felt that because

LTD COURT REPORTERS

of the bulk of the people live in Yakutat, that it

wouldn't really affect the number of moose that would 2 be taken but it would be fair to the people who lived 3 in 5B to not be excluded if there was a shortage. 4 5 MS. GARZA: And is there still a permanent C&T population who lives in 5B? 6 7 8 MR. SCHENK: Well, like you said, caretakers and 9 that kind of thing who live out there and if they should fly in to Yakutat, to have the opportunity to 10 11 hunt the moose or something, I think it would be fair 12 to them. It doesn't involve a number of people. 13 14 MR. KANEN: I think that was discussed too, with 15 John Vale at length. 16 17 MR. SCHENK: Yes. 18 19 MS. GARZA: And what did he say? 20 21 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mim? 22 23 MS. ROBINSON: Well, it just seems to me again 24 this -- we're not talking about future use, we're 25 talking about what the C&T use is. If there's been in 26 the past use by people in 5B, then this -- we should 27 adopt this. 28 29 MR. CAPRA: There had been people living over 30 there who had C&T use... 31 32 MS. ROBINSON: Yeah. 33 34 MR. CAPRA: ...I'm not aware of any right now. 35 36 MS. ROBINSON: Right. I move that we adopt 133. 37 38 MR. ANDERSON: Second. (Simultaneously) 39 40 MS. GARZA: Second. (Simultaneously) 41 42 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's been moved and second. 43 Further discussion? 44 45 MS. GARZA: Question. 46 47 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The question called. All those 48 in favor say aye. 49 50 ALL IN UNISON: Aye.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

```
1
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Opposed same sign?
 2
 3
          MS. GARZA: Holy cow, Yakutat's busy.
 4
 5
                                There's more to come.
          MS. ROBINSON:
                          Yeah.
 6
 7
          (No opposing responses.)
 8
 9
          MS. MASON: Okay, Unit 5 wolf. This is -- the
    requested determination is for unit residents of
10
11
    Yakutat. The existing one is no determination and this
12
    came in in 1990.
13
14
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Wish of the council?
15
16
          MS. GARZA: Move to pass.
17
18
          MS. ROBINSON:
                          Second.
19
20
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Move to adopt?
21
22
          MS. GARZA:
                      Adopt.
                               Endorse.
23
24
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Moved and seconded. Any
25
    discussion?
26
27
          MS. ROBINSON:
                          Question.
28
29
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The question's been called.
30
    All those in favor say aye.
31
32
          ALL IN UNISON: Aye.
33
34
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Those opposed, same sign.
35
36
          (No opposing responses)
37
38
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The motion carries.
39
40
          MS. WILSON: Mr. Chairman?
41
42
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Marilyn.
43
44
          MS. WILSON: I am having a hard time -- I'm
45
    thinking in the old vein. What we're doing is the C&T
    determinations or the C&T of these -- hunting these
46
47
    animals -- or trapping. And it seems like what we just
    now passed, Unit 5, the wolf, everybody could come in
48
49
    from Unit 5 -- in that whole area -- and trap wherever
```

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

50

51

they want?

MS. PHILLIPS: There's only one community in 5 2 and that's Yakutat. 3 4 MS. WILSON: Yeah, I know. 5 6 MS. PHILLIPS: What we passed made it where only 7 residents of Yakutat could... 8 9 MS. ROBINSON: ...have C&T use. 10 11 MS. WILSON: ...have C&T use. Okay. 12 13 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dale. 14 15 MR. KANEN: I think on some of those there was an 16 effort by John Vale in the Chatham area to avoid using 17 the term Yakutat and refer to residents of the unit. 18 The reason is the Yakutat boundaries stop short of some 19 of the -- there's some outlying stuff, especially out 20 around the airport. And that caused problems with 21 moose, so there was a -- we had to go through -- jump 22 through a bunch of stuff to -- stuff on moose to stop 23 using Yakutat and say MU5. And so on -- you might 24 carry through with that on... 25 26 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I would suggest I would defer 27 these then. 28 29 MS. ROBINSON: Why would we need to defer them? 30 Why don't we just change it so that it's the residents 31 of Unit 5? 32 33 MR. KANEN: Yeah. 34 35 MS. ROBINSON: Wherever we have Yakutat. How 36 about I move that any motions that we've made today 37 dealing with where it has residents of Yakutat, that 38 those now will say residents of Unit 5. That's my 39 motion. 40 41 MS. PHILLIPS: Second. 42 43 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, who seconded? 44 45 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty. Any discussion? 46 MS. ROBINSON: Question. 47 48 49 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The question's been called. 50 All those in favor say aye.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

```
1
          ALL IN UNISON:
                          Aye.
 2
 3
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Those opposed, same sign.
 4
 5
          (No opposing responses.)
 6
 7
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay, that motion carries.
 8
    That brings us down to 129.
 9
10
          MS. ROBINSON: Mr. Chair?
11
12
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mim.
13
14
          MS. ROBINSON: It looks like 129, 139, 135, 136,
15
    137, 138 are all dealing with 6A. The first ones, 129
16
    and 139 have Unit 5 but we've just dealt with goat --
17
    goats in Unit 5.
18
19
          MS. MASON:
                      For Yakutat -- or Unit 5.
20
21
          MS. ROBINSON:
                          Unit 5 Yakutat area.
22
23
          MS. PHILLIPS:
                          Oh, up there. Okay.
24
25
          MS. ROBINSON: We just did that. So I move that
26
    we delete 129 through 138 on page 6.
27
28
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So we delete 129, 139, 135,
29
    136, ...
30
31
                          137, 138.
          MS. ROBINSON:
32
33
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ...137, 138.
34
35
          MS. PHILLIPS: Second.
36
37
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Moved and second to delete.
38
    More discussion? Marilyn?
39
40
          MR. FELLER: Question.
41
          MS. WILSON: I want to ask something here.
42
43
    are trying to say again that Unit 4A -- is that
44
    residents of 4A -- have no...
45
46
          MS. ROBINSON: 6A.
47
48
          MS. WILSON: 6A.
49
50
          MS. ROBINSON:
                          We can't deal with 6A.
```

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

```
1
          MS. WILSON: Why can't we?
 2
 3
          MS. ROBINSON: It's not in our region.
 4
 5
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                            It's not in our region.
 6
 7
          MS. ROBINSON: I don't know why it's in here.
 8
 9
          MS. LE CORNU: And we already dealt with 5.
10
11
          MS. WILSON: Well, if it's their customary and
12
    traditional usage area, I don't see why it cannot be
13
    done, even if it's in another region. What's the
14
    difference?
15
16
          MS. ROBINSON: But it's -- we can't say what
17
    happens in Unit 6A.
18
19
          MS. WILSON: We could try.
                                       (Laughter)
20
21
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I want to simplify that.
22
    not going to.
23
24
          MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman.
25
26
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Lonnie.
27
28
          MR. ANDERSON: A proposal could be forwarded to
29
    the other region as Marilyn said.
30
31
          MS. ROBINSON: We could defer these, if you want,
    instead of delete? I don't know. I thought we
32
33
    couldn't deal with these, ...
34
35
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                                    We're not dealing with
36
    them.
37
38
          MS. ROBINSON: ...that's why I recommended that
39
    we delete them.
40
41
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We're not dealing with anything
42
    out of Region 1.
43
44
          MS. GARZA: But I guess Lonnie's point is, we
45
    support it and send it up to whoever handles Unit 6A
46
    and B and say, "Gee, you guys really should support
47
    these guys."
48
49
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That's fine.
50
```

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

MR. ANDERSON: Somebody back...

MS. ROBINSON: Sandy's got something.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Sandy?

MR. RABINOWITCH: Just as a point to other councils in some of the Interior portions of the state where movement occurs on rivers and their situation are analogous to this, that people in one regional council area, perhaps use an area in another (indiscernible - unclear) quite a ways up river. What I've seen occur is that the particular issue will be brought before both councils and then the goal, of course, is to see if the councils can make the same recommendation, you know, on that particular issue. So, you might want to consider, in fact, dealing with it. And then suggesting it be put on the agenda of the Southcentral council, I guess.

MS. MASON: Yeah.

MR. RABINOWITCH: And then ask, you know, ask them if you will, to weigh in on it also and if the recommendations are the same then you have a two-council recommendation to the board, which is a pretty strong recommendation.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We can do that, probably, this one time but I don't want the energy and disciplines of this council to be expected to take up for where other people leave off. See, this one time we will do that because it's the first time it came up, it's crucial, it's important, but that's where it's going to end. We'll do it this one time. Yeah, that's a good point and thank you very much. I have heard that at meetings but a lot of them are all family, there's a little closer association. So, under advisement we'll do that

MS. ROBINSON: I withdraw my motion.

MS. PHILLIPS: I concur.

(indiscernible - simultaneous speech).

MS. ROBINSON: I move that we adopt 129, 139, 135, 136, 137 and 138.

MS. GARZA: How about support? We can't adopt.

MS. ROBINSON: Or -- well, we still have to adopt it. That's showing support, I would think. I don't

know. What should we do?

LTD COURT REPORTERS

1 2 MS. MASON: You've been adopting everything. 3 4 MR. KNAUER: By adopting it, that just means I 5 will create a proposal to go forward and it would then 6 be dealt with by each council. 7 8 MS. ROBINSON: Okay, all right, so we want to 9 adopt them. 10 11 MS. GARZA: But where will it go forward to? 12 13 I think the board. MS. ROBINSON: 14 15 MR. KNAUER: It will come forward -- it will come 16 back to this council. It will also go to the other 17 council for their consideration in the spring meeting. 18 And there will be analysis information with it that 19 would allow each council to come to some recommendation 20 to the Federal Subsistence Board. 21 22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: But that input will include 23 that this is a one time deal. 24 25 MS. ROBINSON: Well, it's C&T, I mean, it better 26 be -- hopefully it's going to be one time. 27 28 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: With anything that happens up 29 there. 30 31 MS. ROBINSON: Right. 32 33 MR. CLARK: Mr. Chairman? Might it just be a 34 mistake that they're here in the first place? 35 36 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Excuse me? 37 38 MR. CLARK: I was wondering if it's just a typo 39 that they're here in the first place, or is that... 40 41 MS. LE CORNU: No, that's their traditional use 42 area. That's where they go. 43 44 MS. ROBINSON: Right. 45 46 MR. CLARK: Why it was included. 47 48 MR. ANDERSON: This is C&T. 49 50 MS. ROBINSON: Because they're residents of this 51 of this...

LTD COURT REPORTERS

1 2 MS. MASON: Mr. Chairman, it's not a mistake that 3 they're here, it's because these requests are dealing 4 with residents of Yakutat, that they are in here. 5 They're for residents of this region, but going to 6 another region to hunt. 7 8 Well, the line was just misdrawn. MS. GARZA: 9 10 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay, your input -- is it to 11 salvage the motion or is it just for additional 12 information? 13 14 MR. CAPRA: It's just a point of information. 15 Jim Capra with the Park Service. They're -- the 16 traditional fishing grounds, late season for Coho 17 salmon and other late season activities, are up in Unit 18 6A for a lot of the Yakutat residents. Also, I wanted 19 to bring to your attention, there are some residents in Unit 6A, which I don't know if you can recommend for, 20 21 but there are some permanent residents at Cape Suckling 22 and other scattered places along the coast. 23 24 MS. LE CORNU: Are they traditional users -- or 25 are they traditional... 26 27 MR. CAPRA: Cape Suckling I know for sure is. 28 29 MS. LE CORNU: Oh, that's another one. 30 31 MR. CAPRA: Yeah. 32 33 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay, I think we ran out of 34 merit for the motion. Any further discussion? 35 36 MS. WILSON: Question. 37 38 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The question been called. All 39 those in favor of adopting say aye. 40 41 ALL IN UNISON: Aye. 42 43 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: All those opposed, same sign. 44 45 (No opposing responses) 46 47 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That motion is carried. 48 That took us to -- that brings us now to 145? 49 50 MS. WILSON: Yes. 51

LTD COURT REPORTERS

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Thank you.

MS. MASON: Okay, now we get into the fish. And this one is for the Haines fishing district. The requested determination is for residents of Haines and Klukwan to take Chilkoot Lakes Coho salmon. And the existing determination is -- I guess this is the state determination. Southeastern Alaska area District 15 salmon and smelt. Residents west of the Haines Highway between Mile 20 and Mile 24 and east of the Chilkat River but not elsewhere in Klukwan and those residents of other areas of the city and borough of Haines, excluding residents in the drainage of Excursion Inlet. And there's no determination for Chilkoot Lake salmon. And that came in in 1991.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The wish of the council?

MS. GARZA: So any recommendation from...

MS. WILSON: So this is traditional and customary and cultural and everything...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Bill?

MS. WILSON: ...that's been used there.

MR. KNAUER: Mr. Chairman, in the call for proposals they indicated that the Federal Subsistence Board was not going to entertain, at this time, any proposals for fish or shellfish. So, I would suggest you defer, not strike, but defer...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Until we get into that...

MR. KNAUER: ...until that situation presents itself where it would be appropriate to deal with it. In other words, retain them on the books.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You heard the motion -- I mean, you heard the suggestion for a motion.

MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman, I would make a motion to defer C145 to an appropriate time.

MS. WILSON: I second it.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The rest of them down to 144.

MR. ANDERSON: 144? All the way to 144.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You heard the motion. 2 there a second? 3 4 MR. FELLER: Second. 5 6 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Second. Discussion? 7 Probably, it's already debatable. We can't do anything 8 anyway. 9 10 MS. ROBINSON: Ouestion. 11 12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: All those in favor say aye. 13 14 ALL IN UNISON: Aye. 15 16 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: All those opposed? 17 18 (No opposing responses.) 19 20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: And that brings us --21 that passes. Lonnie? 22 23 MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to 24 recognize Mr. Dewey Skan is now among our presence at 25 4:30. 26 27 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Is this to be exercised under 28 the safety use determination for funeral/memorial potlatch? 29 30 31 MR. ANDERSON: He's a customary and traditional 32 user. 33 34 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: This is the one we're looking 35 for, ladies and gentlemen. 143, Rachel. 36 37 MS. MASON: 40 -- Oh, okay, you skipped 144? 38 39 MR. ROBINSON: We deferred it. 40 41 MS. MASON: You deferred it. Okay. 143, the 42 requested determination is C&T use determination for 43 moose for funeral and memorial potlatch statewide. And 44 there is no existing determination in Subpart D, but in 45 Subpart A.6F3, the fish and wildlife is taken by 46 individuals or community representatives permitted a 47 one time or annual harvests for special purposes, 48 including ceremonies and potlatches. And that came in 49 in 1991.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: One point of notice is that

can't determine a statewide C&T. Dolly?

MS. GARZA: I guess the question I would like to ask whoever has the answer is, I understand that this occurs for deer already? Did we do these -- this?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mr. Knauer.

MD WNAUED. This proposal really

MR. KNAUER: This proposal really is a moot proposal. The -- there is provision in the regulations to provide for ceremonial take and therefore no C&T is necessary. The board has provided for this.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah, these have been -- these have all been requested early in this process from around the state and have been satisfied to the best of my knowledge. Is that true?

MR. KNAUER: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly?

MS. GARZA: I'm really glad to hear that that process does occur and perhaps that's all that's needed, but what I would like to hear is that that is somewhere in regulation or is somewhere in policy so that at the whim of the change of administration or something, that this process would not halt or cease to happen.

MS. LE CORNU: There's even a religious act protecting it.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mr. Knauer?

MR. KNAUER: Mr. Chairman, in response to that, in Section .6, Subparagraph F3, it provides, the fish and wildlife taken by individuals or community representatives permitted on one time or annual harvest for special purposes including ceremonies and potlatches. And then there are, in addition to that, certain community regulations that do occur in Subpart D where there is special community situations such as Nuchalawoyya or the Stick Dance that provide for an annual occurrence. So, where there is a standing occasion, there has been provision made in regulation, but there is also the general provision that allows the granting of permits on a case by case basis. And that has occurred with -- in the community of Kake and Sitka for deer and so on. So, it is in regulation and it is recognized.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Ted.

MR. SCHENK: Mr. Chairman, ...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Move to the table, please.

MR. SCHENK: Ted Schenk. I might point out that...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Ah, ah, I might point out you better get to the table.

MR. SCHENK: Yes, sir, here I am. Dolly, it's part of Unit 5, Subpart D regulations for potlatch purposes for moose at Yakutat. Up to 10 per year already. So we got the year -- council got that locked in and we are executing...

MS. GARZA: But that's for Unit 5. What about Wrangell/Petersburg area?

MR. SCHENK: They hadn't...

MR. ANDERSON: There was no request from that area.

MR. SCHENK: If they would so request or make a proposal it would get into the -- and there's the one that Mr. Knauer pointed out, too. That process. So it's -- there's more than one way to accomplish it.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you.

MR. SKAN: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dewey.

MR. SKAN: Yeah, I'm glad to hear that this is evolving because three or four years ago we were at a forum such as this, in Anchorage I believe, and a bunch of us related to the state Fish and Game officials that this vehicle needs to be in place and implemented because our people don't pass away in season — in the moose season or the fish season so, when it happens, it happens and it's sad but, you know, they've got to have their culture, you know, addressed and acknowledged at that time. So I'm glad to see this has evolved.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Vicki.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

MS. LE CORNU: I guess I'm kind of offended by the term of permit that -- I think I discussed this with Fred -- we're not talking about permitting. talking about reporting. So what the effect of a permit is to do is cast a chilling effect on anybody. And I don't like the term -- making people get a permit 7 for a ceremonial purpose for any reason. 8 9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dale. 10 11 MR. KANEN: Yes, Mr. Chair, I think sometimes 12 these permits for ceremonial purposes are for 13 harvesting outside of the season. So, in -- the permit 14 actually is a real good crossing permit to have in your 15 pocket if the brown shirts stop you on the state side, 16 so... 17 18 MS. LE CORNU: Well, I guess I'm ready for that. 19 That's what I'm saying and I hope everybody's ready to 20 defend the ceremonial purpose that doesn't always --21 isn't ready to apply for a permit but they could do it 22 tomorrow if they wanted. I don't want to wait for a 23 permit from anybody to do a potlatch for my grandma. 24 25 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You don't have to wait. 26 27 MS. LE CORNU: I'm not going to. 28 29 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. 30 31 MS. ROBINSON: I move that we delete 143. 32 33 MS. GARZA: Second. 34 35 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's moved and seconded, delete 36 143. 37 38 MS. ROBINSON: Question. 39 40 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The question's been called. 41 All those in favor say aye. 42 43 ALL IN UNISON: Aye. 44 45 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Those opposed same sign. 46 47 (No opposing responses.) 48 49 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The motion carries. 50

LTD COURT REPORTERS

- I have a question.

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

MS. ROBINSON:

remember what we did on a particular one of these --2 number 82. The one -- the black bear. Page 3, top of 3 the page. I didn't have anything written down and I... 4 5 MS. GARZA: We tabled. 6 7 MS. ROBINSON: That's what I was wondering. 8 seems like we tabled something and I can't remember 9 which one. 10 11 MS. MASON: Yeah, I wrote "skip" on it. 12 13 MS. ROBINSON: Skip? 14 15 MR. CLARK: Table was the motion. 16 17 MS. WILSON: You mean number 82? 18 19 Yeah, number 82. MS. ROBINSON: 20 21 MS. WILSON: We tabled it. 22 23 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That was the table. Mr. 24 Knauer? 25 26 MR. KNAUER: Yes, please. A point of 27 clarification while we're talking about these -- there 28 were a few of these back here on -- like black bear 29 where in this case you used the term "table" and in a 30 couple of others with black bear you used the term 31 "strike." And in those cases, is it your intention 32 to... 33 34 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The word strike to delete. 35 36 MR. KNAUER: But on those with the black bear, 37 was it to delete? And was that the same meaning you had for table or was it... 38 39 40 MS. ROBINSON: $N \cap$ 41 42 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: No. 43 44 MS. ROBINSON: No, that was... 45 46 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Striking -- strike and delete 47 are synonymous. 48 49 MR. KNAUER: Okay, thank you. 50

LTD COURT REPORTERS

MS. ROBINSON: Wow, we made it through.

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

1 2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay now, I'd like to go over 3 these again with a little more deliberation. 4 (Laughter) 5 6 MS. ROBINSON: Somebody get the noose. 7 8 MR. SKAN: Delete. Delete the Chairman. 9 10 MR. ANDERSON: That's impossible. We put him 11 back in. 12 13 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That brings us to a pretty 14 short list left on my agenda. We've had election of 15 officers. We have decided on a location of our next 16 meeting, ... 17 18 MS. WILSON: Did we vote on that? 19 20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah. 21 22 MS. ROBINSON: We didn't ever talk about the 23 dates though, for that Wrangell meeting. We got our 24 ferry schedule. 25 26 MS. GARZA: First, second, third. 27 28 MR. SKAN: During the SeaAlaska meeting, Mr. 29 Chairman. (Laughter) 30 31 MR. ANDERSON: I think -- I think with the 32 SeaAlaska meeting being in Wrangell, we probably should 33 reconsider that one. 34 35 MS. GARZA: That's right, back to Sitka. 36 37 MR. FELLER: Or we could have -- we could have it 38 during the tent city days. 39 40 MS. GARZA: When is that? 41 42 MR. FELLER: That's the first -- end of February. 43 44 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay, we're at a point now of 45 public comment. I like to allow for as much public 46 participation as time will allow for at any meeting. 47 And the public is those that aren't representing an 48 agency or a council. 49 50 MS. ROBINSON: We forgot Mary's resolution. 51

LTD COURT REPORTERS

1 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: No we didn't, I... 2 3 MS. ROBINSON: We haven't dealt with it yet, have 4 we? 5 6 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ...was going to after I made my 7 comments. 8 9 MS. ROBINSON: I thought it was supposed to come 10 before public comment? I have it listed as F. 11 12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It is, but I'm going to have it 13 after I made those comments. (Laughter) Fooled 14 you, huh? 15 16 MS. ROBINSON: You just forgot. (Laughter) 17 18 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You guys sure made me look bad 19 today. Where's Rachel? 20 21 MS. MASON: Right here. 22 23 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Would you read Mary's 24 resolution so we can all hear it -- do you have a lot 25 of copies, Mary? 26 27 MS. RUDOLPH: I think I have three, I'm not too 28 sure. 29 30 MR. CLARK: I can make some more copies for you. 31 32 MS. MASON: You wanted me to read that? 33 34 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Let's take a two-minute break 35 and it'll allow for copies to be made. We'll deal with 36 the resolution. 37 38 (Off record - 4:43 p.m.) 39 (On record - 4:49 p.m.) 40 41 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay, this resolution from 42 Hoonah -- without -- the resolution is showing some 43 activity or concerns that are going on in Hoonah. What 44 they're asking us to do, it says, "Now therefore be it 45 resolved that the Board of Directors of Hoonah Indian Association respectfully ask for the support of the 46 delegates of the Federal Advisory Council in the 47 48 protection of Hoonah traditional and customary usage 49 area." Okay, well, that's fine. It's not really a

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

50

51

complete resolution.

You guys are going to get a crash course on resolutions now. If I supported -- I mean, if I submitted this resolution, I would have had that resolved clause there. My "Be it Further Resolved would have suggested how we would indicate that support. I think, with the permission of the council, what we could do is vote on here -- if we agree to endorse this resolution, to go ahead and do that and I will forward a letter from the office of the chair to the Indian Association of Hoonah. As -- that will then satisfy their request from us. If we do that, they will have people that they want -- they can say, "Look, we got the Federal Subsistence Advisory Council to endorse this." So they could duplicate those copies and use them wherever they want to. Put them wherever they want to.

MS. ROBINSON: So, Bill, what was it that you were suggesting that we do exactly with those?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: If you don't have any problem with saying, "yeah, I think the council should"...

MS. ROBINSON: A concept or something or...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ... "support their request," then I'll just write a note saying that this council, in fact, endorses the intent of this resolution.

MS. ROBINSON: Okay, I see.

 MR. ANDERSON: So moved, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You hear the motion. Is there a second?

MS. ROBINSON: Second.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Moved and seconded. Discussion?

MR. SKAN: Yeah, Mr. Chairman, I kind of have a problem with, you know -- I don't have a problem with the Hoonah people in their searching for the customary and traditional, you know, lifestyle, but I'm not too clear, Mary, on what lands you're talking about. I don't know, like, frankly, writing them and coming to different forums and talking about land that's already given to Hoonah -- Hoonah Totem Corporation, you know, stuff like that. So, if you could clarify what lands you're talking about. I don't know, maybe you're

LTD COURT REPORTERS

talking about Glacier Bay, which, you know, is still in question.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

MS. RUDOLPH: When this was written up, it was written up for -- with our concerns on Eight Fathom Bight. One of the things that we've been doing under NAGPRA is looking at our traditional usage area, our burial site, our camping sites, and our different places there but we haven't had time to do it. But right now they're moving fast on Eight Fathom Bight, so we're trying to slow things down so that we can start addressing and taking care of the things we're supposed to be taking care of. And this is mostly what it entails, I think. What -- I'm sorry about -- this was something I'd asked the board to move on before -about a month -- I think about, maybe, three weeks -three weeks ago, I think, Fred called me and told me that I may be on the board but they were going to treat me as such. So, I didn't want to jump the gun and say I was on the board and then find out I wasn't. So it was almost down to the last couple days before I left that I finally found out that I was going to be coming down to Craiq. So I went in and talked to the board and asked them to -- by phone, took a poll. So this is a rough draft of what we would normally have put together. So I apologize for this. But because of my -- I heard the concerns the other day about how the new boards were being elected and it is, kind of, really, still, I think because of the new committee and everything, it's a long process. I had put in my name and found out there was a good possibility I may get on but I didn't find out -- I just got the letter from Babbitt while I'm down here, confirming my position on So it -- the concerns you have are probably here. something that could be brought to light where this could be addressed after (ph).

36 37 38

39

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So what you're saying then, if we do endorse this, it'll go back and probably be redone?

40 41 42

MS. RUDOLPH: Right.

43 44 45

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Then I would suggest that we hold off on any action from here, not knowing what language is going to appear on here.

46 47 48

49

50

MS. RUDOLPH: Well, if it's so -- if it's suggested by the board, you know, if there's any problem with it, you know, to redo it, I don't think there'd be any problem with the board right now. It's

LTD COURT REPORTERS

something we need to act on and we need to...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Right. I think we're ready to act on it. I don't know if you folks are ready to act on it. The reason I say that is, I've been at another forum when this same resolution or the intent was

brought forward and it really didn't get much support. But that was looking for tribal support and I don't remember what the reasons were. This here is not necessarily tribal support but it wouldn't be wise for us to endorse something that's going to change and wind up -- we don't know what the outcome. If it doesn't resemble this and our endorsement goes forward, that'll be nothing short of embarrassing for us.

MS. RUDOLPH: Well, I wouldn't -- I wouldn't want -- I wouldn't say that it would be changed but it was would be changed with your suggestions. I wouldn't say we would change it to the...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Oh, oh, oh. You'd...

MS. RUDOLPH: ...I would say, you know, if you have any ideas...

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You'd like us to bolster it then?

MS. RUDOLPH: Uh-huh (affirmative).

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Oh, okay.

MR. SKAN: That's why he's the chairman.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay, now -- now there's some good questions asked. Dewey said, "I don't know what land you're talking about." We need to insert in here, the area of Eight Fathom Bight?

MS. RUDOLPH: Yeah.

MR. ANDERSON: Traditional burial sites.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Is somebody taking -- somebody doing some scratch -- I'm illiterate so I can't do that, so...

MR. SKAN: That's why he's the chairman.

MR. ANDERSON: Mary -- or Mr. Chairman.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I'm not Mary.

 MR. SKAN: Mary Mr. Chairman. I just -- when we've been in dialogue with the Forest Service, you know, for two and a half years over the shore over there called Eleven Mile, that I spoke to yesterday. We spoke of ancestral homes, totem poles, burial sites, hunting and fishing and gathering, the rivers that are there. You know, so that's, you know, some of the things that we've inserted in our letters and resolutions so that's on file. You know, try to not leave any stones unturned. Ultimately, it's their call and the guy said yesterday, "Regardless of what, they're still going to log it." So, at least we have our concerns on file.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay, we need to have another whereas that says, because. It says here, "Whereas the customary and traditional uses of resources are necessary for the survival of the Huna Tlingit culture." We need to have another whereas saying that if we don't have a -- if we don't protect the habitat or ecosystems, that there will -- you know, there's no way that the other resources will stand a chance.

 $\,$ MS. ROBINSON: Well, then the next whereas deals with that.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Does it?

MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair?

MS. ROBINSON: I think so.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: Earlier I -- yesterday I requested that a resolution be done in support of TTRA and I'm wondering if we could just lump that in with this resolution where we're going to be supporting this one. And I'm not -- and the part that I'm suggesting would be support of the TTRA and the continued protection of LUD Two roadless areas because there's a significant amount of LUD Two area within the Hoonah -- Hoonah usage area. And then to go to say, whereas LUD Two roadless areas protect the ecosystem's biological diversity and nondegrading economic uses such as fishing, hunting, gathering, tourism which support the regional economy.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mim.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

1 2 MS. RUDOLPH: So you would be putting that as a 3 part of the Hoonah resolution or would you want to 4 create an entirely separate resolution? 5 6 MS. PHILLIPS: Well, if they were to submit it 7 into their resolution and we're going to be supporting 8 that... 9 10 MS. ROBINSON: Uh-huh (affirmative). But that's 11 just for the Hoonah area. 12 13 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Right. 14 15 MS. ROBINSON: Is that what you want to limit it 16 to? 17 18 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah, no, we better stay with 19 two resolutions. 20 21 MS. ROBINSON: I think so, too. 22 23 MS. PHILLIPS: Oh. 24 25 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah. But you're right, the 26 same language we're using would fit here, but it 27 doesn't fit so well that we want to use it for... 28 29 MS. PHILLIPS: I see. 30 31 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ...for the whole -- the whole 32 nine yards. Mim? 33 34 MS. ROBINSON: I would suggest that we work on a 35 resolution that would -- from what Patty has there for 36 a separate resolution and for... 37 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We will do that. 38 39 40 MS. ROBINSON: ...for Mary's resolution, the 41 Hoonah one, I was thinking that we could add on the 42 last whereas... 43 44 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Uh-huh (affirmative). 45 46 MS. ROBINSON: ...where it says -- it ends "in 47 the Huna traditional and customary usage area," 48 would add an "S" on areas -- area and then of Eight Fathom Bight and traditional burial sites to make it 49 50 more specific. It would be the last whereas.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

areas of Eight Fathom Bight and traditional burial

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

I'm

sites." Now, I have absolutely no idea whether that's 2 what you're after there or not but that's a suggestion 3 of where you could put something like that. I -- after 4 seconding this motion, I looked a little bit closer and studied this a little more and realized that it's a little too broad and general for me. And so I would 7 definitely need to tighten it up a little bit. 8 Otherwise I would probably withdraw my second. 9 10 MS. RUDOLPH: Is there a motion on the floor? 11 12 MS. ROBINSON: Yeah. 13 14 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah. 15 16 MS. ROBINSON: That's what I was just saying, I 17 had seconded it. 18 19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay, okay, another -- be it 20 further resolved before the last one should say, "Be it 21 further resolved that the endorsement -- the signed 22 endorsement of the advisory council will be the 23 requested support -- will indicate the requested 24 support. 25 26 MS. ROBINSON: Will indicate support for this... 27 28 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Oh, no, okay. 29 30 MS. ROBINSON: See, this is kind... 31 32 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay, it says, be it further 33 resolved -- no, no, wait a minute -- be it further 34 resolved that the associated -- they respectfully ask 35 for support of the delegates of the Federal Advisory 36 Council in protection of the Huna traditional and 37 customary usage area. 38 39 MS. ROBINSON: This is still coming from Hoonah, 40 right? 41 Right. Okay, rather than... 42 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: 43 44 MS. PHILLIPS: Has the endorsement of... 45 46 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay, the copies of this 47 resolution will be sent to the Alaska Delegation --48 okay, it tells you where to send it. 49 50 I'm a little -- Mr. Chairman.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

a little puzzled about what you're trying to do here.

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

MS. ROBINSON:

Are you trying to -- are you feeling you're not satisfied...

 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You got to give me time to deal with your first puzzle. The thing they want to do is to strengthen this. A resolution -- a resolution generally depicts something historical. And then it identifies something at present. And then it leads up to what -- what would fix it. And then, when it gets down to here, then...

MS. ROBINSON: Who it goes to.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ...I was -- I was hyperventilating for no reason because my concern is satisfied down here. Because when we endorse, then they want us to send our endorsement to these people here to indicate to them that we, in fact, endorse the intent of this resolution. Dolly?

MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman, I would move that we table the motion to support this resolution because the changes that need to be made to it cannot be made by this body.

MS. ROBINSON: Uh-huh (affirmative). Sounds good. Second.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: However -- okay, let's go ahead and deal with the motion. Motion, second. Discussion?

UNIDENTIFIED: Question.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The question's been called. All those in favor say aye.

ALL IN UNISON: Aye.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Opposed, same sign.

(No opposing responses.)

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The motion carries. However, I think that what we can do and still be of some encouragement to the community of Hoonah is to take the notes that we had here, give them to Mary, and let them consider them and find a place that they would like to use those in their restructure of the resolution. Will that work?

MS. RUDOLPH: That'd be fine.

LTD COURT REPORTERS

2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Mim? 3 4 MS. ROBINSON: Mary, did you get written down my 5 suggestion to add on this -- of Eight Fathom Bight and 6 traditional burial sites? 7 8 MS. RUDOLPH: No, I didn't. 9 MS. ROBINSON: Okay, I can give this to you. 10 11 12 MS. PHILLIPS: What about this one? Has the 13 endorsement of our council and will indicate the 14 request of this court. 15 16 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I was wrong with that -- I was 17 -- I didn't finish reading that. I was wrong. 18 19 MS. RUDOLPH: Patty, I think on your side, that's 20 one of the things we're dealing with is LUD Two also. 21 That's part of... 22 23 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Lonnie. 24 25 MR. ANDERSON: I need some clarification. 26 are we? 27 28 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We're in Craig, Alaska. 29 30 MS. ROBINSON: What happened to the -- when we 31 tabled it, that took care of the motion that was 32 already on the floor, right? 33 34 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: When we table it, that says 35 we're not going to deal with it until another time. 36 37 MS. ROBINSON: Yeah, because we had a motion on 38 the floor already? So that's just... 39 40 MS. GARZA: My motion wasn't tabled... 41 42 So when we pull it up from the MS. ROBINSON: 43 table, that's... 44 45 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: A motion on the table 46 supersedes... 47 48 MS. ROBINSON: ...when our motion is back on the 49 table again. 50

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

MR. ANDERSON: Nondebateable

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Nondebateable.

MR. ANDERSON: Okay. I just wanted a clarification.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So stop the debate. (Laughter)

MR. ANDERSON: Back to public comments.

MR. SKAN: Let me tell you about Bill. (Laughter)

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay, before we get into public comment, Mim and Dolly, in their organized manner, has some ideas for us to consider and I think they're good ones. There's some work they want to do that doesn't take us into the evening that's going to incorporate just a few minutes, huh?

MS. ROBINSON: Well, probably a little more than that. Are you talking about the letter?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah.

MS. ROBINSON: I would prefer to work on the letter tomorrow morning when I'm fresh.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah. So when we leave here tonight, there's no coming back to any kind of committee meeting.

MS. ROBINSON: Tonight, no.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I hear there's social activity going on later with that, Dolly?

MS. GARZA: I guess there was a discussion that we could go ahead and adjourn now. And our comment — even though some of you may be mad — is that we come back tomorrow morning and do the final changes to this letter so that we can get it out and in addition, come up with some ideas of what needs to go in the annual meeting — or the annual report. So we would spend a little time with Fred trying to figure out what we want to go in, how it should go in and who should do it. It's possible that we could do both tonight but...

MS. ROBINSON: Why bother if we've got the morning anyway. We don't have to be here early, early,

LTD COURT REPORTERS

```
1
    right?
 2
 3
          MR. ANDERSON: 7:30.
 4
 5
          MR. FELLER: 7:45.
                                   (Laughter)
 6
 7
                         Also, Patty's resolution could be
          MS. ROBINSON:
 8
    looked at tomorrow morning.
 9
10
          MS. RUDOLPH: The LUD Two one?
11
12
          MS. ROBINSON: And what?
13
14
          MS. RUDOLPH: The LUD -- about the LUD Two lands?
15
16
          MS. ROBINSON: Yeah. What time is the -- what's
17
    the earliest time that people are going to start
18
    leaving tomorrow?
19
20
          MS. GARZA: 2:00.
21
22
          MS. ROBINSON No one's leaving before 2:00?
23
24
          MR. CLARK: 1:30.
25
26
          MS. ROBINSON: Who are you pointing at?
27
28
          MR. NEWHOUSE: Mr. Chairman, one thing that we're
29
    going to have to pay close attention to tomorrow is
30
    weathers. There's a ferry that departs...
31
32
          MS. GARZA: There's no ferry.
33
34
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: There's no ferry until the 3rd.
35
36
          CHAIRMAN THOMAS: There's no ferry.
37
38
          UNIDENTIFIED: Welcome to the island.
39
       (Laughter)
40
41
42
          MR. SKAN: You got to get up early. When the
43
    weather's calm if you want to leave.
44
45
          MR. NEWHOUSE:
                         When did they do that? Because I
46
    just have the September schedule and it's...
47
48
          MS. LE CORNU:
                          September 2nd, it changed.
49
50
          MR. SKAN:
                     All the tourists are gone, Hank, so
```

LTD COURT REPORTERS

310 K Street, Suite 305 \$ Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \$ (907) 277-6688 \$ FAX (907) 277-1424

it's back to....

1 2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The 26th of September it's no 3 good anymore. 4 5 MR. KANEN: Next Tuesday is the -- I believe the 6 next chance to escape by sea. 7 8 MR. ANDERSON: Right. 9 10 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: How about -- how about Kanen's 11 Fisheries Incorporated. Could that get us over there? 12 13 MR. KANEN: A 22 foot naval support? 14 (Laughter) 15 16 MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman? 17 18 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly. 19 20 MR. GARZA: I would suggest that we aim to meet 21 tomorrow from 9:00 to 11:00. And then if the weather 22 is bad we can get Tacquan to meet in Hollis and fly 23 from there. 24 25 MS. LE CORNU: 9 o'clock at the city council. 26 27 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: 9 o'clock at the city council? 28 29 MS. GARZA: And two hours would be plenty of 30 time? 31 32 MS. ROBINSON: Let's hope so. One thing I would 33 suggest is that anyone who was at that committee meeting last night, take another look at that letter tonight and try and figure out any revisions you would 34 35 36 like to make on it tonight, if you can, so that it 37 would go faster tomorrow morning. Ideas are already 38 written down and changes made. We can talk about what 39 changes you recommend. 40 41 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty? 42 43 MS. PHILLIPS: Could we start at 8:30 again 44 because it -- I mean, we'll say 9:00 but it'll be 9:30 45 by the time we get started. 46 MS. ROBINSON: You come at 8:30, we'll come at 47 48 9:00. 49 50 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: What time did the gavel drop 51 this morning?

LTD COURT REPORTERS

MS. GARZA: We were prompt.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: 8:30.

MS. ROBINSON: It was pretty prompt this morning.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: 8:30. Yesterday it dropped at 10:00 a.m. If we set it for 9 o'clock, it's going to drop at 9:00.

MS. GARZA: Be prompt.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Don't negatively characterize the chairman.

MS. PHILLIPS: Well, I'm afraid we're going to go on longer than we anticipate.

MR. ANDERSON: Well, I got all my city business before I came to this today, so...

MR. SKAN: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dewey.

MR. SKAN: I won't be here tomorrow. We're having our annual Klawock Heenya Corporation meeting tomorrow so I'm not going to be here.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Would you like campaign contributions?

MR. SKAN: No. Oh, yeah. Caucus -- I got a caucus of my constituents tonight.

MR. CLARK: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Fred.

MR. SKAN: But I still have the floor and I'd like to ask Fred a question or Bill there. I've been to one other meeting last fall and I went 100 bucks in the hole and I'm still trying to figure out how the hell I went in the hole when I'm serving the federal government. And then I'm traveling six miles from my home town to here and I bought some of my friends lunch yesterday, now -- so I'm now 40 bucks more in the hole. So what's the deal on this? If they're in your area then you don't get any stipend?

LTD COURT REPORTERS

MR. CLARK: I believe that's the case. Is that 2 true, Bill? 3 4 MR. KNAUER: Yes, if you're in your own community 5 or -- and there's a radius, I think, of about 50 miles. Within 50 miles, then according to federal regulations 6 7 -- it's not Fish and Wildlife, it's total government --8 you're not eligible for per diem. 9 10 MR. SKAN: Well how come Senator Packwood got all 11 this retirement money when he's doing wrong and I'm 12 trying to do good. 13 14 MR. KNAUER: But as far as the other -- if you 15 will talk to Jan Collins at our office on the 800 16 number, she'll work with you on the other. 17 18 UNIDENTIFIED: He's used to 900 numbers. 19 (Laughter) 20 21 MR. SKAN: Yeah, I was wondering who was in the 22 next booth. (Laughter) 23 24 MS. GARZA: Move to recess until 9:00 tomorrow. 25 26 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Hold it, hold it. 27 coordinator has a word. Fred. 28 29 MR. CLARK: I just wanted to let you know that I 30 thought that there was going to be some entertainment 31 tonight -- traditional dancing and stuff -- but it 32 looks like that's fallen through. 33 34 MR. SKAN: Follow the chairman. 35 36 MR. CLARK: They're pretty good entertainment. 37 Also, I wanted to let you know, that it looks like we 38 probably won't need it, but in the event that the 39 church -- I mean the city council chambers turn out to 40 be too small, too confined -- I've arranged for the... 41 42 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Sunnerhae? 43 44 MR. CLARK: The meeting room at the Sunnerhae to 45 be available should we need it. 46 47 MS. GARZA: The chambers are small. 48 49 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Let's meet at Sunnerhae 50 tomorrow. 51

LTD COURT REPORTERS

```
1
           MS. ROBINSON: Can we meet at the Sunnerhae...
 2
 3
           MS. GARZA: It's much nicer.
 4
 5
           MS. ROBINSON: ...and just do it at the Sunnerhae
 6
     instead of the council chambers.
 7
 8
           (Off record - 5:15 p.m.)
 9
     (3257)
10
11
12
                         ****CONTINUED****
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
```

LTD COURT REPORTERS